

doubtedly Part IV, which deals with individual Argentine literary figures. With the exception of the authors mentioned in the preface, all major figures as well as many "minor" authors are included. Under the name of each author are listed all relevant works about the author and his works. From the librarian's viewpoint, an outstanding aspect of this part of the book is the references whose bibliographical accuracy and brevity add a quality of excellence to the entries.—*Antonio Rodriguez-Buckingham, Harvard University.*

The History of Book Illustration: The Illuminated Manuscript and the Printed Book. David Bland. 2d rev. ed. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1969. 459p. \$25.00.

The first edition of this book, published in 1958, reviewed the history of book illustration, by all techniques, from ancient Egypt until the 1950s. The second edition is largely a reprint of the first, although four new color plates have been added, the total amount of numbered illustrations increased from 395 to 412, the text updated and corrected in details, and some additions made to include more about Eastern Europe and the Orient. Throughout most of the book, the text and illustrations in the text have been reproduced without change by photolithographic offset. The original half-tone blocks seem to have been used to reproduce the original black and white plates by letterpress.

In reprinting the original color plates, the printer of the second revised edition apparently did not have the use of the progressive proofs used to control color in the first edition. In every case, the color tones are slightly different, with red and yellow generally more predominant in the first edition plates, black in the second. Both editions, however, are well printed in good register. Which of the reproductions is more faithful to any given original could be judged only by comparison with the original. The black and white illustrations in the text appear brighter in the second edition, largely because the paper is whiter. How much this difference in paper tone can be attributed to a change in printing fashions and how much to paper deterioration is hard to judge.

The major changes in text occur in the last thirty pages of the new edition, but some revisions occur throughout. In chapter 7, "The Nineteenth Century," revisions include the addition of a color plate for Henry Noel Humphreys and added examples of English, American, German, and Russian illustrations, all inserted so as to disturb as little as possible the original printing formes. Some errors in dating were corrected, but the revision was not always completed. On page 254 the date for an edition of William Somerville's *Hobbins* is corrected in the text but left uncorrected in the legend of the illustration appearing on the same page.

In other places, the insertion of new text fails to adapt to the old. On page 428 of the new edition, a subheading used on page 424 of the first edition, "Poland and Russia," is changed to "Poland and The Balkans" to allow for two paragraphs on the Balkans and a separate subheading for Russia alone. The text under the new heading, however, continues undisturbed: "Both these countries excel in two types of illustration." Which both?

In common with most historians of book illustration, Bland inadequately cites the printed books that contain his examples. One could give many instances, but "Vega: *Flos Sanctorum*, c. 1521" (Fig. 162), without any further elaboration of the author's name in text or index will send the searcher on a merry chase.

The first edition was a unique contribution to the history of book illustration. The second edition, though not greatly nor always carefully revised, is an improvement on the first.—*Howard W. Winger, University of Chicago.*

National Index of American Imprints Through 1800: The Short-Title Evans. Clifford K. Shipton and James E. Moon. 2v. Barre, Mass.: American Antiquarian Society and Barre Publishers, 1969. 1028p. \$45.00.

The subtitle of this publication is both overly modest and misleading. To see it only in relation to *Evans* greatly underestimates its contribution to research, valuable though it is when used with that "most important general list of early American publications." This beautifully bound, moder-