

## INDEX

- Affective measures of information retrieval effectiveness, 89, 96-97. *See also* User-centered evaluation of information retrieval: user satisfaction
- Atherton, Pauline, 95
- Automation, effect on reference service, 14-18. *See also* Library use instruction
- Bannister, Roger, 111
- Bates, Marcia, 92
- Bawden, David, 88, 99
- Behaviorally Anchored Rating Scales (BARS). *See* Evaluation of reference staff
- Berry, Rachel, 21
- Bessler, J., 18
- Bibliographic instruction (BI): and InfoTrac, 12-13; and library reference, 7-8; behavioral objectives of, 17; emphasis on document retrieval, 9, 12, 16; lack of data on, 86; limitations of universal search strategy model, 10-12; manifesto, 12; movement, 3, 10; shift from instruction to information provision, 7, 18, 19, 20. *See also* Library use instruction
- Bibliographic Instruction Think Tank, Association of College and Research Libraries, 7
- Bunge, Charles A., 23
- Catalogs: facilitating access to library resources, 6; performance measures of, 96
- Child, William B., 6, 20
- Congressional Research Service (CRS), 19
- Cost Finding for Public Libraries: A Manager's Handbook*, 140
- Council on Library Resources (CLR), 44
- Cranfield studies. *See* User-centered evaluation of information retrieval
- Crawford, Walt, 104
- Crews, Kenneth D., 31
- Cronbach's alpha statistic, 64
- Crouch, Wayne, 95
- Crowley, Terence, 27
- Dalrymple, Prudence, 96
- Daugherty, Robert, 92
- Davis, Stanley, 168
- DeProspero, Ernest, 127
- Dervin, Brenda, 88, 93-94
- DeVinney, Gemma, 9
- Disney World computerized information system, 15
- Drake, Miriam, 9, 18
- Drucker, Peter, 35, 39
- Durrance, Joan C., 31
- Eliot, T. S., 15
- Elzy, Cheryl, 31, 51
- End-user searching studies, 86-87
- Evaluation: qualitative, 126-27, 129-33, 136-40; qualitative/quantitative comparisons, 129-33; resistance to, 126
- Evaluation of Adult Literacy Programs*, 140
- Evaluation of reference staff: 147-65; behaviorally anchored rating scales (BARS), 158-59; behaviorally based system, 157-59; factors affecting review outcomes, 149-52; gender differences and attribution theory, 152-53; goals-based system, 159-60; performance evaluation technique and problems, 148; rating errors, 150; recommendations to managers, 161-62; review of research, 147-48; trait-based system, 154-57
- Evaluation of services: surveys, problems with, 21; unobtrusive testing, 21, 22, 23; necessity of faculty/practitioner collaboration, 23-24. *See also* Unobtrusive study of reference
- Every Employee a Manager*, 171
- Fenichel, Carol, 95
- Goldhor, Herbert, 38
- Goodwin, Jane, 38
- Guba, Egon G., 130
- Hallman, Clark N., 17-18

- Hansel, Patty J., 39, 40  
 Harris, W. T., 19  
 Haynes, Brian, 91  
 Herson, Peter, 23, 31, 32, 38, 39  
 InfoTrac. *See* Bibliographic instruction  
*Information Services for Information Consumers*, 22  
 Information literacy, 16-17, 18, 19, 20  
 Information retrieval systems goals, 86. *See also* User-centered evaluation of information retrieval  
 Interlibrary loan. *See* Reference service  
 Intermediary role of reference librarian, 86  
 Jirjees, Jassim M., 23, 28  
 Kantor, Paul, 91  
 Katzer, Jeffrey, 96  
 King, Geraldine, 21  
 King Study, 170-71  
 Lancaster, F. W., 31, 93  
 Latchkey children, evaluation of library programs for, 137  
*Library of Congress Subject Headings*, 8, 18  
 Library use instruction: and critical thinking skills, 16, 17; and OPACS, 18; definition of, 8; impact of automation on, 14-18; template, 8-9; v. the research process, 2-13. *See also* Automation, effect on reference service; Bibliographic instruction "Library Research Flow Chart," 9  
 Library literacy. *See* Information literacy  
 Library Services and Construction Act (LSCA), 125, 140  
 Lifelong learner, reference service for, 9, 17  
 Lincoln, Yvonne S., 130  
*Love in the Ruins: The Adventures of a Bad Catholic at a Time near the End of the World*, 3  
 MacKay, Harvey, 111  
 Management theory overview, 167-68  
 Markey, Karen, 94  
 Martin, Rebecca, 18  
 McClure, Charles R., 23, 31, 33, 38, 39  
*Measuring Academic Library Performance*, 59  
 Millay, Edna St. Vincent, 19  
 Milner Library, Illinois State University. *See* Unobtrusive study of reference, Illinois State University  
 Minimum reference services standards adoption, Suburban Library System: 103-23; background, 104-07; core reference list, 111-12; effect on local service, 112-13; resources and equipment, 111-12; survey results, 115-22; training of reference workers, 110-11; written reference policy, 107-10  
 Murfin, Marjorie, 23, 62, 70  
 Myers, M. Scott, 171  
 Myers, Marcia, 23, 28  
 National Diffusion Network (NDN), 128  
 Nilan, Michael, 93-94  
 Norman, Donald, 89  
 Nourie, Alan, 31, 51  
 OCLC tables of contents for monographs, 15  
 Online catalogs, user research in, 94  
 Output measurement: and evaluation, 126; problems with, 127; v. outcome measurement, 127  
*Output Measures for Public Libraries*, 59, 60, 127, 140  
 Patton, Michael Quinn, 129  
 Paul, Sandra, 103-04  
 Peer performance appraisal, Ramsey County Public Library: 168-203; development of criteria, 169-70; effects of job rotation, 182; factors effecting performance, 198-99; fifth-year outcomes, 180-182; for nonprofessional staff, 182-83; for technical services, 183-84; group problem-solving, 172-74, 179-80; objective setting, 171-72; participatory management system, 168-69; project evaluation, 174-78; reference librarian competency list, 186-97;

