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Bibliometrics has been applied in varying degree and format to much of the literature of information science. La Borie investigated subject, form, and dates of a group of library science dissertations done between 1969 and 1972. Peritz analyzed the bibliometric data found in a core of information science journals in numerous articles and her 1977 dissertation. Brace studied the frequency of citations of specific authors and periodical titles in library and information science dissertations. Windsor examined the quantity of references in information science papers. It is apparent that there is no lack of interest in this aspect of information science.

Academic librarians, by nature of their situation in higher education, publish more than any other group of librarians. Because of this frequency of publishing, academic librarians rely on journals as a medium for communicating their research efforts. An examination of the references found in journals of academic librarianship can reveal some interesting bibliometric patterns. Questions specifically asked in this study are (1) do academic librarians use more periodical articles or monographs in their research; (2) do articles in specific journal titles reference that specific journal; and (3) in what years are the majority of references found? A citation analysis was conducted in an attempt to answer these questions.

THE STUDY

References in two academic library journals, College & Research Libraries and Journal of Academic Librarianship for 1981, were examined. The choice of these two titles was based on a survey done by Swisher and Smith. C&RL and JAL were among the top five journal titles read by academic librarians. By common consensus they are the major journals in academic librarianship. Data tallied included whether the reference was a periodical article or monograph; if periodical article, what specific title; and the date of each reference.

RESULTS

Thirty-seven articles in C&RL were published in 1981 with a total of 454 references. Of these, 178 were monograph citations, 258 were periodical citations and 18 referred to miscellaneous documents, such as unpublished committee reports. The average number of citations per article was 12.27.

Twenty-seven articles in JAL were published in 1981 with a total of 259 references. Of these, 94 were monograph citations, 141 were periodical citations, and 23 referred to miscellaneous documents. The average number of citations per article was 9.59.

Within the 258 periodical citations in C&RL, 65, or 25.19 percent, were references to articles previously published in C&RL; 20, or 7.75 percent, were references to JAL; 173, or 67.05 percent, were references to other library and nonlibrary journals.

Within the 141 periodical citations in JAL, 23, or 16.3 percent, were references to articles previously published in C&RL; 20, or 7.75 percent, were references to JAL; 102, or 72.34 percent, were references to other library and nonlibrary journals (see table 1).

DISCUSSION

The figures obtained in the results indicate that the articles in both journals use more periodical titles than monographs; however, the number of monographs is surprisingly high. This corresponds to the fact that the dates for both periodicals and
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monographs coincide, indicating that monographs for research are being published in the same years that relevant periodical articles are being published.

*C&RL* was the most cited periodical title in itself and in *JAL*. *JAL* ranked second in itself and *C&RL*. Other titles that were referred to frequently but not as often as *C&RL* and *JAL* were *Library Journal* and *Library Quarterly* (see table 1). In *C&RL* there is a dramatic drop in citation numbers after the first three titles. The citation numbers decline gradually in *JAL*.

Monographs and periodicals used in

---

**TABLE 1**

RANKING OF PERIODICAL TITLES IN THE CITATIONS FOUND IN *C&RL* AND *JAL*: THE TOP TEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Number of Times Cited</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Number of Times Cited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C&amp;RL</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>C&amp;RL</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAL</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>JAL</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Journal</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Library Journal</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drexel Library Quarterly</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>American Libraries</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Quarterly</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Library Resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Services Review</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&amp; Technical Services</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aslib Proceedings</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Library Quarterly</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Documentation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>RO</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Technical Services</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drexel Library Quarterly</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Trends</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Library Trends</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FIGURE 1**

Years and Frequency of Citation in Periodicals and Monographs in *JAL* and *C&RL*
these 1981 articles were published with the most frequency in the post-1975 years (see figure 1). Figure 2 indicates that the majority of journal articles in the 1981 C&RL were published in 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978, and 1979. The years that were the most significant for articles in JAL were 1977, 1978, and 1979. Figure 3 indicates that the most important years for monographs in C&RL were 1975, 1976, 1977, 1978, and 1979, which correspond to the journal article years. In JAL, 1977, 1978,
and 1979, again, similar to the article years, had the most titles published.

SUMMARY
The questions posed at the beginning of this study can be answered from the citation analyses of articles appearing in C&RL and JAL in 1981 as follows: (1) academic librarians do use more periodical articles than monographs, but the number of monographs used is high; (2) C&RL and JAL do reference themselves; (3) the majority of the references in both periodical and monograph form were in the post-1975 years.

This citation analysis indicates that research in academic librarianship (1) to a large extent reflects the current trends, as the years of publication for references tend to predate the actual research by only three to four years; (2) there is considerably inbreeding within C&RL and JAL, the results of which may be positive or negative; (3) C&RL is an important journal title in which academic librarians can communicate their ideas; and (4) the time lag between periodical literature and monographic literature in library science does not seem to be large.
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