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ABSTRACT

Natural products continue to be an important source of therapeutically-relevant compounds. With the advent of inexpensive genome sequencing it has become apparent that bacteria produce a larger array of natural products than was previously believed. This new wealth in sequence data has potential to be helpful for the discovery of novel compounds by using genome mining. Although strategies of genome mining have become more efficient and capable of identifying novel biosynthetic gene clusters, it remains difficult to correlate gene clusters with natural products. In this dissertation I discuss limitations with the current methods of genome mining and correlating individual natural products with gene clusters. Furthermore, I characterize a rapidly growing family of natural products, the thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs), and discuss novel methods used to correlate the gene clusters to natural products from this family of metabolites. In chapter 2, I establish the sequence diversity and structural capability of bacteria and archaea to produce TOMM natural products. This genome mining characterization was used to identify nine novel classes of TOMMs, including one class from archaeal producers. In chapter 3, I discuss the utilization of genetic techniques to identify and isolate the TOMM natural product from the archaeal species Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. I demonstrate that although genetic manipulation has been previously used for the identification of natural products, comparative metabolomics is difficult to use for routine identification of low-abundance natural products such as the TOMM from S. acidocaldarius. Very few methods have been created to identify natural products from particular gene clusters. Therefore, in chapter 4, I discuss the creation of a novel method for the rapid identification of natural products following bioinformatics prioritization of antibiotic producing strains. This method utilizes the combination of genome mining and the chemical reactivity of natural products to discover new compounds. Dehydrated amino acids are modified residues commonly found in natural products such as TOMMs. I utilize the mild electrophilic chemical reactivity of dehydrated amino acids to label these natural products using a
soft nucleophilic probe. These labeled natural products were easily detected using comparative mass spectrometry. Bacterial strains were prioritized by the genome mining established in chapter 2 to reduce the screening time to find a novel natural product. This dissertation presents the addition of novel genome mining and natural product discovery techniques to increase the discovery and production of therapeutically-relevant compounds.
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CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION

I am grateful to Joel Melby, Brian San Francisco, and Jonathan Tietz for critically editing this chapter.

1.1 Genome Mining for Natural Product Discovery

Natural products have historically been the most prolific source of antibiotics (Newman, et al. 2012). Nearly 80% of all approved antibacterial are natural products or derivatives thereof (Newman, et al. 2012). Some notable examples include ciprofloxacin (DNA synthesis inhibitor) (Wise, et al. 1983), chloramphenicol (protein synthesis inhibitor) (Gottlieb, et al. 1954), and rifampicin (RNA polymerase inhibitor) (Sensi, et al. 1959). Traditionally, scientists have relied on bioactivity-based screening to identify fractions of bacterial crude extracts for compounds with antibiotic properties. Difficulties intrinsic to this discovery method, including frequent rediscovery and necessarily large, expensive screening efforts, have led most large pharmaceutical companies to reduce or eliminate their antibiotic discovery efforts (Lewis 2013, Payne, et al. 2007). This has coincided with the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains of pathogenic bacteria, accelerated by the overuse and misuse of antibiotics. It has been estimated that the U.S. alone spends $20 billion annually on direct healthcare costs to treat patients with antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2013). Therefore, it is imperative that novel methods for identifying antibacterial therapies be developed.


Genome sequencing has profoundly changed the discovery process of natural products by providing access to the biosynthetic potential of microbes prior to the intense traditional
screening process (Bachmann, et al. 2014, Challis 2008, Van Lanen, et al. 2006). The recognition that even the well-characterized bacterium *Streptomyces coelicolor* harbors many additional biosynthetic pathways beyond its characterized natural products (Bentley, et al. 2002) led to the wide acceptance that many microbes encode a plethora of biosynthetic gene clusters with unknown natural products (Baltz 2008, Fischbach, et al. 2009, Jensen, et al. 2014). This observation implies that many microbial natural products are either not produced in laboratory conditions or are not being detected using the traditional discovery techniques. Genome mining provides an approach wherein strains can be prioritized for their biosynthetic potential, while providing researchers with information used to avoid re-isolating known compounds, forecast the properties of the expected compounds, or even, in some cases, make exact predictions of the final natural product structure, all of which enhance the discovery platform. Many bioinformatics tools have emerged to characterize novel biosynthetic pathways (Blin, et al. 2013, de Jong, et al. 2006, Li, Qu, et al. 2012, Mohimani, et al. 2014), the majority of which have focused on two common, predictable classes of natural products: type I polyketide synthases (PKS) and nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS). Recently, genome characterization of ribosomally produced natural products (RiPPs) has shown that the biosynthetic and structural potential of this class is much more diverse than originally believed, meaning increased effort should be directed at bioinformatic evaluation of these types of molecules (Lee, et al. 2008, Letzel, et al. 2014, Velasquez, et al. 2011).

1.2 Ribosomally Synthesized and Post-Translationally Modified Peptide Natural Products

Bacterial natural product research over the past century has largely been focused on nonribosomal peptide (NRP) and polyketide (PK) natural products. There are many examples of NRP and PK natural products or derivatives that have been approved as antibiotics (Fischbach, et al. 2006). Additionally, genome sequencing combined with enzymatic characterization of the PKS and NRPS machinery has bolstered the genome mining approach for NRP and PK natural
product discovery (Fischbach, et al. 2006). More recently, genome sequencing has revealed another widely distributed subgroup of natural products, RiPPs (Haft, et al. 2010, Lee, et al. 2008, Letzel, et al. 2014, Maksimov, et al. 2014, Velasquez, et al. 2011). RiPPs comprise both a diverse chemical and genetic landscape, including but not limited to lanthipeptides, thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs), lasso peptides, and linaridins. In all cases a ribosomally produced precursor peptide undergoes modification by a set of tailoring enzymes (Figure 1.1) (Arnison, et al. 2013, Dunbar, et al. 2013). These modifications include cyclizations, dehydrations, methylations, and disulfide bond formations, among others (Arnison, et al. 2013). The precursor peptide sequence and posttranslational modifications govern the structure of the final product; this template-based biosynthetic strategy simplifies structural predictions from genomic information. Of the characterized RiPPs, all show diverse combinations of chemical modifications and structures leading to a plethora of bioactivities. This simple peptide-oriented strategy leads to highly evolvable biosynthetic pathways with the capability to produce structurally diverse compounds (variable templates) using minimal genetic space. Additionally, microbes can further evolve the natural product with the addition or deletion of modification enzymes (variable tailoring).

1.3 Thiazole/Oxazole-Modified Microcins (TOMMs)

Thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs) comprise a subclass of RiPPs characterized by the presence of nitrogeneous five-membered heterocycles derived from cysteine, serine, and threonine residues (Li, et al. 1996, Mitchell, et al. 2009). The hallmark of a TOMM gene cluster is the presence of a cyclodehydratase (termed the C and D protein complex if separate or the CD fusion protein if merged) that executes an ATP-dependent cyclization of cysteine, serine, and threonine residues to form the thiazoline and (methyl)oxazoline (azoline) heterocycles (Figure 1.1) (Dunbar, et al. 2014, Dunbar, et al. 2012, Dunbar, et al. 2013). Some TOMM gene clusters also contain an FMN-dependent dehydrogenase (termed the B protein) that catalyzes the oxidation of azoline heterocycles to their respective azoles (Melby, et al. 2014).
These heterocycles restrict the conformational flexibility to provide the peptide with a rigid structure, which ultimately endows the final product with a specific activity. TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters often contain additional tailoring enzymes that increase structural diversity within the TOMM family. As with many bacterial natural product classes, the enzymes responsible for heterocycle formation are often clustered in biosynthetic pathways, making them easily discernable by genome mining (Figure 1.1) (Lee, et al. 2008, Velasquez, et al. 2011).

TOMM biosynthetic genes clusters have been identified in both bacterial and archaeal genomes (Lee, et al. 2008). There are many categories of TOMM clusters, defined by the type of natural product produced. Examples of studied TOMMs include microcin B17 (DNA gyrase inhibitor) (Belshaw, et al. 1998), streptolysin S (cytolysin) (Mitchell, et al. 2009, Molloy, et al. 2011), thiopeptides (ribosome inhibitor) (Just-Baringo, et al. 2014), and the cyanobactins (anticancer properties) (Schmidt, et al. 2009) (Figure 1.2). Although some TOMMs have been extensively characterized, the majority of TOMMs are unknown and could be an unexploited reservoir of therapeutically useful compounds (Melby, et al. 2011).

1.4 TOMM Machinery for Genome Mining

Genome mining for TOMMs requires an understanding of the prevalence and function of the genes essential for their biosynthesis. Progress in recent years has shed light on these biosynthetic pathways and the biosynthetic enzymes responsible for natural product maturation.

