

S
14. GS:
CIR 307
C. 2

Geol Survey

STATE OF ILLINOIS
WILLIAM G. STRATTON, *Governor*
DEPARTMENT OF REGISTRATION AND EDUCATION
VERA M. BINKS, *Director*




CHEMICAL EVALUATION OF ILLINOIS OIL SHALES

W. J. Armon
O. W. Rees

ILLINOIS GEOLOGICAL
SURVEY LIBRARY
MAY 29 1986

DIVISION OF THE
ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
JOHN C. FRYE, *Chief* URBANA
CIRCULAR 307 1960



ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY



3 3051 00004 1024

CHEMICAL EVALUATION OF ILLINOIS OIL SHALES

W. J. Armon and O. W. Rees

ABSTRACT

Four Illinois black shales and one limestone (Decorah) were selected for detailed study of the chemical and physical characteristics of products (mainly oil and gas) produced by retorting. Assay yields ranged from 7.3 to 13.3 gallons of oil per ton of shale pyrolyzed. Data indicate that the conversion of organic matter to oil was comparatively low for the shales, ranging from 8.5 to 13.4 percent. The limestone from the Decorah Formation showed a conversion of 58.9 percent.

Specific gravity of the crude oils ranged from 0.922 to 0.952. Nitrogen measured from 1.61 to 2.02 percent. The oils from Illinois shales were high in sulfur, which ranged from 0.82 to 2.42 percent. Viscosity values were strikingly lower than those reported for oils from Colorado shales.

Fractional distillation data for oils from Illinois shales show the naphtha fraction to be high and the residuum fraction to be low. Yields of gas averaged 660 cubic feet per ton of shale, and the average heating value was 775 Btu per cubic foot. Retort gases consisted chiefly of hydrogen (34.9 percent), methane (23.4 percent), and carbon dioxide (13.6 percent), the remainder being made up of lesser amounts of nitrogen, carbon monoxide, and other hydrocarbons.

Results reported provide information on characteristics of crude oils and gases from Illinois shales and serve as a basis for comparing shales and retorted shale products.

INTRODUCTION

More than a hundred years ago the discovery of the Drake oil well near Titusville, Pennsylvania, disrupted the growing shale oil industry of the United States.

In 1859 some 53 companies were producing oil by the destructive distillation of various kinds of bituminous materials (Gavin, 1922), including cannel coals, bituminous coals, and oil shales. Near Avon in the northwest corner of Fulton County, Illinois, 10 retorts were in operation that year, producing from 300 to 500 gallons a day from a seam of cannel coal that was 14 to 20 inches thick and yielded about 30 gallons of oil per ton (Worthen, 1870). When petroleum refiners, however, flooded the market with large quantities of low-cost kerosene and lubricating oils, retorting oil shale became unprofitable.

In the years since the discovery of petroleum, oil shale has remained unused while large reserves of oil were available for production in the United States (Anderson, 1959; Schroeder, 1957).

Within the past few years we have become increasingly aware of the importance of our American oil shale resources. It has been stated that a commercial oil shale industry may become operative within the next few years. Certain research organizations have demonstrated that methods of production are available that may make oil shale fuel competitive in cost with domestic petroleum fuel (Prein, 1958; Prein and Perch, 1959; Hartley, 1958).

The future of the oil shale industry is dependent on the supply of crude petroleum from both domestic and foreign sources. As the cost of finding and producing petroleum becomes greater and reserves are depleted, the dollar spread between petroleum fuels and oil shale fuels may disappear, and oil shale may be able to take its place as a source of liquid and gaseous fuel.

Oil shale research has been continued through the years by the United States Bureau of Mines. Interested universities, institutes, state geological surveys, and a few commercial companies have contributed to the study of oil shale (Cameron, 1956).

Initial research on Illinois shales was compiled and reported in Illinois State Geological Survey Bulletin 38 (Barrett, 1922), a report made to answer inquiries about the possible value of Illinois shales and cannel coals as sources of oil and gas. Quantitative results of oil shale distillation and gas analysis were recorded from Jo Daviess, Fulton, Schuyler, Sangamon, Moultrie, Union, Johnson, and Gallatin Counties.

Shortly after more recent exploratory work on Illinois shales was reported (Lamar et al., 1956), additional work was planned for detailed studies of specific shale resources. Many questions were still unanswered regarding the chemical and physical properties of oil from Illinois shales. Yields, composition, and heating value of gases produced during pyrolysis had not been evaluated, and the carbon residue remaining in the spent shale had not been investigated.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank J. A. Simon, J. S. Machin, L. D. McVicker, D. R. Dickerson, D. B. Heck, all members of the Geological Survey staff, and also personnel of the Institute of Gas Technology of Chicago, Illinois, who in various capacities aided in securing data for this report.

SAMPLES

Four black shales and one limestone sample were selected by members of the Illinois State Geological Survey staff for detailed study (table 1). The shales came from areas associated with strippable coal. The limestone of the Decorah Formation was from an outcrop in western Illinois. Each 150-pound sample was sealed at the point of sampling. Samples were crushed in the laboratory to pass an 8-mesh sieve with a minimum of fines and were retorted within a period of three months after crushing. Wherever averages of samples studied are given in this report, the values for the limestone of the Decorah Formation are included with the figures for the black shales.

METHODS OF ANALYSIS

Standard methods for the analysis of oil shale and its products have not been established. Available methods that are standard for petroleum and coal analysis were modified to fit particular situations encountered in the research on shales from Illinois.

