gineering journals, and handbooks, while scientists go first to scientific journals and last to textbooks. Whatever source they use, engineers tend to acquire and use the material for themselves, with recourse to the library only about half as often. When the library is used, engineers prefer to do the searching themselves, rather than obtain help from the library staff. In the case of unpublished reports, engineers' colleagues are the largest source of supply.

6. Throughout the studies, it was demonstrated that internal communication within the companies was of overwhelming importance for reaching successful conclusions of the assigned projects, with the most successful projects using more and more diverse local communicants; however, outside consultants also played an important role.

7. In most organizations there were a small number of key people to whom others turned for information, so-called "technological gatekeepers." These people read widely in both scientific and technological journals and had a broad range of contacts both within and outside the company. They were thus able to translate information into terms that were meaningful for their engineer colleagues. Networks of such "gatekeepers" also existed, through which the "gatekeepers" themselves maintained communication, thus increasing their effectiveness to their own groups. Once information entered the group, it became diffused through internal subgroupings. All of this developed spontaneously, with no administrative fiat.

8. Since communication within a technological organization is so important for success, organizations should strive to make such communication easy. Propinquity of individuals, good office layouts, or the removal of office walls and substitution of open bays, the location of stairs and elevators, and traffic patterns all must be examined for this purpose.

From all his study and experimentation, the author comes to the general conclusion that much more attention should be paid to informal, person-to-person communication of technological information within organizational settings than has been done in the past, where the focus has been on the traditional published literature and the framework of supporting bibliographic apparatus. The author would, it is felt, be pleased with the many studies now being undertaken to describe the various facets of organizational communication in differing fields, but it is likely he will be somewhat disappointed in his hope that commercial R & D firms will begin experimentation within their organizations on these topics. The need of such firms to make a profit probably precludes such rearrangements of physical and administrative set-ups.—Estelle Brodman, Librarian and Professor of Medical History, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri.


This is the final report on the activities through March 1975 of the Birmingham Libraries Co-operative Mechanisation Project (BLCMP). The BLCMP began with three libraries (the universities of Aston and Birmingham and the Birmingham Public Libraries) and added four additional libraries (Birmingham Polytechnic, Bradford University, Warwickshire County, and Aalborg University in Denmark) by the end of the grant period. After an initial cost analysis and feasibility study (comparing manual cataloging costs with estimated costs of an automated system), the BLCMP elected to proceed with an automated shared cataloging system.

The project resulted in the design and implementation of the batch computer system to utilize MARC records and locally generated records in MARC format, the creation of a union data base accessible to participating libraries, and the generation of a variety of outputs required by the participants. In addition, early project work included feasibility studies on the usefulness of centrally produced bibliographic records; the definition of standards for local record variations, cataloging practices, filing rules,
etc.; a common costing approach for before-and-after comparisons; and the application of the MARC format to serials and music and sound recordings.

The typewritten final report discusses the work of the entire project in eight sections: (1) project background and overview, (2) implementation of the automated cataloging system in the three original libraries, (3) computer system and data base overview and detailed description of system modules, (4) cost analysis methodology and before-and-after cost comparisons in the three original libraries, (5) analysis of feasibility of expanding system participation to other libraries, (6) proposed order system module (and expansion of the cataloging system), (7) project publicity activities, and (8) conclusions. Appendixes include project staff, BLCMP programs and macros, project documents, and a key to symbols used in flowcharts. There is an index.

The final report is very much like a case study of a cooperative library automation project. The reader will find an amazing amount of historical detail, presented in a chatty, easy-to-read manner, especially in those sections dealing with the cost studies, the computer system and data base, and the implementation decisions and strategies in each of the three original libraries. In the brief conclusions section, the reader is given a glimpse of future activities planned for the cooperative venture (deemed a success by the participating libraries), including direct data input and increased access to the data base.

Since the report deals with events and decisions begun almost eight years ago and finished three years ago, it cannot be viewed as a how-to guide for those libraries wishing to begin a shared computer system today, especially in light of networking developments in this country, such as the Ohio College Library Center (OCLC). However, the sections dealing with the impact of the computer system on the individual libraries and the reason decisions were made as they were, plus the detailed description of the cost analysis methodology, could be useful for libraries contemplating automation today.—Eleanor Montague, University Librarian, University of California, Riverside.


Despite the fact that this book is written in Dutch for the use of Dutch librarians, it deserves wider attention. The concept of a comprehensive text and handbook for the field has not been tried in America for quite some time, but various plans for such a venture are being discussed at the present.

Written by a team of some forty specialists for use in various library training programs, the book’s emphasis is on academic and special libraries.

The opening chapter deals, appropriately, with library materials as physical objects. There is a useful glossary of types of materials with French, German, and English equivalents, a brief description of manuscripts, old and modern, and a section on graphic techniques, including reprographics. After a discussion of types of libraries, library education, physical planning, shelving, and preservation, there is a chapter on collection development. It is, acknowledgedly, based on Redenbacher’s excellent (yet untranslated) contribution in Milkau’s Handbuch der Bibliothekswissenschaft (2nd ed., 1961), but this compilation is most informative. It underscores the lack of any introductory literature in the English language. The chapter on internal library organization and technical services procedures does not offer any new viewpoints. Of interest should be the European approach to a text as well as a handbook. There are even greater problems in trying to arrive at a uniform treatment while using...