
The ultimate purpose of the Monteith Library Program of which the pilot project is here reported by the project director, is to “stimulate and guide students in developing sophisticated understanding of the library and increasing competence in its use.”

In no area of academic librarianship could a solid piece of pioneering research be more valuable than in the instruction of students in the use of the library. Here the argument of instruction versus information thrives and even the advocates of instruction are frequently weary, frustrated, and dissatisfied with the state of the art. One suspects that the difficulty of finding a suitable situation for a thoroughgoing experimental study has been a prime stumbling block to the development of a respectable body of research. Only a relatively small, experimental college like Monteith stressing general, liberal education and committed to developing in the student a growing responsibility for his own education would probably have been hospitable to library instructional coordination on such an unprecedented scale as the Monteith Library Program.

The project called for participation of the project staff in the planning of college courses and cooperation with the faculty in devising assignments which would involve extensive and meaningful student use of library resources. One specific objective of the pilot project was an appraisal of the structure set up to achieve this new library instructional relationship. The chapter reporting the analysis of social structure in the Monteith Library Project and the revision of the organizational structure which took place after a period of testing is of first significance for future research and of particular interest to college librarians who may feel that the revised structure would be in essence transferable to projects set up in more conservative situations.

Other specific objectives of the pilot project were an exploration of new methods of relating the library to the instructional program and a preliminary assessment of the effectiveness of these methods. Dr. Knapp records the experimentation of the first year in which fourteen curriculum-related library assignments were carried through and an intensive study made of a small random sample of students who had been exposed to the initial program. The understanding acquired through these efforts in planning library assignments, reinforced by the result of the small sample study, led to assignments in the following year which represented a new approach. This approach is reflected in a model program of instruction in the use of the library which is unprecedented.

The outstanding concept of the model program is the framework for college instruction in the use of the library which centers on the intellectual processes involved in retrieval of information and ideas from a highly complex system embracing both library organization and the networks of scholarly communication. For teaching purposes the library is viewed as a system of “ways.”

While the model program is designed specifically for the Monteith curriculum it is adaptable to other undergraduate liberal arts programs.

The pilot project is intended to serve as the basis for a plan for the second phase of the Monteith Library Program “if and when funds become available.” One hopes prompt support will be forthcoming.—Helen M. Brown, Wellesley College.


Peter Duignan, director of African Studies at the Hoover Institution on War, Revolution, and Peace has performed a useful service by bringing together these descriptions of American resources for African
Studies, many of which had previously appeared in the pages of the *African Studies Bulletin*, an organ of the African Studies Association. While acknowledged incomplete, it is a start in the right direction, and, hopefully, we may look for a near-complete record in the future. Gathered largely by responses to questionnaires, the *Handbook* describes the African resources of 95 library and manuscript collections, 180 church and missionary libraries and archives, 95 art and ethnographic collections, and 4 business archives. Entries range from a laconic line-and-a-half to a very extensive description (40 pages) of the holdings of the National Archives compiled by Morris Rieger, director of the National African Guide Project, which will appear later as a separate monograph, and by E. J. Algoa of the National Archives of Nigeria. Americans—particularly those new to African Studies—will be surprised at the extent of American involvement in Africa prior to the Civil War. There is a very extensive index which will be a big help to reference and interlibrary loan libraries.

A correction needs to be made. On page 125 the *Handbook* states “Stanford University is a member of the Association for Research Libraries which maintains the Center for Research Libraries (formerly the Midwest Interlibrary Center at Chicago.)” Not so! The Center is, and has been all along, a private corporation maintained by a number of important libraries. It has cooperated with the ARL in many important collecting projects and recently all ARL members were urged to become members of the Center.

Interestingly enough this typographically pleasing volume was printed in Hong Kong by the Cathay Press.—*David Jolly, Northwestern University.*


Harry C. Campbell has performed yeoman’s service for the profession in compiling such a substantial body of information concerning a number of metropolitan public library systems throughout the world. He has also identified and described many attendant problems. As chief librarian of the Toronto public library, one of the most progressive on the North American continent, the author is in an enviable position to treat this subject authoritatively. He has not only first hand experience in a metropolitan public library system; he has traveled extensively to observe many of the specific situations he has included in his book and to discuss them with those responsible for their planning and implementation. Because one of the major problems facing the profession in general and public library administrators in particular at this period in library history relates to metropolitan and suburban problems, it is especially helpful to have this information so well organized and presented. The metropolitan problem is widespread and involves jurisdictional, economic, political, administrative, and sociological factors. All have been discussed in this treatise.

Mr. Campbell makes it clear that there are a wide variety of organizational patterns and structures which have evolved through the years. The early chapters describe them and the role and function of public library systems in the metropolitan areas, as well as background information. The remainder of the book is devoted to a description of library planning in nineteen metropolitan areas and to the future of metropolitan library planning. The relationship to public library systems of state, national, academic, and school libraries is placed in proper perspective. Growing concern on the part of responsible librarians everywhere as to patterns of use of libraries is apparent. We must know more about how people use books and information if we are to develop effective libraries. Mention is made concerning the need to extend the system concept of library organization to academic and school libraries in urban areas. As one studies the metropolitan problem this fact becomes increasingly obvious.

It is encouraging to see more in print about the interdependence of the various types of libraries, and emphasis being placed on the fact that there is not a great difference between large public library systems and academic, business, and research libraries, with respect to their collections. While each library serves a particular func-