TABLE 1 - DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND IDENTIFICATION OF SAMPLES

Lab. no.	
0-737	<p>No. 5 Coal - black shale from strip pit. Approximately 1000 feet east and 500 feet north of SW corner sec. 2, T. 6 N., R. 4 E., Fulton County, Illinois.</p> <p>Of 37 inches of shale between St. David Limestone and No. 5 Coal; only lower 31 inches of black slaty shale was sampled. Sample unweathered - area was recently uncovered.</p> <p>United Electric Coal Company - Buckheart Mine</p>
0-738	<p>No. 5 Coal - black shale from strip pit. SW$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$ SE$\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 11, T. 10 S., R. 9 E., Saline County, Illinois.</p> <p>Sample was nearly 4 feet thick; immediately above No. 5 Coal. K and W Coal Company.</p>
0-739	<p>No. 2 Coal - black shale - outcrop, south bank of Coal Creek. SW$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$ NW$\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 20, T. 8 N., R. 3 E., Fulton County, Illinois.</p> <p>Of 36 inches of shale between limestone and No. 2 Coal; lower 32 inches of black slaty shale was sampled.</p> <p>Outcrop sample somewhat weathered. Approximately 6 inches of vertical face cut back before sampling, but shale showed signs of weathering.</p>
0-740	<p>No. 6 Coal - black shale from strip pit, NE$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$ SE$\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 25, T. 5 S., R. 3 W., Perry County, Illinois.</p> <p>Of 7 feet 10 inches of shale between Brereton Limestone and No. 6 Coal; the top 2 feet 10 inches was black slaty shale. Only the black, slaty shale was sampled. Truax-Traer Coal Company.</p>
0-741	<p>Decorah Formation - outcrop NE$\frac{1}{4}$ SW$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 6, T. 12 S., R. 2 W., Calhoun County, Illinois.</p> <p>7 feet of brown, thin-bedded limestone with thin partings of brown shale.</p>

The samples were first assayed in the modified Fischer retort (Stanfield and Frost, 1949). After the assay, a 10-kilogram charge was pyrolyzed in a larger retort designed and built at the Survey (see appendix) to produce oil in sufficient quantity for physical and chemical analysis. Methods used were those suggested by the United States Bureau of Mines for the analysis of shales and shale products (Smith et al., 1951; Stevens et al., 1952). (See appendix for tabulation of methods of analysis.) The gases from the large retort were collected for analysis. Gas analysis methods included a wet method for hydrogen sulfide, mass spectrometer for gas components, the effusion method and component calculation for gas gravities, and component calculation and gas calorimeter for heating values. Carbon and hydrogen values on the shales were determined by combustion analysis.

APPARATUS

The assay apparatus used was described by Stanfield and Frost (1949) for the assaying of oil shale by a modified Fischer retort. Although this unit is adequate for general evaluation of oil yield, its limited capacity (100 grams) does not permit production of enough oil and gas for detailed analysis. It was necessary, therefore, to design and build a larger retort for this purpose. Retorting oil shale involves thermal decomposition of the organic material present that produces oil

and gas. Conditions of retorting, such as temperature, rate of heating, absence of air, and the like, are important because the yield and character of the oil and gas depend on these factors.

A 10-kilogram, electrically heated retort was constructed to duplicate as nearly as possible the conditions of temperature, rate of heating, and other conditions obtained in the modified Fischer retort. To the retort was connected a condensing system composed of (1) an oil collector surrounded by ice (0° C), (2) two water-cooled condensing towers joined in tandem and hooked to the oil collector, and (3) a 220-liter gas collector attached to the top condensing tower. The gases were gathered over water at a slight positive pressure (1 inch H₂O). A valve was provided in the line to the gas collector by means of which a sample could be secured before the gas entered the gas holder. Samples of gas were taken at this point over a saturated solution of potassium sulfate for determination of hydrogen sulfide. (A more detailed description of the large retort and gas collecting system is given in figures 2 and 3 in the appendix.)

Shale gas components were determined by the Institute of Gas Technology on a mass spectrometer. The Schilling gas density tester was used for gas gravity determinations. Gas gravities also were calculated from component analysis. The heating values of the gases were calculated from component analysis and determined by the Institute of Gas Technology by a Cutler-Hammer calorimeter.

Specific gravities of the shale oils were determined using a Westphal specific gravity balance and gravity pipettes. Viscosity was determined with Ostwald-Fenske viscometers for kinematic viscosity and converted by ASTM tables to Saybolt universal seconds. Nitrogen and sulfur of the oils were determined by micro-analytical methods.

The apparatus used for the analytical distillation of the crude shale oil was a modification of that used by the United States Bureau of Mines for the analysis of crude petroleum (Stevens et al., 1952). Specific gravities of distillation products were determined with pipettes and pycnometers. Viscosities of distillation fractions were determined by Ostwald-Fenske viscometers. Carbon and hydrogen were determined by combustion analysis, and carbon dioxide on the raw shale and spent shale from the large retort was determined by evolution with acid and absorption in ascarite.

PROCEDURE

Each sample was first assayed by the modified Fischer method. Shale moisture, oil yield in gallons per ton, specific gravity of oil, and pyrolysis products in weight percent, including oil, water, spent shale, gas, and loss, were determined. Ash and ignition loss were determined on the spent shale (table 2).

After the assay the shales were retorted in the larger retort. Because the different shales yielded different quantities of gas, the charge had to be varied so that the volume of gas produced would not exceed the 7.5 cubic feet capacity of the gas collector. Retort charges from 6 to 8 kilograms were found to be best suited for the equipment used.

The heating rate was a function of the retort design and was determined by the maximum amount of current that could be applied to the heating elements. Full power was applied at the start and maintained throughout the retorting period. The rate of heating on all samples was such that all shales retorted passed through the same range of temperatures in the same interval of time. Figure 1 shows the retorting rates used for all samples covered in this report.




Fig. 1 - Approximate heating rate of 10-kilogram retort with sample in position

TABLE 2 - MODIFIED FISCHER ASSAY OF OIL SHALES
(100-gram retort)

Lab no.	Description and source	Moist. shale %	Gals. in oil per ton of shale	Spec. grav. 60/60°F	Dry basis Distillation products (Weight/percent)				Spent shale *	
					Oil	Water	Spent shale	Gas & loss	Ash %	Ignition loss %
0-737	Black shale above No. 5 Coal, Fulton Co.	7.7	10.0	0.951	4.0	3.3	90.4	2.3	68.5	22.0
0-738	Black shale above No. 5 Coal, Saline Co.	2.3	7.3	0.928	2.8	1.1	93.7	2.4	73.5	20.3
0-739	Black shale above No. 2 Coal, Fulton Co.	3.8	12.9	0.928	5.0	3.8	89.7	1.5	64.9	24.8
0-740	Black shale above No. 6 Coal, Perry Co.	0.6	13.3	0.950	5.3	2.5	89.2	3.0	69.0	20.2
0-741	Decorah Formation - outcrop, Calhoun Co.	0.0	8.3	0.903	3.1	0.4	96.1	0.4	60.0	36.1

* Percentage based on original shale.