1.4.1 Microcin B17

Microcin B17 (MccB17), the first characterized TOMM, is a DNA gyrase inhibitor produced by select E. coli strains. In a pioneering study, the proteins responsible for MccB17 production were discovered by genome mining and reconstituted, allowing for the general biosynthetic route for the production of TOMMs to be determined (Li, et al. 1996). In this study it was shown that the trimeric complex composed of McbB (C protein), McbC (B protein), and McbD (D protein) installed thiazole and oxazole moieties onto the McbA precursor peptide. This
seminal publication as well as follow up studies introduced the minimal set of modification enzymes necessary for the production of TOMMs. The functions of these enzymes have been further elucidated in other TOMM systems. The D protein was demonstrated to catalyze the cyclodehydration reaction to convert a subset or all Cys, Ser, and Thr residues to thiazoles and (methyl)oxazolines (Dunbar, et al. 2014, Dunbar, et al. 2012, Dunbar, et al. 2013). The C protein is responsible for the recognition of the peptide substrate and the regulation of the D protein activity (Dunbar, et al. 2013). In MccB17 biosynthesis a third protein is necessary for the installation of heterocycles. The B-protein is a flavin mononucleotide (FMN)-dependent dehydrogenase that oxidizes the azoline heterocycles to azoles.

1.4.2 Streptolysin S

Although the β-hemolytic phenotype from group A Streptococcus was first identified over a century ago, the genes responsible for the biosynthesis of streptolysin S (SLS), a toxin that causes the phenotype, were not determined until 1998 (Betschel, et al. 1998, Nizet, et al. 2000). In a transposon mutational study, the entire SLS-associated gene (sag) operon was discovered. Similar to MccB17 production, the biosynthetic gene cluster is composed of SagBCD enzymes that are required for the posttranslational modification of the precursor peptide, SagA. The Sag enzymes are similar enough to the MccB17 enzymes that they are capable of modifying the McbA precursor peptide to produce an active gyrase inhibitor (Mitchell, et al. 2009).

Characterization of these two clusters led to the discovery that it was precursor sequence and not modification enzymes that dictated final product activity (Lee, et al. 2008, Mitchell, et al. 2009). Furthermore, the discovery of two similar clusters in disparate organisms prompted researches to use genome mining to identify similar cluster with homologs of the B, C, and D proteins, which lead to the identification of the entire family of TOMM natural product gene clusters (Velasquez, et al. 2011). Although the MccB17 and Sag operons require only the BCD enzymes for
modification, characterization of other TOMM clusters revealed that many clusters have additional modification enzymes to enhance the chemical structures.

1.4.3 Cyanobactins

Cyanobactins are a family of small macrocyclized compounds produced by cyanobacteria. In a pioneering study, it was determined that cyanobactins were not produced by a sea squirt, but rather a bacterial symbiont, Prochloron didemni (Schmidt, et al. 2005). Furthermore, it was identified that these cyanobacterial natural products were indeed ribosomally produced and heterocycles were installed in a similar manner to MccB17 production. Cyanobactins have many activities including antitumor, cytotoxic, and multi-drug reversing effects (Sivonen, et al. 2010). A key difference between cyanobactins and other TOMMs is that after installation of the heterocycles, the cyanobactin peptides are cleaved from the precursor by not one but two proteases. In the patellamide biosynthetic cluster, PatA was shown to cleave the N-termini and PatG the C-termini. PatG also catalyzes the head-to-tail cyclization of the peptides. The permissive substrate tolerance of PatG was demonstrated through the characterization of macrocyclization of synthetic substrates with varying lengths and amino acid compositions (Agarwal, et al. 2012, Lee, et al. 2009).

1.4.4 Thiopeptides

Thiopeptides are a family of TOMMs with highly modified macrocyclic core peptides defined by a central pyridine ring. Although the first of this class was described in 1948 (Su 1948), the biosynthetic machinery responsible for producing thiopeptides was not determined until 2009, when four independent research groups reported the genes responsible for the production of multiple thiopeptides; at this time it became apparent that thiopeptides were manufactured in a similar fashion to linear TOMMs such as microcin B17 (Crone, et al. 2012, Gomez-Escribano, et al. 2012, Hou, et al. 2012, Huo, et al. 2012). Furthermore, nearly all identified thiopeptide gene clusters contain a lanthipeptide-like dehydratase that simply
dehydrates, rather than cyclodehydrates, select Ser and Thr residues to form dehydroalanine (Dha) and dehydrobutyrines (Dhb) (Li, et al. 2010, Ortega, et al. 2014). Another TOMM, goadsporin – a promoter of secondary metabolism and morphogenesis in actinomycetes – also contains enzymes to install Dha and Dhb residues, but is not macrocyclized like the thioppeptides.

It is widely believed that in thioppeptide biosynthesis two dehydroalanines undergo an enzyme-catalyzed formal [4+2] cycloaddition to form the central 6-membered nitrogen ring which can be found in various oxidation states. This was first supported by feeding experiments, and confirmed by the identification of the ‘hetero-Diels-Alderase’ enzyme responsible for the reaction (TcM in thiocillin biosynthesis) (Bowers, et al. 2010).

1.4.5 Plantazolicin

Plantazolicin (PZN) is a TOMM from the soil bacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 recently discovered using gene deletions and mass spectrometry. It has highly discriminating antibacterial activity against Bacillus anthracis, the causative agent of anthrax (Scholz, et al. 2011). The PZN precursor peptide is modified by an extremely specific S-adenosylmethionine (SAM)-dependent methyltransferase present in the gene cluster (Lee, et al. 2013, Molohon, et al. 2011). The X-ray crystal structure of the methyltransferase revealed a deep and narrow cavity for substrate binding, supporting the specificity of the enzyme for modification only of PZN derivatives (Lee, et al. 2013).

1.4.6 Bottromycin

Bottromycin is a TOMM with potent antimicrobial activity against methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin resistant Enterococcus (VRE). Characterized bottromycin gene clusters contain two genes with a YcaO-like domain similar to the D proteins, but they contain no distinguishable C protein, which is used in other TOMM biosynthesis to potentiate the D protein’s activity (Crone, et al. 2012, Gomez-Escribano, et al. 2012, Hou, et al. 2012).
2012, Huo, et al. 2012). This is the first example of a TOMM that does not contain both a C and D protein, complicating genome mining for TOMMs.

There are two groups of YcaO domain-containing proteins (homologs of D proteins not associated with a C protein): the non-TOMM YcaOs and the TfuA-associated non-TOMM YcaOs. The latter is found co-occurring in clusters with a gene encoding for the protein TfuA, which has been implicated in trifolitoxin production (Breil, et al. 1996). Although all of these YcaO proteins contain the canonical ATP-binding pocket, the substrate of the non-TOMM YcaOs are unknown (Dunbar, et al. 2014). With the elucidation of bottromycin biosynthesis, it became apparent that YcaO domain-containing proteins have the potential to make natural products even without a canonical C protein, lending previously unanticipated potential to many uncharacterized ‘stand-alone’ YcaO proteins.

1.5 Natural Product Discovery Methods Potentiated by Genome Mining

While genome mining has become an extremely useful tool for natural product discovery, it has also become apparent that finding natural products produced by certain gene clusters is much more difficult than identifying the gene clusters of interest. This has created a roadblock in the discovery process, generating an overabundance of gene clusters with unknown natural products. It is imperative to develop novel strategies to quickly identify natural products from predicted gene clusters in order to realize the full potential of genome mining-based natural product discovery. Therefore many research groups have begun to introduce strategies to connect genome clusters to natural products.

1.5.1 Gene deletions and heterologous production using comparative metabolic profiling

Perhaps the most definitive method to establish the connection between genes and natural products is through the genetic deletion of production enzymes. Traditionally, comparison of the wild type strain with the genetic deletion mutant, using metabolomics (using mass spectrometry) or bioactivity, allows for the identification of natural products (Figure 1.3 A). Additionally, the
discovery of plantazolicin highlights a secondary use for genetic deletions, in which deletions of other natural product clusters were used to reduce the interfering mass spectrometry signal from abundant natural products, thus unmasking the less abundant natural product: plantazolicin. Furthermore, genetic deletions of the necessary modification machinery for the production of plantazolicin were made to confirm the gene cluster (Scholz, et al. 2011).

In the event that the original host is not genetically tractable, the genes from a biosynthetic cluster can instead be transferred to a genetically tractable host for the production and identification of natural products. Recently, genome mining identified the gene cluster responsible for the production of the lasso peptide natural products including, caulosegnins I-III (Hegemann, Zimmermann, Xie, et al. 2013, Maksimov, et al. 2014, Maksimov, et al. 2012). Although small amounts of caulosegnins I and II were detected after cultivation of the native hosts, the production levels were too low for isolation. Heterologous expression of these gene clusters was optimized in an E. coli host to produce large enough quantities of each natural product for isolation and structure elucidation (Hegemann, Zimmermann, Xie, et al. 2013). A follow-up study combined genome mining with this optimized strain of E. coli to identify a further 10 lasso peptides from sequenced Proteobacteria (Hegemann, Zimmermann, Zhu, et al. 2013). This method can be used for not only genetically intractable hosts, but also to distinguish between products in hosts that produce a variety.

