

## APPENDIX B

### QUESTIONNAIRE FOR CARLI LIBRARY DIRECTORS

Dear Respondent,

My name is Fredrick Kiwuwa LUGYA. I am a LIS masters student at the Graduate School of Library and Information Science at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. As part of my masters degree program, I am writing my thesis on the topic: **Factors that lead to successful resource sharing in academic and research libraries: A case study of Consortium of Academic and Research Libraries in Illinois (CARLI) from 2005-2009 and how to apply it in developing countries like Uganda.** I request your support by filling in the following questionnaire. The information provided in this study will be STRICTLY used for writing this thesis and the confidentiality of your individual responses will be protected by not releasing any specific responses that would identify the responder. Only summaries of the responses will be provided.

Please respond to each of the 10 questions below and return the complete questionnaire to me at [flugya@illinois.edu](mailto:flugya@illinois.edu) Thank you, in advance, for your time.

1. What type is your institution? **(please tick that apply)**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public university  | <input type="checkbox"/> Community college   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Private university | <input type="checkbox"/> Private college     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Research library   | <input type="checkbox"/> High School Library |

2. What is your position in your institution

3. Which consortium did your institution belong to before joining CARLI in 2005 **(please tick all that apply)**

- Illinois Cooperative Collection Management Program (ICCMP), formed in 1986
- Illinois Digital Academic Library (IDAL), formed in 1999
- Illinois Library Computer Systems Organization (ILCSO), formed in 1980

4. Why did your institution join the consortium named in 3 above? **(please mark all that apply)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discounted/ subsidized electronic resources and brokering | <input type="checkbox"/> Reciprocal borrowing                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cost effectiveness  | <input type="checkbox"/> Participation of libraries                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cooperative collection management                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Shared integrated library system (Illinet Online) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Support and participation from member Libraries           | <input type="checkbox"/> Any Others  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Training and continuing education                         |  |

5. When the consortia named in 3 above merged to form CARLI in July 2005, what influenced you to join CARLI **(please mark all that apply)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discounted/ subsidized electronic resources and brokering | <input type="checkbox"/> Participation of committee members  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARLI staff   | <input type="checkbox"/> Value of networking with other members at CARLI meetings/ conferences               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reciprocal borrowing                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Cooperative collection management   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I-Share Integrated Library System                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Ability to obtain quick delivery of physical materials from member libraries (ILDS) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cost effectiveness  | <input type="checkbox"/> Training and continuing education   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Any others  |  |

6. What influences you to continue participating in the new consortium (CARLI)? **(please mark all that apply)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Discounted/ subsidized electronic resources and brokering | <input type="checkbox"/> Participation of committee members                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARLI staff   | <input type="checkbox"/> Value of networking with other members at CARLI meetings/ |

conferences

- Reciprocal borrowing
- I-Share Integrated Library System
- Cost effectiveness
- Any others
- Cooperative collection management
- Ability to obtain quick delivery of physical materials from member libraries (ILDS)
- Training and continuing education

7. CARLI has established a set of values, (<http://www.carli.illinois.edu/about/about-values.html>). Rank these values on a scale of 1-13 by putting a number on the left of each, where 1 is more important (**Rank 1-13**) and give a comment to your choice on the right.

Rank	Value	Comments
-	<b>Cooperation</b> among academic and research libraries of all types, sizes and missions.	
-	<b>Respect</b> for the diverse missions and populations served by member institutions.	
-	<b>Recognition</b> of each member institution's autonomy.	
-	<b>Sharing</b> the full range of academic library resources effectively and economically.	
-	<b>Free and open access</b> to all intellectual resources.	
-	<b>Excellence</b> in providing services and programs.	
-	<b>Innovation</b> in identifying and implementing collaborative solutions to shared challenges.	
-	<b>Responsiveness</b> to member needs.	
-	<b>Cost-effectiveness</b> in the delivery of programs, services, and products.	
-	<b>Careful stewardship</b> of all CARLI resources.	
-	<b>Protecting the privacy</b> and security of library records.	
-	<b>Supporting intellectual freedom.</b>	
-	<b>Advocacy</b> for academic and research libraries at the local, state, regional and national levels.	

8. Rank the following factors the way you think that they have contributed to the success of CARLI. Put a number on the left where **1** being the most contributing and **8** being the least.

Rank	Factors	Comments
-	Technical expertise in Information and Communication Technologies	
-	Cost effectiveness	
-	Networking and involvement (CARLI committees)	
-	Project planning and management	
-	Effective Communication	
-	Training and Education	
-	Electronic resources brokering	
-	I-Share Integrated Library System	
-	Illinois Library Delivery Service (ILDS)	

9. My hypothesis suggests that a good relationship between consortium leadership and full participation of member libraries plays a better role than money (funding) for the success of a consortium. Indicate if you agree with my hypothesis by selecting **Yes** or **No**

**Yes**

10. Please suggest other factors that are better predictors of success of a consortium than those above.

11. Any other comments