As the decomposition products of the black shale were vaporized, they were condensed and collected by passing them from the retort through a glass connector packed with glass beads and "Berl saddles" to slow the velocity of the gas and to condense some of the vapors. The condensate and the uncondensed vapors then passed into the 2-liter oil collector at a controlled temperature of 0° C. Most of the vapors condensed in this area. The more volatile materials then passed through a 1½-meter, 2-inch diameter, glass cooling tower packed with glass beads and "Berl saddles," and around a ¾-meter length of coiled, stainless steel cooling condenser through which water at 0° C was circulated. The noncondensed gases passed through a dry ice cold trap (-50° C) and through a second 1½-meter cooling tower and into the gas collector. Difficulties were encountered in keeping oil from passing through the system into the gas collector. The loss of oil through the cooling tower and trap in conjunction with the gas may account for the apparent lower efficiency of the large retort compared with the modified Fischer assay retort (table 3).

TABLE 3 - COMPARISON OF RETORT OIL YIELDS

Lab. no.	Oil yield - gallons per ton of shale		
	Fischer assay (100 grams)	Large retort (10 kilograms)	Difference (gallons/ton)
0-737	10.0	9.8	0.2
0-738	7.3	6.0	1.3
0-739	12.9	10.4	2.5
0-740	13.3	10.3	3.0
0-741	8.3	7.1	1.2

The analytical values obtained for the raw crude oils included specific gravity, calculated API gravity, nitrogen, sulfur, viscosity, and fractional distillation data.

Crude shale oils produced by pyrolysis were examined by fractional distillation to determine their properties. Analytical distillation of a 300-ml sample of shale oil involved fractionation at atmospheric pressure and the measuring of the percentages of oil that distilled between 50° C (122° F) and 200° C (392° F). The specific and API gravities of these fractions were determined.

The remainder of the sample was then distilled under reduced pressure (40 mm mercury), and fractions obtained between limits of 150° C (302° F) and 200° C (392° F) were measured as the percentage of oil distilled; specific gravity and API gravities of these fractions were determined. The vacuum distillation was continued from 225° C (437° F) through 300° C (572° F). A total of 14 fractions was collected at 25° C intervals (tables 4-8). The percentage of oil that distilled at these temperatures, its specific gravity, API gravity, and viscosity at 100° F were determined.

The fractions of the atmospheric pressure determinations distilling below 200° C (392° F) were combined as the naphtha fraction. The fractions distilling between 150° C (302° F) and 200° C (392° F) at reduced pressure were combined

TABLE 4 - ANALYSIS OF SHALE OIL

Lab. No. 0-737

Sample from Fulton County
Sec. 2, T. 6 N., R. 4 E.

General Characteristics

Specific gravity:	0.952	API gravity:	17.13°
Sulfur, percent:	2.18	Viscosity, centistokes:	7.65
Nitrogen, percent:	1.61	Viscosity, Saybolt sec.:	51.1

Frac- tion no.	Cut temp.		Per- cent cut	Sum per- cent	Specific gravity 60/60°F	°API	Viscosity centistokes, at 100°F	Viscosity Saybolt sec., at 100°F
----------------------	-----------	--	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------------------	------	---------------------------------------	--

Distillation Analysis - Atmospheric Pressure

1	50	122	-	-				
2	75	167	0.9	0.9	0.715	66.4		
3	100	212	1.1	2.0	0.716	65.9		
4	125	257	4.3	6.3	0.763	54.0		
5	150	302	6.4	12.7	0.803	44.7		
6	175	347	6.8	19.5	0.844	36.2		
7	200	392	8.1	27.6	0.866	31.9		

Distillation Analysis - 40 mm Hg

8	150	302	2.1	29.7	0.902	25.4		
9	175	347	5.9	35.6	0.913	23.7		
10	200	392	7.0	42.6	0.928	21.0		
11	225	437	6.8	49.4	0.936	19.7	13.45	72
12	250	482	7.3	56.7	0.952	17.1	35.12	164
13	275	527	6.6	63.3	0.968	14.7	108.79	504
14	300	572	7.4	70.7	0.989	11.6	506.73	2349

Approximate Summary

Naphtha (fractions 1-7)	27.6	0.785	48.8		
Light distillate (fractions 8-10)	15.0	0.914	23.3		
Heavy distillate (fractions 11-14)	28.1	0.961	15.7	166.02	770
Residuum	26.1	1.097	<1.0		
Distillation loss	3.2				

as the light distillate fraction. The fractions distilling between 225° C (437° F) and 300° C (572° F) at reduced pressure were combined as the heavy distillate fraction. Specific gravity and API gravity were calculated for these composites from values determined on individual fractions. The tar or residuum was weighed, and its specific gravity and API gravity were determined. Distillation loss was the quantity that could not be accounted for in the summation of distillation products.

TABLE 5 - ANALYSIS OF SHALE OIL

Lab. No. 0-738

Sample from Saline County
Sec. 11, T. 10 S., R. 9 E.