1.5.2 Chemoselective enrichment, genomisotopic labeling, and selective enzymatic derivatization

Detection of lower-abundance metabolites is often hindered by the overwhelming signal of abundant natural products. Therefore, Erin Carlson and coworkers devised a strategy to enrich for a specific subset of natural products from a biological systems. Therein, derivatized-resin chemical probes, designed to target specific functional groups or post-translational modifications, were used for the chemoenrichment of specific natural products. This method was initially used
for the identification of biomarkers and therapeutic targets (Carlson, et al. 2007). Recently, the technology has been used to enrich for specific natural products within crude bacterial extract (Figure 1.3 B) (Odendaal, et al. 2011). When combined with genome-guided bacterial prioritization, this becomes a robust natural product discovery approach.

The genomisotopic approach combines genome mining of natural product gene clusters with isotope-guided fractionation to isolate novel natural products. This method was used for the isolation of the nonribosomal peptide (NRP) orfamide A. The researchers first identified a gene cluster expected to produce a novel NRP. An isotope-labeled amino acid that was predicted to exclusively be incorporated into the unknown compound was then selected, and incorporation was detected using NMR (an isotope-sensitive technique) to guide identification and isolation (Gross, et al. 2007). These labeling tools represent a good alternative to heterologous expression for clusters that are too large or difficult to express in a heterologous host.

To that same end, an enzymatic approach was created to rapidly enrich phosphonate natural products from the spent medium of bacteria (Gao, et al. 2014). Phosphonate natural products have high polarity and water solubility, making them relatively difficult to purify. The phosphonate O-methyltransferase DhpI, from the dihydrophosphate biosynthetic pathway, was found to non-specifically methylate other phosphonate natural products (Lee, et al. 2010). Gao et al. accordingly used DhpI to develop a method to label novel phosphonates in bacterial spent medium with either a CH$_3$ or CD$_3$ group. This altered stable isotope composition is detectable using mass spectrometry. This method was used to identify and purify novel phosphonate biosynthetic intermediates from the strain *Streptomyces* sp. WM6372 (Gao, et al. 2014).

**1.5.3 Mass spectrometry guided isolation**

Mass spectrometry (MS) has traditionally been an essential technique for the identification and structural elucidation of many natural products. However, recently, a MS method was created particularly to correlate biosynthetic gene clusters to natural products
Initial MS data was obtained from a bacterial organism of interest. Putative natural products from the initial MS were then subjected to tandem MS and analyzed for a “sequence tag”. The sequence tag—generated from the mass shift sequence in the tandem MS—represents a subset of amino acids that are in the natural product and can subsequently be used as a genome-mining query for the identification of the gene cluster (Figure 1.3 C). This method can be used to identify both ribosomal and non-ribosomal natural products, provided they are peptidic. Furthermore, common post-translational modifications were taken into account for the generation of the sequence database, expanding the method’s utility for identifying natural products from uncharacterized gene clusters. The tag-based search strategy was used for the identification of nine novel natural products and their associated gene clusters (Kersten, et al. 2011).

This technique was expanded with the introduction of tandem MS networking (Nguyen, et al. 2013). MS/MS networking was used to relate all detectable metabolites according to their fragmentation spectra—which in turn depend on each metabolite’s molecular structure. The MS-based genome mining from the previous study was then used to correlate the MS network clusters with gene clusters (Figure 1.3 C). This method was shown to be capable of identifying natural products from unsequenced organisms by utilizing the MS network to identify similar natural products from a sequenced organism. This natural product production data can be extrapolated quickly to new samples solely based on MS signatures without the effort in genome sequencing (Nguyen, et al. 2013).

1.5.4 Reactivity-based screening

Reactivity-based screening (RBS) utilizes the intrinsic chemical reactivity of natural products to create probes that allow for the easy MS detection of natural products. RBS relies on the predictable presence of functional groups with known, selective reactivity, such as dehydrated amino acids (Cox, et al. 2014).
The dehydrated amino acids (DHAAs) dehydroalanine and dehydrobutyrylne are frequently found in natural products, in particular the thiopeptide subclass of TOMMs. As mentioned previously, almost every identified thiopeptide gene cluster contains a lanthipeptide-like dehydratase that eliminates water from Ser and Thr residues to give α,β-unsaturated amino acids. These dehydratases have previously been used in conjunction with CD fusion proteins and the ‘hetero-Diels-Alderase’ to identify thiopeptide gene clusters (Li, Qu, et al. 2012).

It has been demonstrated that thiol nucleophiles participate in nucleophilic 1,4-addition to α,β-unsaturated carbonyl/imine DHAAs under mild conditions to yield covalent thioether adducts. Thus, it was envisioned this well-established, reliable chemistry could be used as a chemical handle for the discovery of DHAA-containing natural products. First, bioinformatically identified bacteria containing a lanthipeptide-like dehydratase are grown, and the natural products are extracted with an organic solvent. A portion of this extract then undergoes treatment with dithiothreitol (DTT) in the presence of base. DTT was chosen as the thiol probe owing to its low cost and ubiquity in many chemical laboratories. If reactive DHAA moieties are present in the cell-surface extract, the resulting DTT adducts increase the mass of the exported metabolite by multiples of 154.0 Da. Differential mass spectrometry between the unreacted control and the DTT-reacted extracts readily identifies the compounds containing DHAAs within a predetermined mass range. This reactivity-based screening method, when combined with bioinformatic analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters to prioritize strains, can be used to quickly identify, isolate, dereplicate and characterize natural products (Cox, et al. 2014, Li, Girard, et al. 2012).

**1.6 Summary and Outlook**

TOMMs are a large class of peptidic natural products that are post-translationally modified to install heterocycles. Some TOMMs have been extensively characterized, including SLS and MccB17, however the majority of TOMMs are unknown natural products which
represent an untapped reservoir of potentially therapeutically useful compounds. Given the remarkable structural and functional diversity of characterized TOMMs, a basic understanding of the synthetic capability of microbes to produce TOMMs is desirable. TOMMs are produced ribosomally, making them easily identified using bioinformatics by identification of the modification enzymes. In Chapter 2, we use genome mining to characterize the diversity of TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters. We identify 20 families of TOMMs, 9 of which had never been characterized. In Chapter 2, we also discuss the phylogenetic diversity of the identified TOMM clusters along with the precursor peptide and modification enzyme diversity.

Traditional natural product discovery often identifies compounds using activity based screening. Because of rediscovery, without a strain prioritization step this method becomes burdensome, forcing scientists to screen thousands (or millions) of strains to find novel compounds. Furthermore, many compounds are missed because they lack the single, specific activity that is used in the screen. With the advent of sequencing it became apparent that many compounds were not being identified using this activity screening technique. In Chapter 3, we identify a TOMM biosynthetic gene cluster from * Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* and use this gene cluster to attempt to characterize the natural product. This is one of the few TOMM gene clusters identified in an Archaeal species and interestingly, similar clusters were also identified in bacterial producers. Although the natural product remains elusive, we outline some preliminary characterizations of this cluster and similar bacterial clusters.

Genome mining has become an extremely useful tool to identify novel biosynthetic gene clusters, and here we identify nine novel families of TOMMs. However, as suggested in Chapter 3, identification of novel compounds produced from these gene clusters of interest is much more difficult than identifying the gene clusters themselves. Therefore, new, rapid methods to correlate compounds with biosynthetic gene clusters are desired. In Chapter 4, we discuss a novel method for the identification of compounds containing DHAAs. Our strategy uses a commercially
available thiol, DTT, for the covalent labeling of activated alkenes by nucleophilic 1,4-addition. Modification is easily discerned by comparing MS of reacted and unreacted cell surface extracts. We combined this reactivity-based screening method with the bioinformatics analysis of TOMMs to prioritize strains capable of producing natural products with DHAAs. We anticipate, with the rise in sequencing data, that this method, along with other chemoselective reactions, will provide a powerful tool to correlate natural products with biosynthetic gene clusters.
1.7 Figures

Figure 1.1 | **Overview of a TOMM gene cluster and biosynthesis.** (A) Example of a TOMM biosynthetic gene cluster. (B) The precursor peptide (black) is modified by the cyclodehydratase (C and D proteins, green and blue) to install heterocycles. Heterocycles can be further oxidized with the dehydrogenase (B protein, yellow). Additionally, further modifications can be installed onto the precursor peptide by ancillary modification enzymes. A protease (orange) then cleaves off the leader peptide (or follower). Further modifications can occur after leader peptide cleavage (not depicted).
Figure 1.2 | **Representative TOMM natural products.** Examples of structures of TOMMs from different classes are depicted.
Figure 1.3 | Methods for correlating biosynthetic gene clusters to natural products. (A) Gene deletion profiling uses a gene deletion from either the natural host or a heterologous host (depicted as blue gene). Comparative metabolic profiling (using mass spectrometry or liquid chromatography) is used to identify missing peaks from the deletion strain (red peak). (B) Chemoselective enrichment uses a capture agent to capture natural products from bacterial extracts. Once enriched, the natural products can be released and further characterization can be performed. (C) Mass spectrometry can be used to identify a sequence tag to relate back to natural product gene clusters (left) or natural product cluster can be used to identify similar natural products from similar species (right). (D) Reactivity-based screening utilizes a specific probe to label particular functional groups (diamond, star, triangle labeled with circles). Comparative mass spectrometry can then be utilized to identify natural products labeled with the probe.
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Abstract

Ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs) are a burgeoning class of natural products with diverse activity that share a similar origin and common features in their biosynthetic pathways. The precursor peptides of these natural products are ribosomally produced, upon which a combination of modification enzymes installs diverse functional groups. This genetically encoded peptide-based strategy allows for rapid diversification of these natural products by mutation in the precursor genes merged with unique combinations of modification enzymes. Thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs) are a class of RiPPs defined by the presence of heterocycles derived from cysteine, serine, and threonine residues in the precursor peptide. TOMMs encompass a number of different families, including but not limited to the linear azol(in)e-containing peptides (e.g. streptolysin S, microcin B17, and plantazolicin), cyanobactins, thiopeptides, and bottromycins. Although many TOMMs have been explored, the increased availability of genome sequences has illuminated several unexplored TOMM producers. Given the remarkable structural and functional diversity displayed by known TOMMs, a comprehensive bioinformatic study to catalog and classify the entire RiPP class was undertaken. Here we report the characterization of nearly 1,500 TOMM gene clusters from genomes in the The European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) and The European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) sequence repository. Genome mining suggests a complex diversification of modification enzymes and precursor peptides to create more than 20 distinct families of TOMMs, nine of which have not heretofore been described. Many of the identified TOMM families have an abundance of diverse precursor peptide sequences as well as unfamiliar
combinations of modification enzymes, signifying a potential wealth of novel natural products on known and unknown biosynthetic scaffolds. Phylogenetic analysis suggests a widespread distribution of TOMMs across multiple phyla; however, producers of similar TOMMs are generally found in the same phylum with few exceptions. The comprehensive genome mining study described herein has uncovered a myriad of unique TOMM biosynthetic clusters and provides an atlas to guide future discovery efforts. These biosynthetic gene clusters are predicted to produce diverse final products, and the identification of additional combinations of modification enzymes could expand the potential of combinatorial natural product biosynthesis.

2.1 Introduction

Recently, genome mining has revealed the tremendous sequence diversity of a pharmaceutically relevant family of natural products, the ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptides (RiPPs) (Haft, et al. 2010, Lee, et al. 2008, Leikoski, et al. 2013, Letzel, et al. 2014, Maksimov, et al. 2014, Mohimani, et al. 2014, Velasquez, et al. 2011). The gene clusters for these natural products have been discovered in all three domains of life, and their structural diversity continues to expand as more knowledge accumulates regarding these natural products and their biosynthesis. RiPPs populate a diverse chemical and genetic landscape, including, but not limited to, lanthipeptides, thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs), lasso peptides, and linaridins (Arnison, et al. 2013). The ribosomal origin of the starting material unites this otherwise disparate group of natural products. While the genes for most precursor peptides are located near to those for the modification enzymes within the genome, there are examples of precursors located elsewhere (e.g. heterocycloanthracins (Haft 2009) and prochlorosins (Li, Sher, et al. 2010, Zhang, et al. 2014)). With few exceptions, the C-terminal portion of the precursor peptide (often referred to as the core region) is post-translationally modified while the N-terminal portion (leader region) harbors binding motifs that recruit the modification enzymes. Common core modifications include heterocycles, hydrated amino
acids, methylations, acetylations, backbone crosslinks, and many others (Arnison, et al. 2013, Dunbar, et al. 2013, McIntosh, et al. 2010). A number of these modifications restrict the conformational flexibility of the peptide, which plays a part in endowing the final product with a specific activity. Following the enzymatic processing of the core, the unmodified leader region is typically removed by a protease, resulting in either the fully mature product or a substrate for further modifications (Figure 2.1A) (Oman, et al. 2010). Certain RiPPs swap the functions of the N- and C-terminal regions (e.g. bottromycins (Crone, et al. 2012, Gomez-Escribano, et al. 2012, Hou, et al. 2012, Huo, et al. 2012)), while others have co-opted macrocyclization enzymes to excise the leader peptide (e.g. cyanobactins (Agarwal, et al. 2012, Koehnke, et al. 2012) and thiopeptides (Bowers, et al. 2010, Malcolmson, et al. 2013, Tocchetti, et al. 2013)). Regardless, the RiPP biosynthetic strategy is capable of producing structurally diverse compounds with minimal genetic space because the ribosome is utilized to synthesize the majority of the natural product scaffold. Furthermore, natural product variation can be expanded with the simple mutation of the core peptide, or addition and deletion of modification enzymes, leading to a variety of structures and bioactivities within the class. The particular combinations of precursor sequence and modification enzymes ultimately define the classes of RiPPs, and bioinformatics can readily identify and classify RiPP gene clusters using homology to these common enzymes (Velasquez, et al. 2011).


Given the structural and functional diversity of previously explored TOMMs, a fundamental understanding of the synthetic capabilities of bacteria and archaea to produce these natural products is desirable. Here we have analyzed sequences from The European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) and The European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) sequence database to view the distribution, evolution and structural potential of TOMMs. Nearly 1,500 biosynthetic gene clusters were identified, many of which appear to encode novel natural products. Additionally, some gene clusters contain heretofore-undescribed combinations of ancillary modification enzymes, potentially expanding the chemical complexity of TOMMs. Furthermore, precursor peptides from both characterized and uncharacterized families were analyzed to identify common motifs. This study defines the genomic landscape of TOMM natural products.

### 2.2 Genome Mining and Isofunctional Grouping

TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters are defined by the presence of the aforenmentioned cyclodehydratase, which is composed of an E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme homolog (C protein) and a member of the YcaO superfamily (D protein). In roughly half of all TOMM clusters, the genes encoding the C and D proteins are fused and expressed as a single polypeptide (CD fusion). This fusion underscores the important collaboration of the C and D proteins in cyclodehydratase function. Recently, it was demonstrated that the D protein formally catalyzes the cyclodehydration reaction, while the C protein engages the leader peptide and potentiates the cyclodehydration reaction by several orders of magnitude (Dunbar, et al. 2014, Dunbar, et al.)

In an attempt to catalog all TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters, the local genomic regions of YcaO homologs within UniProtKB were characterized (Figure 2.1B). YcaO homologs were chosen as the focus of this search primarily because it has been demonstrated that the B and C proteins can be omitted in TOMM production, whereas D proteins (YcaO homologs) are always present (e.g. bottromycin). Furthermore, the YcaO domain has considerably fewer non-TOMM related homologs than the B and C proteins (i.e. bona fide E1-family enzymes like ThiF and MoeB for the C protein and other FMN-dependent dehydrogenases), therefore producing fewer false positives. Notwithstanding, a subset of YcaO homologs are known to be present in non-TOMM related settings (previously referred to as “non-TOMM YcaO” and “TfuA-associated YcaO”) (Dunbar, et al. 2014), and therefore, multiple methods have been used to distinguish TOMM-producing gene clusters from non-producers. Using the genomic region surrounding ycaO genes (10 kb on either side), a combination of BLAST score and synteny was used to classify biosynthetic gene clusters into families (Figure 2.1B – Step 1 and 1C). Potential TOMM gene clusters were first analyzed for the presence of a C protein or CD fusion protein within the flanking genomic region (10 kb on either side of the ycaO gene). The gene cluster was also analyzed for the presence of a precursor peptide. Often, precursors evade automated gene finders due to their short lengths; therefore, intergenic regions were also analyzed for potentially unannotated precursor peptide genes. Precursor peptides were annotated under the assumption that they are short open reading frames (<150 amino acids) and typically contain an abundance of
Gly, Cys, Ser, and Thr residues in the core region. This approach does not locate precursor peptides that are not in close proximity to the D proteins (>10 kb away) or those with a low proportion of heterocyclizable residues. Of the potential TOMM clusters identified in the present study, 46% contained an identifiable precursor peptide gene within 10 kb of the D protein. As the bottromycins do not contain a C protein homolog (i.e. stand-alone D proteins) and do not have Gly-Cys-Ser-Thr rich precursor peptides, a manual analysis was performed to identify bottromycin-like gene clusters. If a TOMM cluster was identified using this criteria, all gene clusters in a family were annotated as TOMMs, regardless of whether the other clusters contained an identifiable C protein or precursor peptide. This cataloging procedure identified nearly 1,500 putative TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters in the prokaryotic genomes available from EMBL (Figure 2.1). This is likely an underestimate because (i) very little is known about stand-alone TOMM clusters (lacking C protein) (ii) it is unknown whether TfuA-associated YcaO proteins can adorn peptides with azoline and azole heterocycles and (iii) highly unusual precursor peptides would not be detected by the strategy employed. Furthermore, RefSeq (NCBI) records are not systematically included in UniProtKB, thus many TOMM proteins from RefSeq were not included in this identification process.

