
In his introduction to *Classroom and Field: The Internship in American Library Education*, Dr. Louis Coburn, a professor of library science at Queens College, states that his purpose in writing the volume was to provide impetus to a reappraisal of the internship process in library education. Since so many schools have introduced or reintroduced the internship into their programs and increasing numbers of educators and librarians are involved, the material presented should be of interest to a larger audience than library school faculty.

The first chapter of this slim volume gives an overview of internships and field experience from the time of Dewey (who, as is well known, advocated a system of apprenticeships) to survey studies of the mid-seventies. It is a succinct, well-documented account of the research, literature, and personal opinions of librarians and educators on where field experience belongs in the curriculum, and would make a good starting point for learning or refreshing one's memory on the topic.

The main part of the work is devoted to a study of the present status of the internship in the accredited library schools. The data are based primarily on the results of a questionnaire which Coburn sent to the schools in December 1977. Additional information was found in the official bulletins of the schools. Of the sixty-three schools queried, thirteen indicated that no formal internships were offered. Five of the thirteen, however, were Canadian schools with two-year programs which generally expect students to acquire experience on their own sometime before graduation. In addition to the text, tables and charts show details on various aspects of the internship programs such as amount of credit offered, hours of service required, whether remuneration is expected or allowed, grading practices, and the evaluation process. Since Coburn's major interest was in the evaluation, all of chapter III is devoted to this topic. Rating scales and other types of evaluation forms both for supervisors and for students are discussed. Also considered is the thorny question of students' rights with regard to the disposition of such records.

In the fourth chapter the author presents a case study of the internship program at Queens College from its inception in 1973 to the time of writing. Details are given on where the students interned, the types of evaluations used, how the students were rated, the term paper requirement, and the students' evaluation of their experience. The final chapter, followed by an extensive bibliography, summarizes the findings and makes some specific recommendations based on them.

The work is well written in a straightforward style and should be helpful both to faculty and library supervisors. The many references both in the notes and the bibliography will direct the reader to other studies for further information. Its main drawback, however, is that it is based on data gathered in
1977–78 and schools have undoubtedly made changes since then. Also, the study seems to be based on some assumptions that may not be valid: that all interns are working in libraries (many are in other types of information agencies); that interns generally follow a pattern of varied experiences such as rotation among departments (a fair number now intern only in government documents, special collections, or some other specialized area), and finally that information gleaned from questionnaires and bulletins reflects an accurate picture (bulletins are often out-of-date as soon as they are published because of the vagaries of the printing process in many institutions, and questionnaires are sometimes answered by harried library school administrators who answer the questions the way they believe things to be without checking with the people involved). For these reasons and because of the many variables inherent in any internship program, a series of case studies such as the one presented on the Queens College program might be more helpful than an overview of all the programs.

Nonetheless, the present study is worth reading, especially for those newly involved in internship programs.—Lucille Whalen, State University of New York at Albany.


Why don't librarians write well? How can they improve their writing skills? These questions intrigued University of Connecticut librarian Norman D. Stevens. Stevens, himself a writer with numerous publications to his credit, reasoned that a setting promoting professional growth and interchange would prove ideal for a writing seminar. He had known such an environment while serving on the executive committee of the New England library cooperative (NELINET), and he felt that in a similar setting a small group of librarians could work together to improve their writing.
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