General Characteristics

Specific gravity:	0.923	API gravity:	21.8°
Sulfur, percent:	1.32	Viscosity, centistokes:	3.74
Nitrogen, percent:	2.02	Viscosity, Saybolt sec.:	38.4

Frac- tion no.	Cut temp.		Per- cent cut	Sum per- cent	Specific gravity 60/60°F	°API	Viscosity centistokes, at 100°F	Viscosity Saybolt sec., at 100°F
	°C	°F						

Distillation Analysis - Atmospheric Pressure

1	50	122	-					
2	75	167	1.2	1.2	0.694	72.4		
3	100	212	2.5	3.7	0.730	62.3		
4	125	257	5.9	9.6	0.755	55.9		
5	150	302	7.4	17.0	0.782	49.5		
6	175	347	7.5	24.5	0.822	40.6		
7	200	392	7.2	31.7	0.839	37.2		

Distillation Analysis - 40 mm Hg

8	150	302	9.9	41.6	0.868	31.5		
9	175	347	8.3	49.9	0.912	23.7		
10	200	392	7.4	57.3	0.924	21.6		
11	225	437	7.1	64.4	0.953	17.0	8.89	55
12	250	482	6.9	71.3	0.963	15.4	19.26	94
13	275	527	6.3	77.6	0.984	12.3	53.41	248
14	300	572	6.9	84.5	1.005	9.3	243.02	1126

Approximate Summary

Naphtha (fractions 1-7)	31.7	0.770	52.3		
Light distillate (fractions 8-10)	25.6	0.901	25.6		
Heavy distillate (fractions 11-14)	27.2	0.976	13.5	81.15	376
Residuum	15.3	1.079	<1.0		
Distillation loss	0.2				

TABLE 6 - ANALYSIS OF SHALE OIL

Lab. No. 0-739

Sample from Fulton County
Sec. 20, T. 8 N., R. 3 E.

General Characteristics

Specific gravity:	0.933	API gravity:	20.16°
Sulfur, percent:	1.28	Viscosity, centistokes:	4.55
Nitrogen, percent:	1.59	Viscosity, Saybolt sec.:	41.1

Frac- tion no.	Cut temp.		Per- cent cut	Sum per- cent	Specific gravity 60/60°F	°API	Viscosity centistokes, at 100°F	Viscosity Saybolt sec., at 100°F
	°C	°F						

Distillation Analysis - Atmospheric Pressure

1	50	122	-	-				
2	75	167	1.0	1.0	0.728			
3	100	212	1.8	2.8	0.744			
4	125	257	3.7	6.5	0.775			
5	150	302	6.7	13.2	0.795			
6	175	347	7.3	20.5	0.833			
7	200	392	8.1	28.6	0.856			

Distillation Analysis - 40 mm Hg

8	150	302	11.2	39.8	0.887			
9	175	347	8.5	48.3	0.931			
10	200	392	8.5	56.8	0.944			
11	225	437	7.2	64.0	0.972	11.97	66	
12	250	482	6.6	70.6	0.982	30.59	142	
13	275	527	5.8	76.4	1.003	106.50	494	
14	300	572	6.8	83.2	1.018	378.65	1755	

Approximate Summary

Naphtha (fractions 1-7)	28.6	0.789	47.8		
Light distillate (fractions 8-10)	28.2	0.921	22.1		
Heavy distillate (fractions 11-14)	26.4	0.994	10.9	131.93	612
Residuum	12.1	1.088	<1.0		
Distillation loss	4.7				

TABLE 7 - ANALYSIS OF SHALE OIL

Lab. No. 0-740

Sample from Perry County
Sec. 25, T. 5 S., R. 3 W.

General Characteristics

Specific gravity:	0.949	API gravity:	17.60°
Sulfur, percent:	2.42	Viscosity, centistokes:	5.17
Nitrogen, percent:	1.45	Viscosity, Saybolt sec.:	43.0

Frac- tion no.	Cut temp.		Per- cent cut	Sum per- cent	Specific gravity 60/60°F	°API	Viscosity centistokes, at 100°F	Viscosity Saybolt sec., at 100°F
	°C	°F						

Distillation Analysis - Atmospheric Pressure

1	50	122	-	-	-	-		
2	75	167	1.2	1.2	0.723	64.2		
3	100	212	1.2	2.4	0.766	53.2		
4	125	257	4.1	6.5	0.771	52.0		
5	150	302	7.1	13.6	0.798	45.8		
6	175	347	7.7	21.3	0.845	36.0		
7	200	392	8.1	29.4	0.864	32.3		

Distillation Analysis - 40 mm Hg

8	150	302	9.2	38.6	0.896	26.4		
9	175	347	8.3	46.9	0.923	21.8		
10	200	392	8.1	55.0	0.953	17.0		
11	225	437	7.9	62.9	0.972	14.1	10.35	60
12	250	482	7.4	70.3	0.979	13.0	24.57	119
13	275	527	7.0	77.3	0.998	10.3	77.44	359
14	300	572	7.7	85.0	1.015	7.9	403.12	1868

Approximate Summary

Naphtha (fractions 1-7)	29.4	0.795	46.5		
Light distillate (fractions 8-10)	25.6	0.924	21.6		
Heavy distillate (fractions 11-14)	30.0	0.991	11.3	128.87	597
Residuum	14.2	1.080	<1.0		
Distillation loss	0.8				

TABLE 8 - ANALYSIS OF SHALE OIL

Lab. No. 0-741

Sample from Calhoun County
Sec. 6, T. 12 S., R. 2 W.

General Characteristics

Specific gravity:	0.922	API gravity:	22.0°
Sulfur, percent:	0.82	Viscosity, centistokes:	5.52
Nitrogen, percent:	1.0	Viscosity, Saybolt sec.:	44.0

Frac- tion no.	Cut temp.		Per- cent cut	Sum per- cent	Specific gravity 60/60°F	°API	Viscosity centistokes, at 100°F	Viscosity Saybolt sec., at 100°F
	°C	°F						

Distillation Analysis - Atmospheric Pressure

1	50	122						
2	75	167	0.5	0.5	0.707	68.6		
3	100	212	2.2	2.7	0.727	63.1		
4	125	257	4.9	7.6	0.760	54.7		
5	150	302	6.1	13.7	0.772	51.8		
6	175	347	6.3	20.0	0.791	47.4		
7	200	392	7.1	27.1	0.820	41.1		

Distillation Analysis - 40 mm. Hg

8	150	302	7.3	34.4	0.851	35.0		
9	175	347	7.3	41.7	0.879	29.5		
10	200	392	6.2	47.9	0.897	26.3		
11	225	437	8.3	56.2	0.931	20.5	10.23	60
12	250	482	5.6	61.8	0.960	15.0	22.36	108
13	275	527	2.6	64.4	0.991	11.3	*	*
14	300	572	*	*				

Approximate Summary

Naphtha (fractions 1-7)	27.1	0.763	54.0		
Light distillate (fractions 8-10)	20.8	0.876	30.0		
Heavy distillate (fractions 11-14)	16.5	0.961	15.7	*	*
Residuum	34.6	1.054	2.8		
Distillation loss	1.0				

* Oil started to crack at 252°C.