- reference librarian competency studies, 170-71; self-appraisal form, 185; tips for participants, 200-02
- Percy, Walker, 3, 24
- Presidential Committee on Information Literacy, ALA, 16-17
- Qualitative evaluation. *See* Evaluation
- Qualitative-Quantitative Ontological Lapsometer, 3-5, 24
- Quantitative evaluation. *See* Evaluation
- Raisch, Martin, 18
- Ramsey County Public Library. *See* Peer performance appraisal
- Reference service: and interlibrary loan, 6; compared to other library services, 6; definition of, 6; history of, 6; in academic libraries, 3, 7-36; in public libraries, 7; in special libraries, 7
- Reference question-answering effectiveness, evaluation of: 59-83; by patron, 61-62; by reference librarian, 60-61; factors associated with success or failure: bibliographic instruction, 75; collection size, 74; communication, 71-72; staffing, 69; success rate, 68; time and effort spent on questions, 69-77; type and source of questions, 72-74. *See also* Wisconsin-Ohio Reference Evaluation Program
- Reference Transaction Assessment Instruments (RTAI), 63, 64, 69, 75, 77-82
- Reference Evaluation Manual for Public Libraries*, 108
- Reference service, unobtrusive method of evaluation. *See* Unobtrusive study of reference service
- Research Assistant*, 8-9
- Robbins, Jane, 135
- Rodger, Eleanor Jo, 38
- Rothstein, Samuel, 19
- Sandore, Beth, 91, 92, 95
- Saracevic, Tefko, 91
- Schneiderman, Ben, 89
- Schrader, Alvin M., 39
- Sfu* (short factual unambiguous inquiry). *See* Unobtrusive study of reference
- Shannon, Clyde E., 89
- Special populations, assessment of services to: 125-45; art criticism model, 136-37; teen reading program, 140-41; case study model, 139-40; decision-making model, 133-34; diversity of approaches needed, 142-43; goal-based model, 135; goal-free model, 135-36; judicial model, 138; professional review model, 137-38; results measurement, 140-43; systems model, 134-35
- Standards for library services, 21-22
- Stoan, Stephen, 12, 14
- Suburban Library System (SLS) (Oak Lawn, IL). *See* Minimum reference standards
- Surveys, problem as evaluative tools. *See* Evaluation of services
- Swim With the Sharks Without Being Eaten Alive*, 111
- Taylor, Robert, 12
- Technical Standards: An Introduction for Librarians*, 103-04
- "Teens Top the Mark." *See* Special populations, teen reading program
- Tessier, Judith, 95
- Unobtrusive Measures*, 28
- Unobtrusive study of reference, Illinois State University: 43-57; accuracy, difficulty of evaluating, 47; accuracy, determining acceptable level of, 54; administrative value of, 54-55; anonymity, problem with, 55; approaches to improving reference service, 52; areas for reference improvement, 52-53; aspects of good reference service, 52; effect on subsequent service, 54; effects on staff, 51-53, 56; levels of reference service improvement, 55; methods, 45; philosophy of reference service in an academic library, 51; problems with peer evaluation, 53; qualities of a good reference librarian, 52; scoring scheme, 48

- Unobtrusive study of reference service: 27-42, 43-57; application of method to other fields, 28; application of method to other library services, 28-29; at Brigham Young University, 32, 36, 38; at Illinois State University, 31, 35, 36, 43-57; at Memphis and Shelby County Library, 32; dependent variables, 30-32; dominant findings, 29-30; factors compromising validity of, 33-35; hierarchy of reference products, 36-37; history of, 27-29, 37, 38; independent variables, 32-33; lack of experimental and qualitative studies, 40, 56; managerial aspects of, 38; method, 37-39; nature of queries, 33-35; nature of reference product, 35-37; short factual unambiguous queries (*sfus*), 34; State of California's reference referral hierarchy, 38. *See also* Evaluation of services
- User-centered evaluation of information retrieval: 86-102; context and impact, 97-98; cost, 92-93; Cranfield studies, 90; history of, 90-94; information need, 93-94; novelty, 93; precision and recall, 90-92; qualitative methods, 99; relevance and pertinence, 90-92; system goals, 86; use studies, 88; user satisfaction, 94-97; user-centered studies, 89-90. *See also* Affective measures of information retrieval effectiveness, Information retrieval systems goals
- VTLS integrated system, 18
- Weaver, 89
- Webb, Eugene, 28
- Weech, Terry L., 38
- White, Herbert S., 21
- Whitlach, Jo Bell, 22
- Wiberly, Stephen, 92
- Wilson, Patrick, 98
- Wilson, T. D., 89
- Wisconsin-Ohio Reference Evaluation Program: 62-75; demographics, 65-67; objectives, 62-63. *See also* Reference question-answering effectiveness, evaluation of
- Wyer, James I., 36
- Zweizig, Douglas, 88, 96, 135