

# Lidar Observations of Polar Mesospheric Clouds at South Pole: Diurnal Variations

Xinzhao Chu, Chester S. Gardner, and George Papen

Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Illinois, USA

**Abstract.** Polar mesospheric clouds (PMCs) were observed by a ground-based Fe Boltzmann temperature lidar for 65 h on 17-19 and 24-26 January 2000 above the geographic South Pole. The mean PMC backscatter ratio, volume backscatter coefficient, total backscatter coefficient, layer centroid altitude, and layer rms width are  $53.5$ ,  $2.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ ,  $4.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ ,  $85.37 \text{ km}$ , and  $0.78 \text{ km}$ , respectively. Strong semidiurnal and diurnal oscillations were observed in the PMC backscatter ratio, volume backscatter coefficient, total backscatter coefficient, and centroid altitude. The oscillations are all maximum around 0630 and 1900 UT. The variations appear to be linked to vertical advection of the PMC scattering layers by a persistent oscillation in the vertical wind velocity. Scattering is strongest when the PMCs are highest which suggests that the colder temperatures at higher altitudes near the mesopause facilitate the formation of larger PMC particles.

## Introduction

Polar mesospheric clouds (PMCs) and their visual counterparts, noctilucent clouds (NLCs), form near  $85 \text{ km}$  at high latitudes during the three months around summer solstice when mesopause temperatures fall below  $150 \text{ K}$  [Thomas, 1991]. Numerous visual, photographic, rocket, satellite, radar, and lidar observations obtained in the Northern Hemisphere have been used to characterize the altitude distribution, occurrence frequency, geographic extent, scattering properties, and seasonal variations of PMC/NLC. Several papers provide excellent overviews of PMC/NLC characteristics and our current understanding of the formation mechanism [e.g., Gadsden and Schröder, 1989; Thomas, 1991, 1994]. During the past decade lidar observations have contributed significantly to the study of PMC/NLC [e.g., Hansen *et al.*, 1989; Thayer *et al.*, 1995; von Cossart *et al.*, 1997; von Zahn *et al.*, 1998; von Cossart *et al.*, 1999; Alpers *et al.*, 2000]. Lidar observations have provided precise information about the altitude, thickness, and volume backscatter coefficient of the PMC scattering layers. Twenty-four hour lidar measurements at Andoya ( $69^\circ \text{N}$ ) have revealed strong semidiurnal oscillations in the altitude and backscatter ratio [von Zahn *et al.*, 1998] which are believed to be associated with atmospheric tides.

Most of the observations of PMC/NLC in the Southern Hemisphere have been made by satellite instruments [e.g., Thomas and Olivero, 1989; Debrestian *et al.*, 1997; Carbery *et al.*, 1999]. This is due to the scarcity of observers

in the critical latitude range and the considerable challenges of deploying instruments in Antarctica. Our group installed an Fe Boltzmann temperature lidar at the Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station in Nov 99 and made the first lidar observations of PMCs on 11 Dec 99 [Gardner *et al.*, 2001]. In this paper we describe the diurnal variations in the PMC structure using data collected on 17-19 and 24-26 Jan 00. The data reveal strong diurnal and semidiurnal oscillations in the PMC altitude and backscatter parameters. These results are compared with similar observations in the Northern Hemisphere and with previous diurnal observations of winds, temperature, and Na densities above the South Pole.