TABLE 9 - COMPOSITION AND PROPERTIES OF RETORT GASES FROM SHALES

Property	Ill. shale 0-737	Ill. shale 0-738	Ill. shale 0-739	Ill. shale 0-740	Ill. ls. 0-741	All- sample av.	Colo. shale av.*
Oil yield, gal/ton	9.8	6.0	10.4	10.3	7.1	-	-
Specific gravity of gas calcu- lated from composition (air = 1.000)	0.70	0.81	0.57	0.61	0.86	-	-
Specific gravity of gas determined	0.67	0.82	0.59	0.65	0.85	-	-
Yield of air-free dry gas at 60°F - 760 mm Hg (cu ft /ton of shale	729	649	886	971	236	694	696
Gross heating value calcu- lated from composition Btu/cu ft	792	758	785	768	822	785	891
Gross heating value determined by Cutler-Hammer calorimeter Btu/cu ft	-	760	767	755	861	-	-
Composition - percent by volume determined by mass spectrometer							
Methane	21.0	27.0	24.2	23.5	21.3	23.4	18.8
Ethane	4.7	6.4	6.2	6.4	11.2	7.0	7.5
Propane	2.2	0.5	2.3	1.9	3.5	2.1	3.2
n-butane	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.8	1.7
Isobutane	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.4	-	0.5	0.1
n-pentane C ₅ H ₁₂	-	0.3	-	0.2	0.5	0.2	1.1
n-hexane	-	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4
Carbon dioxide	14.4	19.5	8.6	9.6	16.0	13.6	29.4
Carbon monoxide†	1.8	1.4	2.9	1.8	4.8	2.5	4.7
Nitrogen	6.1	7.2	1.7	4.3	5.8	5.0	-
Hydrogen	39.4	26.7	46.3	43.0	19.0	34.9	19.9
Ethylene C ₂ H ₄	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.2	6.0	2.5	2.3
Propylene C ₃ H ₆	3.7	1.8	2.2	1.5	6.0	3.0	2.1
Butene - 1)							
Butene - 2)C ₄ H ₈		1.5	1.0	1.3	2.7	1.3	2.8
Isobutene)							
Pentenes C ₅ H ₁₀	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.6
Hexenes C ₆ H ₁₂	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	-
Heptenes	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	0.2	-
Octenes	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hydrogen sulfide	-	1.9	0.1	2.9	-	1.0	4.4
1,3-butadiene	-	0.8	-	0.3	0.7	0.4	-
Cyclopentadiene	0.1	-	-	-	0.1	-	-
Benzene	0.2	0.1	0.1	-	-	0.1	-
Toluene	0.3	-	0.1	-	-	0.1	-
Xylene	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acetylene	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hydrogen sulfide(vol. %)‡	1.79	8.37	1.09	7.02	0.26	3.7	-

* Stanfield et al., 1951.

† Carbon monoxide by infrared analysis.

‡ Hydrogen sulfide determined immediately after retorting - caught over K₂SO₄.

The oil shale gases were collected over water at a slight retort pressure (1 inch water) to prevent the entrance of air. The volume of collected gases was immediately determined and then pressurized to 7.5 PSIG. Specific gravity determinations were made and samples were withdrawn into stainless steel containers for mass spectrometer analysis. A representative sample for determination of hydrogen sulfide was caught over saturated potassium sulfate solution during retorting at the valve in the gas line leading to the gas collector. Hydrogen sulfide was determined iodometrically. The shale gas was analyzed for components and heating value. Component analysis was made on a Consolidated Electroynamics mass spectrometer and an infrared spectrophotometer. Heating values were calculated from component analysis and also determined by gas calorimeter (table 9). Mass spectrometer, infrared, and gas calorimeter data were obtained for us by the staff of the Institute of Gas Technology in Chicago.

Organic carbon and hydrogen on both raw and spent shales were determined by the combustion method. As this method includes both organic and inorganic carbon (carbonate), determinations of mineral CO₂ were made and the combustion values for carbon were corrected for the inorganic carbon. Hydrogen in the raw shale was not corrected for the hydrogen of water of hydration or clay lattice hydroxyl groups present in the mineral parts of the shale. In table 10 the estimate of organic matter converted to oil is based on the difference in organic carbon content of raw and spent shale.

TABLE 10 - CONVERSION OF ORGANIC CARBON TO PRODUCTS

Lab. no.	From Illinois					From Colorado
	0-737 Shale	0-738 Shale	0-739 Shale	0-740 Shale	0-741 Ls.	41 * Shale
Yields of oil (gals/ton)	9.8	6.0	10.4	10.3	7.1	27.7
Organic carbon† in raw shale, percent	15.7	15.0	21.0	19.1	4.5	-
Organic carbon† in spent shale, percent	13.6	13.1	19.2	16.3	1.9	-
Organic carbon remaining in shale after retorting at 500°C, percent	86.6	87.5	91.5	85.1	41.1	-
Organic material converted to oil and gas, percent	13.4	12.5	8.5	14.9	58.9	72.0

* See Smith et al., 1959, p. 33, table 7.
† Determined by combustion and corrected for mineral carbon dioxide.

RESULTS

Modified Fischer assay results are shown in table 2 for the samples studied. Yields of oil in gallons per ton of shale, obtained with both the Fischer assay and large retorts, appear in table 3. Detailed analytical data for the oils from the large retort for the four shales and one limestone are shown in tables 4 through 8. Gas analytical data are given in table 9. Percentages of organic carbon converted to oil from Illinois shales are shown in table 10. Table 11 presents analytical data and a comparison of oils from Illinois shales with petroleum oils from Illinois and oils from Colorado shales.

