Editorial
Choosing to Choose

"For lack of getting out of it, I have chosen it."—Jean-Paul Sartre*

In "The Coming Contest" (College & Research Libraries 54 [Nov. 1993]: 458–60), Ross Atkinson predicts that the needs of primary academic library users will eventually be met either by specialty scholarly publisher or by libraries. His editorial reflects an ongoing debate between alarmists, for whom he speaks, and equilibrists who believe that the status quo can be maintained in spite of environmental changes. This editorial, commenting on the future of the book and entitlement in academia, provides another alarmist view of the future of libraries.

The future of the book: The opening alarmist volley contends that libraries are probably going out of business, not so much because we should go out of business but because we are failing to understand our business well enough to maintain it. The equilibrists respond that F. W. Lancaster’s prediction of a paperless society by the year 2000 certainly was inaccurate and that libraries will survive in the same way and for the same reasons. Elaborate and well intentioned claims to the contrary, the equilibrists note, microform did not replace books, nor will the compact disc. The quintessential failed format (the betamax videocassette) is now virtually useless because players are no longer available. Few will argue that the book, a highly perfected form, will be entirely replaced. However, the convenience, flexibility, and stability of the book as an artifact will not necessarily save libraries.

Further, the equilibrists continue, most scholars hate reading from the computer screen and regularly print documents over three pages long. Little money will be saved for colleges and universities if mass-produced pages of journal articles are printed for every user. Clearly, the alarmists note, this whole part of the revolution is awaiting the development of a book-sized, high-contrast, hand-held, battery-powered computer for reading books and articles on disk. While many will miss the sensual aspects of the book—paper texture, ink smell, type aesthetics—many will rejoice in the ability to pack one reader and several disks in their vacation baggage. For those vacationing on remote beaches, longer-lasting batteries will be essential; such batteries are probably the current constraint in the development of this new high-tech toy. However, the average librarian’s PC now contains the power of two or three 1970’s room-sized computers. Without waiting for debate or decision, technology advances.

Working with a two- or three-generation time line to allow for complete resocialization, the alarmists predict that most materials, including archival files of hand-written working papers, will be available for retrieval via the Internet and its successors. Although the process of scanning will be lengthy and probably eventually incomplete, scholars in many disciplines will find that most of their information needs can be met electronically.

Entitlement: The equilibrists argue that libraries are sacred to the academy and will be maintained for sentiment if for no other reason. Dean of the University of Michigan School of Information and Li-
brary Studies Dan E. Atkins recently referred to this status as an *entitlement*, a word undergoing rapid pejoration. Foundering academic institutions sense their own dangers and will make whatever cuts are necessary to retain what their administrators perceive as the essence. Increasingly, universities are outsourcing campus food services, bookstores, and photocopying to commercial enterprises. When an information vendor can promise academic administrators that students and faculty will be able to find most materials needed through a network in a more convenient mode available around the clock for a set fee (less than the entire budget of the library), how will the administrators respond? Some will recognize the possible emptiness of the promise and the probable escalation of the fees if the service is successful. As they did with bookstores, the alarmists note, others will see the reduced liability of having fewer employees with escalating benefits, less space accruing deferred maintenance, and declining need for support services.

Institutions in transition, and alarmists think colleges and universities may be just such institutions, sense their own dangers and will make decisions to ensure survival. Equilibrists believe that administrators will automatically recognize that the library is a part of the institution’s educational mission. These administrators will ignore an opportunity for cost savings out of respect for past traditions.

For alarmists, economics is a driving force behind the creation and continuation of libraries. Neither the typical student nor the typical institution could afford to purchase all the books and articles necessary for the learning process. Sharing of institutionally owned materials among students and faculty, and among institutions themselves, allows broader and more affordable access as knowledge itself expands and publishing burgeons. Even the giant publishers and database vendors have only a small share of the total knowledge needed by a large university. While an institution with a limited curriculum, a nonresearch faculty, and no commitment to learning qua learning might be able to find a vendor to meet its students’ primary needs, other institutions with broader configurations would have to deal with many, many information vendors and would still need to arrange for the use of additional resources just as even the largest research libraries currently must. Neither is the current environment nor in the foreseeable future can one entity be envisioned as providing all information needed by users.

For these reasons, in some cases, economics could hold libraries in place if we focused, as Atkinson suggests, on high quality service. That would mean, however, that libraries would have to focus on measuring the quality of their services. Heeding instead of pettishly denigrating studies of reference accuracy, listening to complaints instead of excusing our services, and counting the costs of local cataloging variations from national standards and changing local practices would be required.

The equilibrists believe that they will receive a future analogous to their past; they need not exercise their freedom to choose. The alarmists believe that if we choose to understand our business, to serve our constituencies excellently, to know the interrelationships with the production and dissemination of knowledge, rather than to store books, then libraries might survive, albeit in much altered form. Some specific actions are needed:

- Librarians must be able to assess the effectiveness of their programs.
- Even though libraries have often ranked themselves as if they were warehouses, library associations must develop new, relevant standards.
- Librarians must communicate with administrators about the needs of the user community.
- Librarians must see themselves as sharing the environmental perils surrounding higher education.
- Librarians must understand and participate in the larger scholarly communications system.
- Librarians must listen to their users and change services appropriately.
Librarians must focus on the nature of librarianship itself—not the buildings, the salaries, the status, the collections, the archives, but the essential service—connecting users with information needed regardless of format or ownership. Librarians must choose between changing to be relevant and nothingness.
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