To visualize the relationship landscape of TOMM families, a sequence similarity network was produced using the D proteins from each gene cluster (Figure 2.3 and 2.4) Characterized gene cluster families, identified by similarity to previously explored TOMM clusters, were then mapped onto the network. D proteins from similar TOMM families were more similar to each other, irrespective of the phyla from which the gene clusters originated. This suggests, similar to other natural products like lanthipeptides (Yu, Zhang, et al. 2013, Zhang, et al. 2012) and phosphonates (Ju, et al. 2014, Yu, Doroghazi, et al. 2013), that the structure and function of a particular TOMM can be predicted not only by the sequence of the precursor peptide, but also by the similarity of the modification enzymes. Therefore, it is not necessary in all cases to identify
the putative precursor peptide to predict the family of the TOMM natural product. Examining isofunctional clusters in multiple genomic backgrounds also allows inference of gene cluster boundaries and the encoded enzymes that are involved in biosynthesis (Doroghazi, et al. 2013). Using a BLAST expectation value of E-54, there are 11 anticipated isofunctional groups that contain at least one previously explored TOMM. The groups have been designated as follows: cytolysin, cyanobactin, thiopeptide, microcin B17 (MccB17), NHLP/Nif11, goadsporin, heterocycloanthracin (HCA), hakacin, plantazolicin (PZN), YM-216319, and bottromycin (Figure 2.3).

As illustrated on the sequence similarity network, the families for nearly 60% of predicted TOMMs can be inferred from their similarity to a characterized D protein. However, a considerable number of presumed isofunctional groups contain no characterized TOMMs, leaving a vast area of the natural product space yet to be characterized (Figure 2.3 and 2.5). There are 10 presumed isofunctional groups with no explored TOMM clusters, which we have designated as the following: haloazolisin, faecalisin, helicobactin, mobilisin, propionisin, coryneazolisin type 1 and type 2, thermoacidophisin, anabaenasin, and gallolytisin (Figure 2.4 and 2.6). These TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters encode a variety of unique peptides rich in Gly, Ser, Thr, and Cys, suggesting that they are the TOMM precursor peptide. Although defined by the installation of azoline heterocycles, the majority of TOMM gene clusters contain additional post-translational modification enzymes (Figure 2.7) as well as a plethora of novel precursor peptides (Figure 2.8). To analyze enzymatic commonalities between TOMM families, the proteins encoded in the genomic region surrounding the D proteins were clustered by similarity (Figure 2.9, 2.10, 2.11). These family-specific modification enzymes are described further within each TOMM family discussed below.
2.3 Isofunctional Groups with Explored TOMMs

2.3.1 Microcin B17

Microcin B17 (MccB17) is a quintessential example of a TOMM cluster containing a discrete cyclodehydratase (i.e. separate C and D proteins). The enzymes encoded by this cluster extensively modify the MccB17 core peptide to yield a DNA gyrase inhibitor (Li, et al. 1996, Yorgey, et al. 1994). The current analysis identified 30 gene clusters from Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas syringae, Pseudomonas putida, and Pseudomonas fluorescens, all of which have been previously identified as MccB17 producers (Lee, et al. 2008, Metelev, et al. 2013). The gene clusters from E. coli and Pseudomonas sp. are similar to the previously characterized clusters, and all contain homologs to the C protein (Figure 2.9: group 41), D protein (Figure 2.9: group 54), and three ATP-binding cassette (ABC)-like transporters (Figure 2.9: groups 2, 66, 67). The 19 identified MccB17 precursor peptides in E. coli clusters are identical in the core region and bear only a single substitution in the leader peptide; however, these peptides vary in the length of the glycine linker region at the N-terminus of the core. The nine precursors from Pseudomonas are considerably more divergent, only sharing the glycine-rich cyclized region with the E. coli precursors (Figure 2.8).

2.3.2 Cytolysin

Streptolysin S (SLS) is a potent cytolysin responsible for the characteristic β-hemolytic phenotype exhibited by Streptococcus pyogenes (Molloy, et al. 2011). The cytolysin family continues to grow, with over 300 clusters identified since the pioneering identification of the SLS gene cluster (Betschel, et al. 1998, Nizet, et al. 2000). Homologous clusters have been identified in other pathogenic bacteria including Listeria monocytogenes, Clostridium botulinum, Staphylococcus aureus, and Brachyspira murdochii (Letzel, et al. 2014, Molloy, et al. 2011). Of particular interest are the clusters identified in Spirochaetes and Lactobacillus crispatus because the Spirochaetes are not known to produce any toxins and Lactobacillus crispatus is a commensal
bacteria and therefore it is unknown why they both have the genetic capacity to produce SLS-like compounds (Molloy et al., submitted). Although the cytolysins form a single isofunctional group, the precursor peptides differ based on species. All of the identified clusters contain a discrete cyclodehydratase, a dehydrogenase, ABC transporters, and a CaaX-like protease (Maxson, et al. 2015, Pei, et al. 2011) (Figure 2.7). Of the 312 identified clusters, 294 had identifiable precursor peptides. Six cytolysin TOMM clusters encode two precursor peptides, in line with a previous finding (Tabata, et al. 2013). All of the identified cytolysin precursor peptide cores contain a Gly residue followed by 10 or more potentially heterocyclized residues, suggesting that contiguous heterocyclization may be important for activity. The C-terminal regions of the core peptides (following the conserved, contiguous, heterocyclizable region) vary by species or are missing entirely (Spirochaetes). The core regions, including the variable C-termini, and the leader peptide of the precursor peptides from Streptococcus and Clostridium are more similar to each other than they are to the peptides from Staphylococcus and Listeria, which themselves share similarity. This is consistent with previous studies that showed that the Streptococcus enzymes could modify the Clostridium precursor peptide, but not the native Listeria precursor (Lee, et al. 2008, Mitchell, et al. 2009). Furthermore, the core region of the precursor peptide from Borrelia is more similar to that from Streptococcus than it is to that from Listeria, solidifying the previous findings that these peptides can be modified by the Streptococcus enzymes (Molloy et al., submitted). The C proteins involved in cytolysin biosynthesis are split by organism into two different enzyme groups (Figure 2.9), further corroborating the ability of only certain cyclodehydratases to modify precursor peptides in this family. The Streptococcus, Borrelia, Brachyspira, and Clostridium C proteins cluster together (Group 22), and the Listeria and Staphylococcus C proteins form a different cluster (Group 37).
2.3.3 Cyanobactin

The cyanobactins represent one of the largest families of TOMMs with fused cyclodehydratases TOMMs. Cyanobactins are cyclic peptides produced by Cyanobacteria and are best known for their anticancer, antiviral and antimalarial effects (Namikoshi, et al. 1996, Nunnery, et al. 2010). This exercise only included cyanobactin biosynthetic gene clusters that were bioinformatically identified as TOMM gene clusters from UniProtKB sequences (there are known cyanobactins which lack azole/azoline heterocycles and the requisite D protein is missing from the cluster) (Sivonen, et al. 2010). The 56 cyanobactin clusters identified here often encode precursor peptides with hypervariable core regions. These diverse natural product template sequences are flanked by highly conserved cleavage sites that ultimately direct the excision and macrocyclization of the mature cyanobactin from the precursor peptide (Agarwal, et al. 2012, Koehnke, et al. 2012, Lee, et al. 2009). In most clusters, a PatA-like protease recognizes and cleaves the N-terminal site. Then, a PatG-like protease recognizes the C-terminal site and catalyzes the N-to-C macrocyclization (Agarwal, et al. 2012, Houssen, et al. 2010, Koehnke, et al. 2012). In nearly one-third of the identified TOMM cyanobactins identified (18 total), PatG homologs are fused as a single polypeptide to FMN-dependent dehydrogenases for the oxidation of azoline heterocycles to the corresponding azoles (Figure 2.7). The identified PatA and PatG homologs form a group with other B proteins (lacking the protease) from similar clusters such as goadsporin and NHLP/Nif11 (Figure 2.9, group 9, also see section 2.3.4). This enzyme group also contains homologs of the prenyltransferases in the cyanobactin gene clusters because there are homologous methyltransferase domains that are fused to either a PatA or the prenyltransferase domain, thus combining the group by similarity. Of the 56 total TOMM cyanobactin gene clusters, prenyltransferases were identified in 18 and these enzymes are expected to prenylate Ser, Tyr, and Thr residues within the precursor peptide core regions (Bent, et al. 2013, McIntosh, et al. 2011). Although cyanobactin gene clusters often encode multiple precursor peptides, they are
relatively long (~100 amino acids) and have a reduced richness of Cys, Ser, Thr (~20-30% in predicted core peptides) compared to other TOMM precursor peptides. Therefore, few cyanobactin precursor peptides were identified with the parameters used in this study. However, identification of many cyanobactin precursor peptides has been previously reported (Donia, et al. 2008, Donia, et al. 2011, Schmidt, et al. 2009, Sivonen, et al. 2010).

2.3.4 Nitrile hydratase-related leader peptides and Nif11-related precursor peptides

Cyanobactin D proteins group with those for two other families of TOMMs, the nitrile hydratase-related leader peptides (NHLPs or NHLP-Burk, for clusters produced by *Burkholderia* species) and the Nif11-related precursor peptides (Figure 2.3 and 2.5) (Haft, et al. 2010). Unlike the cyanobactins, however, the NHLP and Nif11 families do not contain PatA/G-like proteases (Figure 2.7).