TABLE 11 - ANALYTICAL DATA FOR OIL FROM ILLINOIS SHALES

	From Illinois			From Colorado		From Illinois		
	Shale oil 0-737	Shale oil 0-738	Shale oil 0-739	Shale oil 0-740	Ls. oil 0-741	Shale oil* (Fischer)	Shale oil† N-T-U	Crude oil‡ (range)
Crude oil								
Gal/ton	9.8	6.0	10.4	10.3	7.1	16.8°	-	
Specific gravity, 60/60°F	0.952	0.923	0.933	0.949	0.922	0.937	0.935	0.797 - 0.899
API gravity, degrees	17.13	21.8	20.16	17.60	22.0	19.5	19.8	46.0 - 25.9
Nitrogen, wt/%	1.61	2.02	1.59	1.45	1.0	1.73	1.78	-
Sulfur, wt/%	2.18	1.32	1.28	2.42	0.82	1.10	0.74	0.16 - 0.23
Viscosity								
Centistokes, at 100°F	7.65	3.74	4.55	5.17	5.52			
Saybolt sec., at 100°F	51.1	38.4	41.1	43.0	44.0	183	280	33 - 109
Fractionation								
Naptha, vol. %	27.6	31.7	28.6	29.4	27.1	11.9	2.7	48.4 - 14.1
Specific gravity, 60/60°F	0.785	0.770	0.789	0.795	0.763	0.801	0.791	0.735 - 0.789
API gravity, degrees	48.8	52.3	47.8	46.5	54.0	45.2	47.4	61.0 - 53.7
Light distillate, vol. %	15.0	25.6	28.2	25.6	20.8	17.6	15.7	28.8 - 21.2
Specific gravity, 60/60°F	0.914	0.901	0.921	0.924	0.876	0.879	0.872	0.811 - 0.862
API gravity, degrees	23.3	25.6	22.1	21.6	30.0	29.5	30.8	42.9 - 32.7
Heavy distillate, vol. %	28.1	27.2	26.4	30.0	16.5	31.5	34.4	12.2 - 23.1
Specific gravity, 60/60°F	0.961	0.976	0.994	0.991	0.961	0.948	0.913	0.868 - 0.912
API gravity, degrees	15.7	13.5	10.9	11.3	15.7	17.8	23.5	31.5 - 23.7
Viscosity								
Centistokes, 100°F	166.02	81.15	131.93	128.87	-	-	-	-
Saybolt sec., 100°F	770	376	612	597	-	-	-	>200
Residuum, vol. %	28.3	15.3	12.1	14.2	34.6	39.0	45.8	8.7 - 40.9
Specific gravity, 60/60°F	1.097	1.079	1.088	1.080	1.054	1.002	0.980	0.949 - 0.970
API gravity, degrees	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	2.8	9.7	12.9	14.4 - 17.6
Distillation loss, vol. %	1.0	0.2	4.7	0.8	1.0	-	2.4	1.9 - 0.7

* Fischer assay of shale oil from Colorado - U. S. Bureau of Mines - see Allbright et al., 1956.

† U. S. Bureau of Mines crude shale oil analysis - see Stevens et al., 1952.

‡ Illinois crude oil ranging from lightest to heaviest gravity, from data of approximately 170 samples - see Rees et al., 1943.

During retorting, oil first condensed at an average temperature of 240° C (464° F) with maximum oil production at approximately 410° C (770° F). When 460° C (860° F) was reached, oil production had ceased on the shales studied.

Gas was first produced at an average temperature of 130° C (266° F). Collection of gas samples started at approximately 175° C (347° F) after the retort had been purged of air and before first visible vapors appeared at 185° C (365° F). Heavy, olive green vapors appeared at 245° C (473° F), with the heaviest production at 400° C (752° F). At 490° C (914° F) production of gases was negligible. From data obtained, the gases start coming off the shale below the temperature of first oil production and continue through and past the oil producing period. After the oil had been evolved, gas production dropped off suddenly, and by the end of the retorting period very little gas was formed.

DISCUSSION

The exploratory assays of Illinois shales gave yields that ranged from 0 to 40 gallons of oil per ton of shale (Lamar et al., 1956).

Yields of oil from the four black shales and one limestone studied in this report are comparatively low. Results from the modified Fischer assay ranged from 7.3 gallons of oil per ton for the black shale above No. 5 Coal from Saline County to 13.3 gallons of oil per ton from the black shale above No. 6 Coal in Perry County. The large retort analysis gave slightly lower yields than the Fischer assay (table 3).

Organic carbon values indicate that the percentage conversion of organic matter to oil for the shales examined is low (table 10).

The oils from Illinois shales appear to fall in a classification between crude petroleum and products from the destructive distillation of coal. Oils from Illinois shales investigated ranged from 0.922 to 0.952 in specific gravity. Illinois crude petroleum averages between 0.797 and 0.899, whereas coal tars are heavier.

The API gravities of oils from Illinois shales are similar to oils from Colorado shales as reported and lower than Illinois crude petroleum. Oil from Illinois shales ranged from 17.13° to 22° API gravity as compared to reported values of 19.5° to 19.8° for Colorado shale oil and 25.9° to 46.0° for Illinois crude petroleum.

The nitrogen content of oil from Illinois shales ranges from 1.61 percent to 2.02 percent by weight. Compared with oil from Colorado shales they are similar, for the western oils are reported to run between 1.70 and 2.13 percent (Stanfield et al., 1951).

Oil from Illinois shales is high in sulfur, ranging from 0.82 to 2.42 percent by weight as compared to a range of 0.46 to 0.72 percent for oil from Colorado shales and less than 0.5 percent for Illinois crude petroleum.

Viscosity values (table 11) of oil from Illinois shales differ considerably from those reported for oils from Colorado shales but they are similar to viscosity values reported for Illinois petroleum. Oils from Illinois shales ranged from 38.4 to 51.1 Saybolt seconds; oils from Colorado shales were 183 to 280 Saybolt seconds (Allbright et al., 1956). Illinois crude petroleum had a range of from 33 to 109 Saybolt seconds (Rees et al., 1943). The oils from Colorado shales have been reported as solidifying at room temperature (Hartley and Brinegar, 1957). The Illinois oil showed no evidence of this.

