
Young has added an evocative title to Beta Phi Mu’s series of valuable library memorabilia. He points out, with clarity and insight, several of the dichotomous, indeed ambivalent, courses followed by ALA in its involvement in the Great War, 1914–19. There can be no doubt, as he demonstrates carefully and clearly, that librarians, operating libraries in wartime conditions at home and abroad, “performed exceptionally well under sometimes exhausting, stressful conditions” (p.96). Yet, the small national organization, rapidly politicized and polarized into a controversial operation with either many enemies or few understanding supporters, found itself embroiled in many undesirable morasses of professional concerns, personnel problems, and public difficulties.

Too few jobs and activities, all told, for too many men—this statement by John Cotton Dana—pointedly summarizes the entire 1917–20 service period. Herbert Putnam, Library of Congress extraordinaire (forty years worth), was director of ALA’s War Service Committee, which controlled the military library program; he was ably assisted by several outstanding men and women librarians who left successful careers to serve at home and overseas.

The details about developments during the years of the committee’s service reflect the difficulties of a small association’s attempt to influence policy and operate professionally within the framework of a gigantic, total national conversion from peace to war. Problems arose constantly with many groups, including the YMCA. Such conflicts were important as they portrayed pettiness by people and organizations along the path of supplying reading and help to several million men in uniform.

Young’s documentation is impressive, as a historian’s approach should be. A synopsis of his bibliographic approach is an unusual and welcome gift to current and future writers. The six chapters involve the use of 299 references (including ibids). The total may or may not be impressive; the facts revealed by such documentation are put into a fabric of well-connected, clearly stated reportage. The author acknowledges rightfully the fact that a new generation of library leaders emerged from this period—Carl Milam, Malcolm Wyer, Joseph L. Wheeler, Caroline Webster, Burton E. Stevenson, and others.

To us of a not-so-tender age (I was born one month before the U.S. entered the war in 1917, and I served under Mr. Wheeler at EPFL before World War II), this record will be fascinating for its revelation of half a lifetime (ALA’s and mine) of concern with librarianship. Did war service responsibilities help ALA mature? Did the emerging leadership seize the opportunities gained from wartime public exposure to go on to greater heights? Another volume may be needed to answer these two questions; the author provides his answers: “new horizons of professionalism and service were the enduring legacies of the American Library Association’s participation in World War I (p.99).” This slender volume is deserving of a wide readership—there are many names to conjure with listed in appendix I, “Major Army/Navy Camp Libraries (1917–1919),” some of whom might well be worth a story or book in future writings.

A quarter of a century later, America was at war again. Is the ALA of post–World War II so different from the ALA of 1919? (PS Dr. Young, what’s a ”sammy”? See Webster’s for the answer.)—Harold Goldstein, Florida State University.
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