NHLP precursors share sequence similarity to the alpha subunit of nitrile hydratases but are missing the catalytic requisite CxxCSC motif (Haft, et al. 2010). Nif11-derived peptides are only found in bacteria capable of fixing nitrogen and have similarity to the Nif11 protein, whose function is unknown. In some clusters, NHLP and Nif11 peptides are found concurrently. Similar to cyanobactins, both of these families of precursors again have hypervariable core regions, and some NHLP-Burk peptides appear to have multiple cleavage sites, suggesting the production of two compounds from a single precursor peptide (Haft, et al. 2010). The NHLP-Burk clusters contain tandem precursor peptide genes. In some NHLP-burk gene clusters, these precursors are fused, suggesting they may form a two-peptide product. Similar to cyanobactin precursor peptides, the NHLP, NHLP-Burk and Nif11 precursor peptides are long, making the proportion of Cys, Thr, and Ser within the predicted core peptide low. Therefore, these peptides were not identified using the parameters from this bioinformatics study (Haft, et al. 2010).
2.3.5 Goadsporin

Only two biosynthetic gene clusters for goadsporin production were identified in this study. Goadsporin promotes secondary metabolism and morphogenesis in actinomycetes at low concentration, but inhibits bacterial growth at higher concentrations (Onaka, et al. 2005). In addition to a fused TOMM cyclodehydratase and B protein, the goadsporin biosynthetic gene cluster contains a dehydratase for the conversion of Ser and Thr to dehydroalanine (Dha) and dehydrobutyrine (Dhb), respectively. These lanthipeptide-like dehydratase proteins are split into separate proteins (glutamylation and elimination domains, respectively) rather than a single polypeptide with two-domains that is often found in lanthipeptide gene clusters (Li and Kelly 2010, Mavaro, et al. 2011, Ortega, et al. 2014, Yu, Zhang, et al. 2013, Zhang, et al. 2012). These two proteins form distinct enzyme groups containing the dehydratases from not only goadsporin, but also thiopeptide and coryneazolisin producers (discussed below, Groups 8 and 15).

2.3.6 Thiopeptides

Thiopeptides are highly modified macrocyclic TOMMs best known for their inhibition of protein synthesis by interacting with the 50S ribosomal subunit or elongation factor Tu (Bagley, et al. 2005). The D proteins involved in thiopeptide biosynthesis do not form a single isofunctional group at e-value E-54 like the D proteins from most other TOMM clusters. Instead, roughly half form a unique group while the other half clusters with the HCA producers (Figure 2.3) (Haft 2009). After further investigation, it became apparent that there exists two different families of thiopeptide biosynthetic gene clusters. One family has a single fused cyclodehydratase that clusters with the heterocycloanthracin (HCA) producers, while the other class encodes a discrete C and D cyclodehydratase; occasionally, this type also contains an additional fused cyclodehydratase.

Thiopeptide gene clusters that group with HCA gene clusters at E-54 include those responsible for production of thiostrepton, thiocillin, and other well-characterized thiopeptides.
Within these clusters, 85% contain a ThiF-like domain containing protein (TOMM F protein, Figures 2.7 and 2.9) that is presumably responsible for precursor peptide binding, as has been demonstrated during HCA biosynthesis (\textit{vide infra}, Dunbar \textit{et al.}, submitted). Only two natural products have been identified from the thiopeptide gene clusters that contain the discrete cyclodehydratase, TP-1161 (Engelhardt, Degnes, Kemmler, \textit{et al.} 2010, Engelhardt, Degnes and Zotchev 2010) and berninamycin (Malcolmson, \textit{et al.} 2013). Only 25% of these gene clusters contain an F protein, suggesting that the C proteins from these gene clusters are capable of engaging the precursor peptide on their own.


\subsection*{2.3.7 Plantazolicin}

Plantazolicin (PZN), a recently characterized natural product with highly discriminating antibiotic activity, forms a small isofunctional group in the sequence similarity network with 13 members (Figure 2.3) (Molohon, \textit{et al.} 2011, Scholz, \textit{et al.} 2011). The PZN gene cluster was initially identified in \textit{Bacillus amyloliquefaciens}, but has since been identified in additional \textit{Bacillus} species as well as from actinomycetes such as \textit{Clavibacter}, \textit{Brevibacterium}, and \textit{Corynebacterium} (Molohon, \textit{et al.} 2011). The current study identifies additional PZN clusters in the \textit{Nesterenkonia} and \textit{Sorangium} genera. In an early report on PZN (Scholz, \textit{et al.} 2011), it was determined that dimethylation of the N-terminal arginine was required for activity. The PZN \textit{S}-adenosyl methionine (SAM)-dependent methyltransferase responsible for this dimethylation was later reconstituted and found to be specific for PZN-like substrates, appearing to require an N-
terminal arginine followed by a thiazole (Hao, et al. 2015, Lee, et al. 2013, Piwowarska, et al. 2013). Due to this specificity, it is not surprising that the PZN methyltransferase forms a distinct enzyme group within the modification enzymes (Figure 2.9, group not shown). The precursor genes from these clusters are smaller (~130 bp) than most TOMM precursor peptides and consequently, all were identified manually. Of the identified clusters, 12 contain the PZN-specific methyltransferase (all but the Nesterenkonia cluster) and 10 have a core peptide region predicted to begin with Arg. The core regions of these 10 precursor peptides are very similar to the core of the initially-described PZN peptide from B. amyloliquefaciens, containing 5 heterocyclizable residues near the N-terminus, followed by two nonpolar amino acids, and 5 or 6 more heterocyclizable residues near the C-terminus (Figure 2.8).

2.3.8 Hakacin

The TOMMs of the hakacin group (Figure 2.3) have discrete cyclodehydratases, and although the C and D proteins have been extensively characterized in vitro, the final structure and function of any hakacin remains undetermined (Dunbar, et al. 2012, Dunbar, et al. 2013, Melby, et al. 2012, Melby, et al. 2014). The current analysis identified similar clusters from 16 Bacillus cereus and Bacillus thuringiensis strains. In addition to the cyclodehydratase, hakacin gene clusters encode a B protein, protease, ABC transporters, and a group-specific protease of unknown function (Figure 2.7). Interestingly, there are three groups of hakacin precursor peptides that vary in the core region; however, the leader regions are nearly identical (Figure 2.8).

2.3.9 Heterocycloanthracin

The heterocycloanthracin (HCA) producers comprise a large group of TOMMs with 254 being identified in this study. First bioinformatically identified in 2009,(Haft 2009) the genes responsible for the production of HCA were recently reconstituted in vitro (Dunbar et al., submitted). These genes are widely distributed in the Bacillus cereus group, with the majority of the sequenced strains containing a HCA gene cluster. All HCA producers contain a fused
cyclodehydratase that is missing ~100 amino acids from the N-terminal C protein domain. This truncation means that the cyclodehydratase lacks the critical residues involved in peptide recognition. It was recently demonstrated that the ThiF-like protein (TOMM F protein, IPR022291) identified in all HCA clusters (and most thiopeptides) is responsible for leader peptide binding. The TOMM F protein also forms a complex with the truncated cyclodehydratase, which is now dependent on the F protein for activity (Dunbar et al., submitted). F proteins have so far only been found in the gene clusters of HCA producers and the thiopeptides that group with them, and they form a single cohesive group within the modification enzymes (Figure 2.9, group 4).

The clusters of the B. cereus HCA clusters contain additional modification enzymes, including a B protein, a SAM-dependent methyltransferase, a succinyltransferase, and a 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, suggesting additional modifications could decorate these natural products. However, the genomic regions of these clusters are almost identical between strains, making it difficult to assign gene cluster boundaries. After comparison of the entire HCA family, only the fused cyclodehydratase, F protein, and B protein are present within all clusters and are potentially the only necessary enzymes within this cluster (unless there are other essential enzymes elsewhere on the chromosome).

Until 2009, an HCA precursor peptide could not be identified because in a majority of the B. cereus HCA clusters, the gene encoding the precursor peptide is not located in the local genomic context of the cyclodehydratase (Haft 2009). Using the method outlined above, any precursor peptides further than 10 kb from the D protein were not annotated, and therefore the majority of the precursor peptides from these clusters were left unannotated. However, 14 HCA precursor peptides were located close to the D proteins and thus were successfully annotated. These precursor peptides were similar to the ones identified in previous studies, and most contained either Cys-Ser or Gly-Cys repeats (Haft 2009).
Bottromycin and other TOMMs with a stand-alone D protein

Bottromycins display potent antimicrobial activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant enterococci (Kobayashi, *et al.* 2010). Characterized bottromycin gene clusters each contain two genes with YcaO-like domains similar to the D protein component of the TOMM cyclodehydratase, but no recognizable C protein (Crone, *et al.* 2012, Gomez-Escribano, *et al.* 2012, Hou, *et al.* 2012, Huo, *et al.* 2012). One of the D proteins is suspected to convert Cys to thiazoline while the second is postulated to be responsible for the formation of the macroamidine. The absence of a C protein in these stand-alone D protein TOMM clusters makes genome mining for TOMMs inherently more difficult. Bottromycin gene clusters contain methyltransferases necessary for the O-methylation of the aspartic acid and the methylation at non-nucleophilic β-carbons in bottromycin biosynthesis, respectively. For this study, similarity of these proteins as well as similarity of the D proteins were used to identify bottromycin and other stand-alone D protein clusters.