## Observations

The University of Illinois Fe Boltzmann temperature lidar is deployed  $488 \text{ m}$  north of geographic South Pole in the Atmospheric Research Observatory. It includes two lasers (and two receiving telescopes) that operate at the  $374 \text{ nm}$  and  $372 \text{ nm}$  Fe resonance wavelengths [Chu *et al.*, 2001]. Since the summertime at South Pole is continuously sunlit, the lidar is designed to make measurements during both day and night. Several hundred hours data were collected at South Pole during the 1999-2000 austral summer season. For this paper we concentrate on the  $69 \text{ h}$  of data collected on 17-19 and 24-26 Jan 00 since each data set is longer than  $12 \text{ h}$  and corresponds to the period of maximum PMC activity. For typical mesospheric temperatures the Fe scattering is approximately 20-30 times weaker at  $374 \text{ nm}$  compared to  $372 \text{ nm}$ . Because small concentrations of Fe can exist between  $82$  and  $86 \text{ km}$  where PMCs are typically observed, the PMC characteristics reported here were derived from the  $374 \text{ nm}$  lidar channel data to minimize contamination by Fe scattering. Typically, the  $374 \text{ nm}$  Fe signal is less than  $1\%$  of the PMC signal. Even so, both lasers were detuned periodically during the observation periods to confirm the existence of the PMCs.

After subtracting the background photon counts and compensating for the range dependence of the signal levels, the lidar data were averaged for one hour, normalized to the Rayleigh signal at  $50 \text{ km}$ , and then scaled to produce a backscatter ratio profile. The peak backscatter ratio  $R_{max}$ , peak volume backscatter coefficient  $\beta_{max}$ , total backscatter coefficient  $\beta_{Total}$ , centroid altitude  $Z_C$ , and rms width of the PMC layers were then computed from the hourly mean profiles using standard techniques [e.g., von Zahn *et al.*, 1998] and the pressure, number density, and temperature profiles from MSIS90 model. The centroid altitude and rms width were computed from the volume backscatter coefficient profiles. An example of the calculated backscatter ratio and volume backscatter coefficient profiles for a PMC layer observed at 0713-0758 UT on 19 Jan 00 is shown in

Copyright 2001 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 2000GL012525.  
0094-8276/01/2000GL012525\$05.00

Figure 1. The PMC layer has a peak backscatter ratio of 145 and a peak volume backscatter coefficient of  $9.35 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ . Its centroid altitude is 85.36 km. PMCs were observed during 65 of the 69 h so that the PMC detection rate was 94.2%. The mean PMC peak backscatter ratio, peak volume backscatter coefficient, total backscatter coefficient, layer centroid altitude, and layer rms width are 53.5,  $2.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ ,  $4.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ , 85.37 km, and 0.78 km, respectively.


To investigate the diurnal variations of the PMC layers, each PMC parameter was averaged in universal time to obtain 23 one-hour means (no PMCs were detected during 13-14 UT). Each one-hour mean was evaluated using at least two hours of observational data. The resulting hourly mean values are plotted versus UT in Figure 2 along with the 12 and 24 h harmonic fits. All PMC parameters (except the scattering layer width) exhibit strong diurnal and semidiurnal oscillations. The oscillations are all maximum around 0630 and 1900 UT. The parameters of the harmonic fits plotted in Figure 2,

$$y = A_0 + A_{12} \cos\left[\frac{2\pi}{12}(t - UT_{12})\right] + A_{24} \cos\left[\frac{2\pi}{24}(t - UT_{24})\right], \quad (1)$$


are summarized in Table 1. The fits are all statistically significant as the high correlation coefficients and small relative errors demonstrate. The phases of the 12 and 24 h components are similar for all four PMC parameters. The amplitudes of the 12 and 24 h are comparable for the backscatter ratio, volume backscatter coefficient, and total backscatter coefficient. The 12 h amplitude of the centroid altitude oscillation is about twice the 24 h amplitude.

## Discussion

Strong 12 and 24 h oscillations in the altitude and backscatter ratio of PMC/NLC were reported by *von Zahn et al.* [1998] at Andoya ( $69^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $16^\circ\text{E}$ ) in June and July 1997. The mean PMC/NLC centroid altitude at Andoya is 82.7 km compared to 85.3 km at South Pole. Like the South Pole measurements, the 12 and 24 h backscatter ratio amplitudes are comparable at Andoya while the 12 h centroid altitude amplitude (0.79 km) is approximately double the 24 h amplitude (0.44 km). In contrast to the South Pole measurements, at Andoya the backscatter ratio is maximum whenever the



**Figure 1.** Backscatter ratio (dashed line) and volume backscatter coefficient (solid line with error bar) of a PMC layer observed above South Pole at 0713-0758 UT on 19 January 2000.