On distillation, the naphtha fractions of the oils from Illinois shales ranged from 27.1 to 31.7 percent by volume as compared to 2.7 to 11.9 percent for the

Colorado shales and 14.1 to 48.4 percent by volume for Illinois crude petroleum. Gravities of the individual fractions included in the naphtha fractions were similar.

The light distillate fractions revealed only slight differences in yield between the Illinois and Colorado shales. The gravities, however, showed differences in that the light distillates from oil from Illinois shales had a range of 0.876 to 0.924, the Colorado fractions averaged 0.875, and Illinois crude petroleum ranged from 0.811 to 0.862.

Yields of heavy distillates from oils from Illinois shales varied from 16.5 to 30.0 percent by volume as compared to 31.5 to 34.4 percent for the Colorado shales and 12.2 to 23.1 percent by volume for Illinois crude petroleum. Gravities were heavier, ranging from 0.961 to 0.994 as compared to 0.913 to 0.948 for Colorado shales and 0.868 to 0.912 for Illinois petroleum. The viscosity of Illinois crude shale oil heavy distillates showed very high values of from 376 to 770 Saybolt seconds.

Residuum data show values of 12.1 to 34.6 percent by volume as compared to 39.0 to 45.8 percent for oil from Colorado shales and 8.7 to 40.9 percent by volume in Illinois crude petroleum. Gravity values of the residuum of oil from Illinois shales are high (table 11).

The yields of gases were approximately proportional to the yield of oil; higher oil-yielding shales gave higher gas volumes and ranged from 649 to 971 cubic feet of gas per ton (table 9). The gross heating value of the gases calculated from composition and determined by gas calorimeter ranged from 755 to 792 Btu per cubic foot of gas. The limestone of the Decorah Formation was an exception; it gave a yield of 236 cubic feet of gas per ton of shale, and the gas had a high calorific value of 861 Btu.

Retort gases consisted chiefly of hydrogen (34.9 percent), methane (23.4 percent), and carbon dioxide (13.6 percent), with lesser amounts of nitrogen, hydrogen sulfide, carbon monoxide, and other saturated and unsaturated hydrocarbons.

Analyses of the gases from Illinois shales are given in table 9, together with an average value for gas from six Colorado shales. The composition of retort gases from Illinois shales and Colorado shales are similar except for hydrogen and carbon dioxide. Illinois shales yielded an average of 34.9 percent hydrogen and 13.6 percent carbon dioxide. Colorado shales gave yields of 19.9 percent hydrogen and 29.4 percent carbon dioxide. Specific gravities of gases are in agreement both for the calculated and determined values. The yields of gases of Illinois shales and Colorado shales are similar in quantity and heating value.

The spent shales were principally inorganic, but they retained varying amounts of residual organic carbon that ranged from 13.12 to 19.18 percent. In most cases the conversion of organic matter into oil, as measured by the organic carbon values for raw and spent shale, was low. Conversion of organic material to oil was highest in the shale above No. 6 Coal from Perry County, which had a value of 14.9 percent. The lowest conversion value, 8.5 percent, was for the shale above No. 2 Coal in Fulton County. The limestone of the Decorah Formation was again an exception in that the small amount of organic matter in the limestone (4.5 percent) gave a 58.9 percent conversion value (table 10).

Results reported provide information on the yields and characteristics of oil and gas produced from Illinois shales under described conditions of retorting. The methods used and the results obtained serve as a basis for comparing different oils and different shales, but they do not give direct estimates of yields of commercial products by commercial processes. The characteristics of the products and yields of gas and oil by the modified Fischer assay or large retort may not be directly comparable to results obtained under different retorting conditions.

REFERENCES

- Allbright, C. S., Van Meter, R. A., Dinneen, G. U., and Ball, J. S., 1956, Analysis of crude shale oil — 2. Some Brazilian and U.S.A. oils: U. S. Bur. Mines Rept. Inv. 5286, 28 p.
- Anderson, C. C., 1959, Petroleum reserves and oil shale deposits: Producers Monthly, v. 23, no. 11, p. 32-35.
- Alteri, V. J., 1945, Gas analysis and testing of gaseous materials: American Gas Association, New York.
- American Society for Testing Materials, 1958, ASTM Standards, Part 7, p. 201.
- Barrett, N. O., 1922, Notes on Illinois bituminous shales, including results of their experimental distillation, *in* DeWolf, F. W., et al., Year Book: Illinois Geol. Survey Bull. 38, p. 441-460.
- Cameron, R. J., 1956, Where oil shale stands today: World Petroleum, v. 27, p. 58-61.
- Carnegie Steel Co., 1927, 3rd ed., Methods of the chemists of the U. S. Steel Corporation for the sampling and analysis of gases: Carnegie Steel Co. Bureau of Instruction, Pittsburgh, Pa., 132 p.
- Cross, Roy, 1931, Handbook of petroleum, asphalt, and natural gas: Kansas City Testing Lab. Bull. 25.
- Gavin, M. J., 1922, Oil shale, an historical, technical and economic study: U. S. Bur. Mines Bull. 210, 201 p.
- Guthrie, Boyd, 1938, Studies of certain properties of oil shale and shale oil: U. S. Bur. Mines Bull. 415, 159 p.
- Hartley, F. L., 1958, Oil from shale — Coming sources of energy: Chemical Processing, v. 21, no. 9, p. 32-35.
- Hartley, F. L., and Brinegar, C. S., 1957, Shale and bituminous sand: Scientific Monthly, v. 84, no. 6, p. 275-289.
- Horne, J. W., and Bauer, A. D., 1927, Comparison of oils derived from coal and from oil shale: U. S. Bur. Mines Rept. Inv. 2832, 34 p.
- Karrick, L. C., 1926, Manual of testing methods for oil shale and shale oil: U. S. Bur. Mines Bull. 249, 70 p.
- Lamar, J. E., Armon, W. J., and Simon, J. A., 1956, Illinois oil shale: Illinois Geol. Survey Circ. 208, 21 p.