There are two known groups of YcaO domain-containing proteins (homologs of D proteins, but not associated with a C protein), the “non-TOMM YcaOs” and the “TfuA-associated non-TOMM YcaOs”. The latter co-occurs in clusters with a gene encoding for the protein TfuA, which is implicated in trifolitoxin production (Breil, *et al.* 1996, Dunbar, *et al.* 2014). Although all of these YcaO proteins contain the canonical ATP-binding pocket, the substrate of the non-TOMM and TfuA-associated YcaOs are unknown. These proteins were not included in this study; however, with the discovery of bottromycin biosynthesis, it is apparent that YcaO domain-containing proteins have the potential to synthesize natural products without a canonical C protein. Many of these uncharacterized YcaO proteins have the potential to produce novel natural products. Further bioinformatic and biochemical analysis will be necessary to determine if the non-TOMM YcaO enzymes are indeed involved in natural product biosynthesis.
2.4 Presumed Isofunctional Groups with no Characterized Members

A significant number of TOMM natural product classes do not group with any characterized biosynthetic clusters, thus representing an untapped source of structure and functional novelty (Figures 2.3 and 2.4).

2.4.1 Faecalisin

The largest group of uncharacterized TOMMs, referred to here as faecalisins, is comprised of 124 gene clusters found predominantly in Enterococcus faecalis. These clusters have discrete cyclodehydratases, and the D protein from the cluster is most related to those of MccB17 and a few of the stand-alone clusters (Figures 2.5 and 2.6). However, the C protein, responsible for leader peptide binding, does not form a group with C proteins from other TOMM classes (Figure 2.9, group not shown), implying that these clusters differ significantly from the MccB17 clusters. The faecalisin gene clusters also contain ABC transporters along with two hypothetical proteins that could be responsible for further modifications, but have no similarity with other TOMM ancillary modification enzymes (Figure 2.7).

Precursor peptide genes were identified for 102 of the faecalisin producers in this study, 20 of which contained two precursor genes within its cluster. Each of the identified precursor peptides has a core region containing a Gly repeat linker followed by a Cys repeat region (Figure 2.6), and all but three differ only by the length of the Gly linker, plus contain identical leader peptides (Figure 2.6).

2.4.2 Propionisin

A group of 19 TOMM gene clusters from Propionibacterium contain a discrete cyclodehydratase with the D protein most related to the cytolysin family (Figures 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7) though the C protein does not form a group with the other C proteins (Figure 2.9, group not shown). These propionisin gene clusters contain ABC transporters as well as hypothetical proteins that do not share any similarity to other TOMM enzymes, but could potentially modify
the natural product (Figure 2.7). Unlike most TOMM clusters, the propionisin gene clusters also contain multiple CaaX-like proteases (Maxson, et al. 2015).

A precursor peptide gene was identified for all predicted propionisin gene clusters. The majority of the strains (14/19) contained two identified precursor peptide genes, and three strains contained three. The precursor peptides cluster by similarity into three groups. The first two groups differ dramatically in leader peptide sequence but contain nearly identical core regions. These core regions appear similar to those of the cytolysin precursor peptides because they contain contiguous heterocyclizable residues followed by C-terminus with no Cys, Ser, and Thr. The third group of propionisin precursor peptides, meanwhile, have almost no similarity to the other two. Further experimentation is necessary to establish if these are actual TOMM precursor peptides (Figure 2.8).

2.4.3 Helicobactin

Another putative type of TOMM uncovered, the helicobactins, are encoded by 10 *Helicobacter pylori* strains. These TOMM clusters contain a discrete cyclodehydratase with a D protein most closely related to those of the hakacins and thermoacidophisins (Figures 2.5 and 2.6), while the C protein groups by itself when compared to other homologs (Figure 2.9, group 83). These clusters also contain a B protein and a hypothetical protein that shares similarity only with other *H. pylori* enzymes. Some helicobactin clusters contain ABC transporters as well as a protease (Figure 2.7); however, this is not strictly conserved throughout the family. Precursor peptides were identified for eight of the helicobactin clusters. These precursor peptides are nearly identical, with only a single substitution in the predicted leader peptide.

2.4.4 Mobilisin

The mobilisins, a family of TOMMs produced mainly by strains of *Mobiluncus*, form a predicted isofunctional group with 52 D proteins (Figure 2.3). The D proteins from these clusters are most similar to those from the gallolytisin and haloazolisin clusters (Figure 2.5 and 2.6). The
mobilisin gene clusters appear to only have the B, C, and D proteins (Figure 2.7). Precursor peptides were not identified bioinformatically for these clusters, implying that these precursor peptides could either be extremely different from previously identified or be encoded elsewhere in the genome. Further manual analysis identified a short peptide near the fused cyclodehydratase, however the core region contains a low percentage of Cys, Ser, and Thr residues explaining the lack of automatic identification.

### 2.4.5 Haloazolisin

Halophilic archaea contain a family of nearly 100 TOMM gene clusters, which we term the haloazolisins. These gene clusters have very divergent fused cyclodehydratases with a barely recognizable C protein domain; however, some clusters do contain a recognizable precursor peptide, which allowed for their classification as TOMM gene clusters (Figure 2.8). This cyclodehydratase is most similar to those from other uncharacterized TOMM clusters, including the anabaenasin, mobilisin, and galloytisin clusters (Figure 2.5 and 2.6). After further analysis, a precursor peptide was located near a F-like protein elsewhere on the chromosome of *Haloterrengina turkmenica*. Similar to the thiopeptide and HCA clusters, haloazolisin gene clusters encode a truncated, fused CD cyclodehydratase (missing roughly 200 amino acids from the N-terminus); however, the precursor peptide binding region is also missing from the F-like protein. Therefore, it is suspected that another uncharacterized protein within the cluster would be responsible for leader peptide binding, if these clusters do indeed generate a TOMM.

The haloazolisin precursor peptides are highly divergent, suggesting that this family may produce additional TOMMs. We identified 31 precursor peptides in these clusters with most having a Ser-rich core region (Figure 2.8). These clusters offer not only a wealth of potential novel TOMM structures and modification machinery, but also provide the opportunity to characterize natural product biosynthesis in archaea, which has been largely overlooked.
### 2.4.6 Thermoacidophisin

An additional archaeal family of TOMMs was identified in the genus *Sulfolobus*, specifically strains of *S. acidocaldarius* and *S. islandicus*. Four other related clusters were discovered in bacterial organisms, *Thermoanaerobacter mathranii subsp. mathranii* Str. A3, *Actinomyces odonolyticus* F0309, *Bacillus cereus* Rock3-44 and *Caldisericum exile* DSM 21853. All of these clusters have discrete cyclodehydratases, and their D proteins are most closely related to the helicobactin and PZN proteins (Figures 2.5 and 2.6), while the C proteins make up a single group of proteins unrelated to other C proteins (Figure 2.9, group not shown). The thermoacidophisin gene clusters also contain a B protein, ABC transporters, a regulator, and many hypothetical proteins (Figure 2.7).

Precursor peptides were identified for four of the thermoacidophisin clusters, all of which contain an abundance of Tyr and Gly residues. Characterization of these archaeal and bacterial TOMMs will potentially provide insight into the evolution of TOMM biosynthesis and horizontal transfer. The thermoacidophisin cluster has clearly disseminated over large phylogenetic distances through horizontal gene transfer, as it is present in four different phyla (Crenarchaeota, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Caldisericia). Interestingly, three of the five strains that contain this particular cluster are known thermophiles despite residing in different phyla.

### 2.4.7 Gallolytisin

A few presumed isofunctional clusters have exceptionally unique precursor peptide sequences and gene composition. The gallolytins are TOMMs encoded by a subset of only 20 strains, including *Streptococcus gallolyticus*. These clusters contain a discrete cyclodehydratase, and the D proteins are most similar to the D proteins from the PZN cluster (Figures 2.5 and 2.6). The C proteins from these clusters form a separate clade when compared to all other modification enzymes (Figure 2.9, group not shown). The gallolytisin clusters also contain ABC transporters...
and a regulator (Figure 2.7). Seven gallolytisin precursor peptides were identified, all of which contain a highly conserved CCCCXCCCC motif, where X is Pro, Ala, or Asp (Figure 2.8).

2.4.8 Anabaenasin

Anabaenasins are encoded by 11 varied species. Their gene cluster contain a discrete cyclodehydratase; with a D protein most similar to the D proteins from the haloazolisin and mobilisin gene clusters and a unique C protein (Figure 2.9, group not shown). Surprisingly, the cluster from *Anabeana* sp. 90 contains a transposase gene directly between the C and D proteins, suggesting that these clusters could be either mobile or inactive. This cluster architecture is not conserved within all of the anabaenasin family members. Five precursor peptides were identified in these clusters, all of which are Gly and Cys rich.