**Figure 2.** Diurnal variations of the South Pole PMCs a) peak backscatter ratio, b) peak volume backscatter coefficient, c) total backscatter coefficient, and d) layer centroid altitude plotted versus UT. The solid curves are the 12 and 24 h harmonic fits.

PMC centroid altitude is minimum. *Von Zahn et al.* [1998] attributed the PMC/NLC oscillations to tidal perturbations in temperature and vertical winds. They suggested that the anti-phase relationship between backscatter ratio and altitude was caused by changes in the size of the PMC/NLC particles as they form at higher altitudes and then slowly fall to lower altitudes as their size and weight increases. The PMC backscatter cross section is approximately proportional to the 6<sup>th</sup> power of the particle radius. According to *von Zahn et al.* [1998], the lower altitude PMCs contain the heavy larger particles and therefore, would exhibit larger backscatter ratios compared to the smaller higher altitude PMC particles.

**Table 1.** Parameters for harmonic fits to PMC diurnal variations at South Pole

	$R_{max}$	$\beta_{max}$ ( $10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ )	$\beta_{Total}$ ( $10^{-8} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ )	$Z_c$ (km)
$A_0$	$50.07 \pm 2.04$	$2.74 \pm 0.07$	$4.07 \pm 0.17$	$85.255 \pm 0.061$
$A_{12}$	$21.06 \pm 2.94$	$1.01 \pm 0.11$	$1.82 \pm 0.25$	$0.435 \pm 0.088$
$A_{24}$	$20.13 \pm 2.90$	$0.97 \pm 0.10$	$2.10 \pm 0.24$	$0.265 \pm 0.088$
$UT_{12}$	$6.67 \pm 0.26 \text{ UT}$	$6.70 \pm 0.19 \text{ UT}$	$6.77 \pm 0.25 \text{ UT}$	$6.87 \pm 0.37 \text{ UT}$
$UT_{24}$	$4.27 \pm 0.55 \text{ UT}$	$4.63 \pm 0.41 \text{ UT}$	$4.81 \pm 0.44 \text{ UT}$	$2.29 \pm 1.22 \text{ UT}$
Correlation	91.6%	95.2%	93.4%	79.6%
RMS Residual	8.63	0.31	0.72	0.257

Classical tidal theory shows that the amplitudes of the traveling diurnal and semidiurnal oscillations approach zero near the geographic poles. However, zonally symmetric tides (zonal wavenumber  $s = 0$ ) can have nonzero vertical and horizontal wind oscillations and nonzero temperature oscillations at the Poles [Forbes, 1982a,b]. Numerous groups have observed strong 12 h oscillations in Na density and horizontal winds at mesopause altitudes above South Pole [e.g., Collins *et al.*, 1992; Hernandez *et al.*, 1993; Forbes *et al.*, 1995]. These oscillations have been attributed to an inertio-gravity wave [Hernandez *et al.*, 1993] and to the nonlinear interaction between the migrating semidiurnal tide and a stationary wave with a zonal wavenumber of 1 [Forbes *et al.*, 1995]. The observations that are most relevant to the PMC data are the Na lidar measurements made by our group at South Pole on 25 June 1990 [Collins *et al.*, 1992]. Strong vertical displacements of the Na layer were observed between 74 and 84 km. The oscillation period was approximately 12 h and the displacement amplitude decreased from 1.9 km at 79 km to approximately 0.32 km at 85 km. These mid-winter Na lidar data show clearly the impact of vertical advection on the bottom side of the Na layer profile.