- Neiderl, J. B., and Neiderl, V., 1942, 2nd ed., Micro-methods of quantitative organic analysis: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- Prein, C. H., 1958, Oil shale coming of age: Oil and Gas Jour., v. 56, no. 43, p. 58.
- Prein, C. H., and Perch, M., 1959, Pyrolysis of coal and shale: Ind. and Eng. Chem., v. 51, no. 9, part II, p. 1142-1147.
- Rees, O. W., Henline, P. W., and Bell, A. H., 1943, Chemical characteristics of Illinois crude oils with a discussion of their geologic occurrence: Illinois Geol. Survey Rept. Inv. 88, p. 78-82.
- Schroeder, W. C., 1957, Tomorrow's energy: Oil and Gas Jour., v. 55, no. 8, p. 120-122.
- Schultz, E. B., and Linden, H. R., 1959, Production of pipeline gas: Ind. and Eng. Chem., v. 51, no. 4, p. 573-576.
- Smith, N. A. C., et al., 1951, The Bureau of Mines routine method for the analysis of crude petroleum — I. The analytical method: U. S. Bur. Mines Bull. 490, 82 p.
- Smith, H. N., Smith, J. W., and Kommes, D. W. C., 1959, Petrographic examination and chemical analysis for several foreign oil shales: U. S. Bur. Mines Rept. Inv. 5504, 34 p.
- Stanfield, K. E., and Frost, I. C., 1949, Method of assaying oil shale by a modified Fischer retort: U. S. Bur. Mines Rept. Inv. 4477, 13 p.
- Stanfield, K. E., Frost, I. C., McAuley, W. S., and Smith, H. N., 1951, Properties of Colorado oil shale: U. S. Bur. Mines Rept. Inv. 4825, 27 p.
- Stevens, R. F., Dinneen, G. U., and Ball, J. S., 1952, Analysis of crude shale oil: U. S. Bur. Mines Rept. Inv. 4898, 20 p.
- Worthen, A. H., 1870, Geology of Fulton County, in Geology and Paleontology, vol. IV, p. 105-106, Geol. Survey of Illinois.

APPENDIX
ANALYTICAL METHODS

Test	Method	Reference
<u>SHALE</u>		
Organic carbon, hydrogen	Determination of carbon and hydrogen by combustion	Standard texts
Mineral CO ₂	Absorption	Standard texts
Assay	Method of assaying oil shale by a modified Fischer retort	Stanfield and Frost, 1949
<u>OIL</u>		
Specific gravity	Westphal-type balance Pipette-type pycnometer	Smith et al., 1951
Nitrogen	Micro-analytical (Dumas)	Neiderl and Neiderl, 1942
Sulfur	Micro-analytical (Carius)	Neiderl and Neiderl, 1942
Viscosity	Ostwald-Fenske viscometer	ASTM D 445 - 53 T
Distillation	Distillation method for crude petroleum modified for shale oil	Stevens et al., 1952
<u>GAS</u>		
Specific gravity	Effusion - Schilling apparatus; calculation from component analysis	Cross, 1931
Composition (components other than H ₂ S and CO)	Mass spectrometer	Institute of Gas Technology, Chicago, Ill.
H ₂ S	Idometrically	Alteri, 1945
CO	Infrared	Institute of Gas Technology
Heating value	Component calculation Cutler-Hammer calorimeter	Carnegie Steel Co., 1927 Institute of Gas Technology, Chicago, Illinois.




Fig. 2 - Retort equipment (10-kilogram capacity)

- 1 Voltage regulator - Powerstat, variable autotransformer Type 1256-B 230 V, 0-280, 50/60 cycle 28 amps 7.8 KVA.
This unit used to regulate electrical power to outer heating coils of retort.
Voltage regulator (not shown) - Powerstat, variable autotransformer Type 1126 115 V, 0-135, 50/60 cycle, 2.0 KVA
This unit was used to control power to inner heating coil of retort.
- 2 Retort - Constructed of steel pipe 14 inches long, 10 inches in diameter.
Alundum cement and firebrick used in retort construction.
- 3 Terminals - 16-gauge Chromel A wire used for 220 V outside heating coils.
18-gauge Chromel A wire used for 120 V inner heating coils.
- 4 Safety plate - Plate of light aluminum inserted for safety in case of build-up of excessive pressures within the retort.

5 Oil delivery tube - $1\frac{1}{4}$ -inch pipe at 45° angle, 15 inches long; manometer was connected at center of tube.

6 Condenser connectors - 2-inch diameter double strength pyrex glass, filled with glass beads and "Berl saddles."

7 Oil collector bath - 5-gallon ceramic jar maintained at 0°C .

8 Oil collector - 2-liter pyrex jar with brass pressure cover

9 Safety valve - Calibrated to release pressure if dangerous retort pressures developed.

10 Cooling tower - $1\frac{1}{2}$ -meter, 2-inch diameter, double strength pyrex glass pipe packed with glass beads, "Berl saddles," and $\frac{3}{4}$ -meter coiled stainless steel cooling condenser through which water at 0°C was circulated.

11 Cooling trap - Dry ice trap - pyrex glass.

12 Cooling tower - Same as 10 above but without glass beads and "Berl saddles"; stopcock at lower end of tower to control directions of gas flow.

13 Gas sampling valve - Valve in gas line for continuous sampling during retorting; sample taken at this point for H_2S analysis.

14 Gas collector - 200-liter gas collector of steel construction.

15 Gas collector vent - Gases vented slowly to atmosphere after measurement and analysis.

16 Gas collector pressure gauge - Gases were pressurized to 7.5 PSIG for transfer to other containers.




Fig. 3 - Shale retort (10-kilogram capacity)

22 ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY CIRCULAR 307

- 17 Gauge - Calibrated gas collector gauge used to measure quantity of gas collected during retorting.
- 18 Pump - Air-tight water pump for transferring water from gas collector to reservoir and for pressurizing gas collector.
- 19 Reservoir tank - Tank used for storage of gas collector water; this tank was vented only during retorting periods.
- 20 Reservoir tank vent.
- 21 Pyrometer.
- 22 Thermocouple well.

Illinois State Geological Survey Circular 307
22 p., 3 figs., 11 tables, 1960



CIRCULAR 307

ILLINOIS STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

URBANA