The data plotted in Figure 2 suggest that the PMC particles are also advected vertically in response to oscillations in the vertical wind. PMC particles are small and the clouds are tenuous. Multi-color lidar observations at Andoya have

shown that the mean PMC/NLC particle radius is 51 nm and the mean density is  $82 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  [von Cossart *et al.*, 1999; Alpers *et al.*, 2000]. The vertical structure and dynamics of the clouds are believed to be greatly influenced by the temperature structure of the mesopause region and by the vertical winds. Current theories and models suggest that the PMC particles begin forming near the cold mesopause and then fall as they grow in size and weight until the combined effects of increasing atmospheric density at lower altitudes and upward vertical winds are sufficient to support the particles in a stable layer [e.g., Thomas, 1991, 1994]. Upwelling associated with the diabatic circulation system is strongest directly over the poles in mid-summer [Garcia and Solomon, 1985] and is expected to influence the vertical structure of the tenuous PMCs. The seasonal variation in PMC altitude observed by Chu *et al.* [2001] at South Pole suggests that the upwelling does affect the PMC altitude. The observed diurnal PMC vertical displacement velocity (maximum  $\sim 5 \text{ cm/s}$ ) is comparable to the predicted mid-summer upwelling velocity of a few  $\text{cm/s}$  [Garcia and Solomon, 1985]. Thus, it is not surprising that the PMCs observed at the South Pole are higher (2.6 km) than those observed at Andoya ( $69^\circ\text{N}$ ) since the summertime upwelling is expected to be stronger at the South Pole compared to  $69^\circ\text{N}$ .

The observed phase relationship between the PMC altitudes and the backscattering properties at the South Pole may be related to the colder temperatures at higher altitudes. Because the mesopause altitude is approximately 88 km at high latitudes in mid-summer, an upward vertical displacement associated with tidal oscillations in the vertical winds would result in adiabatic cooling and would transport the PMC particles closer to the mesopause. The upwardly displaced PMC particles would then grow in size in response to the colder temperatures which results in stronger scattering. Figure 3 is a plot of the volume backscatter coefficient as a function of altitude. The linear trend suggests that a 1.5 km upward displacement from about 84.5 km to 86 km increases the volume backscatter coefficient by almost a factor of 4. For a constant particle density, this corresponds to a 25% increase in particle radius.

## Conclusions

The South Pole PMC scattering layers exhibit strong diurnal and semidiurnal variations in backscatter ratio, volume backscatter coefficient, total backscatter coefficient, and centroid altitude. The variations appear to be linked to vertical advection of the PMC scattering layers by a persistent oscillation in the vertical wind velocity. The semidiurnal oscillation in the PMC altitude is twice the amplitude of the diurnal oscillation. Semidiurnal  $s = 0$  Hough functions



**Figure 3.** Hourly mean PMC layer altitude plotted versus volume backscatter coefficient. The solid line is the maximum likelihood regression fit  $Z_c(\text{km}) = 84.12 + 0.4253 \beta_{max}(10^{-9} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1})$ . The correlation coefficient for the fit to the 23 data points is 69.1%.

peak near the Poles and so this zonally symmetric tide is the most likely source of the vertical oscillations of PMC altitudes. Scattering is strongest when the PMCs are highest which suggests that the colder temperatures at higher altitudes near the mesopause facilitate the formation of larger PMC particles. Similar observations at Andoya (69°N) show a clear anti-phase relationship between altitude and scattering, i.e., scattering is strongest when the PMC layers are at the lowest altitudes. These two contradictory observations suggest that the relationship between PMC altitude, particle size, and backscatter coefficients is more complex than the simple qualitative explanations given here and by von Zahn *et al.* [1998].

**Acknowledgments.** The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. John Walling and his colleagues at Light Age, Inc., and the staff of Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station for their superb support. We also thank Weilin Pan and Ashraf El Dakroui for helping to install and operate the lidar system at South Pole. This project was supported by National Science Foundation grants NSF ATM 96-12251 and NSF OPP 96-16664.

## References

- Alpers, M., M. Gerding, J. Höffner, and U. von Zahn, NLC particle properties from a five-color lidar observation at 54°N, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **105**, 12235-12240, 2000.
- Carbary, J. F., G. J. Romick, D. Morrison, L. J. Paxton, and C. I. Meng, Altitudes of polar mesospheric clouds observed by a middle ultraviolet imager, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, 10089-10100, 1999.
- Chu, X., C. S. Gardner, and G. Papen, Lidar observations of polar mesospheric clouds at South Pole: Seasonal variations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, this issue, 2001.
- Collins, R. L., D. C. Senft, and C. S. Gardner, Observations of a 12 h wave in the mesopause region at the South Pole, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **19**, 57-60, 1992.
- Debrestian, D. J., *et al.*, An analysis of POAM II solar occultation observations of polar mesospheric clouds in the southern hemisphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 1971-1981, 1997.
- Forbes, J. M., Atmospheric tides 1. Model description and results for the solar diurnal component, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 5222-5240, 1982a.
- Forbes, J. M., Atmospheric tides 2. The solar and lunar semidiurnal components, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **87**, 5241-5252, 1982b.
- Forbes, J. M., N. A. Makarov, and Yu. I. Portnyagin, First results from the meteor radar at South Pole: A large 12-hour oscillation with zonal wavenumber one, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **22**, 3247-3250, 1995.
- Gadsden, M., and W. Schröder, Noctilucent clouds, in *Physics and Chemistry in Space Planetology*, Vol. 18, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- Garcia, R. R., and S. Solomon, The effect of breaking gravity waves on the dynamics and chemical composition of the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **90**, 3850-3868, 1985.
- Gardner, C. S., G. Papen, X. Chu, and W. Pan, First Lidar Observations of Middle Atmosphere Temperatures, Fe Densities and Polar Mesospheric Clouds Over the North and South Poles, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, this issue, 2001.
- Hansen, G., M. Serwazi, and U. von Zahn, First detection of a noctilucent cloud by lidar, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **16**, 1445-1448, 1989.
- Hernandez, G., G. J. Fraser, and R. W. Smith, Mesospheric 12-hour oscillation near South Pole, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **20**, 1787-1790, 1993.
- Thayer, J. P., N. Nielsen, and J. Jacobsen, Noctilucent cloud observations over Greenland by a Rayleigh lidar, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **22**, 2961-2964, 1995.
- Thomas, G. E., and J. J. Olivero, Climatology of polar mesospheric clouds 2. Further analysis of Solar Mesosphere Explorer data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **94**, 14673-14681, 1989.
- Thomas, G. E., Mesospheric clouds and the physics of the mesopause region, *Rev. Geophys.*, **29**, 553-575, 1991.
- Thomas, G. E., Recent developments in the study of mesospheric clouds, *Adv. Space Res.*, **14**, (9)101-(9)112, 1994.
- von Cossart, G., J. Fiedler, U. von Zahn, G. Hansen, and U.-P. Hoppe, Noctilucent clouds: one- and two-color lidar observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **24**, 1635-1638, 1997.
- von Cossart, G., J. Fiedler, and U. von Zahn, Size distributions of NLC particles as determined from 3-color observations of NLC by ground-based lidar, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **26**, 1513-1516, 1999.
- von Zahn, U., G. von Cossart, J. Fiedler, and D. Rees, Tidal variations of noctilucent clouds measured at 69°N latitude by groundbased lidar, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25**, 1289-1292, 1998.

---

X. Chu, C. S. Gardner, and G. Papen, Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1308 West Main Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA (email: xchu@uiuc.edu; cgardner@uillinois.edu; g-papen@uiuc.edu)

(Received October 24, 2000; revised January 16, 2001; accepted January 18, 2001.)