2.4.9 Coryneazolisin type 1 and type 2

The strains of *Corynebacterium* associated with TOMM clusters are all disease-causing, including *C. diphtheriae*, *C. ulcerans*, and *C. pseudotuberculosis*. Although prominent AB toxins from these strains have been characterized, (Pappenheimer 1977) the TOMMs from these classes have not, and as such, it remains unknown whether these coryneazolisins play a role in pathogenesis akin to SLS (Molloy, *et al.* 2011). These gene clusters contain two D proteins which form distinct groups; one discrete (type 1) and one that is fused with a C protein (type 2) (Figure 2.3). The coryneazolisin clusters also contain lanthipeptide-like dehydratases, and similar to goadsporin, they lack the canonical [4+2] cycloaddition protein common to the thiopeptides, suggesting that these coryneazolisins are not macrocyclic (Figure 2.7).

Precursor peptides were identified in 24 coryneazolisin gene clusters. These precursor peptides are highly similar to each other, with only a single substitution in the leader region among them; however, they differ significantly from other TOMMs, making it difficult to predict the final product. The core region contains 10 Cys/Ser/Thr residues followed by an Ile, then 5-7 additional Cys/Ser/Thr residues (Figure 2.8). A subset of coryneazolisin gene clusters do not
contain identifiable precursor peptide or cyclodehydratase genes, suggesting that they may be inactive. Furthermore, these clusters are surrounded by transposable elements, and in some cases the D protein is fused to a transposable element, which can be indicative of horizontal gene transfer (Figure 2.12)

### 2.5 Distribution of TOMM Gene Clusters

Transfer of biosynthetic gene clusters has been previously discussed for many natural products. Although horizontal gene transfer of TOMMs has not been extensively studied, it is intriguing that many biosynthetic gene clusters contain or are flanked by transposase genes, remnants of transposable elements or tRNA genes. Although not a predominant group of genes identified in TOMMs, there are transposase genes found in the proximity of HCA, PZN, cyanobactin, hakacin, cytolysin, NHLP, faecalisin, microcin B17, thermoacidophisin, thiopptide and coryneazolisin clusters (Figure 2.9, Groups 49, 51, 71, 77 and 78). This suggests a potential mechanism for gene cluster transfer between organisms.

To explore the distribution and transmission of TOMM clusters, a phylogenetic tree was created using the 16S sequences from each TOMM producing organism. The TOMM clusters produced by each organism were then mapped onto the tree (Figures 2.13 and 2.14). TOMM gene clusters are found in 6% of bacteria and 35% of archaea among the sequenced organisms in Ensembl. At first glance, the *Firmicutes* appear to be the major producers of TOMMs. While *Firmicutes* may encode the greatest number of TOMM gene clusters, many are extremely similar (*e.g.* the HCA and cytolysin clusters). Most TOMM diversity is presented by other phyla, such as the *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria* and *Euryarchaeae*. Although similar TOMM families are most often produced by related organisms, there are striking examples of possible horizontal transmission of a TOMM between distantly related organisms. For example, the cytolysins are primarily found in *Firmicutes* (*Streptococcus*, *Clostridium*, *Listeria*, etc.), but they are also present in *Spirochaetes* (*Brachyspira*, *Borrelia*, etc.). When assessed *in vitro*, the cytolysin from
Borrelia did possess a similar hemolytic phenotype as that of streptolysin S (Molloy et al., submitted). In addition, thermoacidophisin-like clusters are found in Crenarchaeota, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria, suggesting these clusters may have be transferred between archaea and bacteria.

2.6 Summary and Outlook

This study characterized the database containing genomic complexity of TOMM natural product biosynthetic gene clusters. An in-depth analysis of TOMM clusters was used to identify nine novel TOMM families, as well as identify the predominant accessory enzymes that bestow additional structural diversity. Precursor peptides were also analyzed for sequence diversity within each class. This study revealed the diversity of TOMM clusters as well as the phylogenetic distribution of clusters in not only bacteria, but also archaea. With the geometric expansion in the rate of genome sequencing, it is expected that TOMM cluster diversity will increase as well, providing a large and growing source of new enzymes and natural products with potential medical or industrial implications.

2.7 Experimental

All YcaO domain-containing proteins (InterPro IPR003776, D protein) were obtained from InterPro on October 28th, 2014. An attempt was made to include all YcaO domain-containing proteins that have been sequenced, but many protein sequences from NCBI were not correlated with genomes or were not added to UniProt and therefore were not included in the characterization.

2.7.1 Biosynthetic gene cluster discovery and comparison

10-kb genomic regions on either side of the YcaO domain-containing proteins were obtained from NCBI, and predicted protein sequences were used as annotated. Genome regions were clustered using MultiGeneBlast (Blin, et al. 2013, Medema, et al. 2011). The database used was created from all of the genomic regions obtained from NCBI. 100 BLAST hits were mapped
with a synteny conservation hit weight of 0.5 and a BLAST hit weight of 0.5. The minimal BLAST sequence coverage was 25 and the minimal percent identity for BLAST hits was 30%. Genomic regions with a MultiGeneBlast score above 10 were grouped into families.

To identify TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters, profile Hidden Markov Models (pHMMs) and the program HMMER (Finn, et al. 2011) were used to identify C proteins from TOMM clusters. TIGR03603 and TIGR03882 were used to identify C proteins and CD fusion proteins, respectively. New pHMMs were created to identify short CD fusions similar to those in the haloazolisin clusters. Precursor peptides were identified as described below. Genomic regions were considered TOMMs if any members of the families identified with MultiGeneBlast contained a C or CD fusion protein identified with the pHMMs, the genomic region contained a precursor peptide (described below), or the genomic regions clustered with known bottromycin producers (Crone, et al. 2012, Gomez-Escribano, et al. 2012, Hou, et al. 2012, Huo, et al. 2012) (a TOMM with no identifiable C protein and a non-canonical precursor peptide).

2.7.2 Sequence similarity networks

The D proteins from all of the identified TOMM gene clusters were used to make the D-only sequence similarity networks. Similarity was evaluated using an all-vs-all BLAST ith an e-value cutoff of E-54. To create the network with all of the TOMM proteins, proteins were predicted from NCBI gene annotations. All proteins within the genomic region were submitted to the Enzyme Function Initiative – Enzyme Similarity Tool (enzymefunction.org) for analysis. The similarity was calculated at an e-value of E-30 with a representative node cluster of 100%. Both networks were visualized with Cytoscape (cytoscape.org) using the organic layout.

2.7.3 Precursor sequence discovery

Precursor peptides were identified using two methods. In one, the NCBI-annotated genes from all of the genomic regions surrounding a YcaO domain-containing protein were analyzed, and any genes smaller than 450 bp were considered precursor peptides if the residues in the C-
terminal half of the encoded product were at least 45% Cys, Ser, or Thr. Because gene annotation programs often have difficulty annotating small open reading frames, the second method first determined all possible open reading frames in each genomic region. Any potential protein under 150 amino acids with at least 65% of the residues in the C-terminal half being Cys, Ser, or Thr were considered precursor peptides. Duplicates were removed. Precursor peptides vary in both sequence and length, and therefore, it is likely that many precursor peptides remained unidentified using this stringent method. Furthermore, any precursor peptides encoded elsewhere in the genome would be left unannotated with this analysis, as is the case with many HCA precursor peptides.

2.7.4 Phylogenetic analysis

D protein sequences were obtained from UniProt, and 16S rRNA sequences were obtained from SILVA by searching for the organism name from UniProt. All phylogenetic analysis was done using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) (Tamura, et al. 2013). Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004, Edgar 2004, Goujon, et al. 2010, McWilliam, et al. 2013) with all standard parameters. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were created in MEGA using the standard parameters.
2.8 Figures

Figure 2.1 | Schematic of bioinformatics analysis. (A) TOMM biosynthesis begins with the ribosomal synthesis of a precursor peptide. The characteristic thiazoline/oxazoline heterocycles of a TOMM are installed by the C and D protein complex colored green and blue, respectively. Other tailoring enzymes (red and teal) often install additional modifications on the maturing product before the proteolytic cleavage (orange) of the leader peptide. (B) To identify TOMMs, all proteins containing a YcaO domain were identified using InterPro (IPR003776). The genomic regions surrounding the YcaO domains were retrieved, analyzed, and grouped by their cumulative BLAST bit score and synteny (Step 1). TOMM clusters were then separated from non-TOMM gene clusters determined by the inclusion of a C protein, precursor peptide, or bottromycin-like D protein (Step 2). (C) BLAST and synteny values from MultiGeneBlast were used to group TOMM clusters (Step 1). (D) A gene cluster was classified as a TOMM if it contained a C protein, precursor peptide, or was similar to bottromycin (Step 2).
Figure 2.2 | Structures of a representative group explored TOMM compounds. Chemical structures from a few of the major classes of known TOMMs. Compound names and activities are listed below each structure.
Figure 2.3 | Sequence similarity network of TOMM D-proteins. Each node represents a unique D protein (YcaO, from InterPro family IPR003776), while an edge indicates that two proteins have a BLAST expectation value < 1E-54. All nodes belonging to TOMM families with at least one characterized gene cluster (structure of final product not necessary) are colored as noted in the legend. Black iso-functional groups indicate that no member of the group has been characterized.
Figure 2.4 | Sequence similarity network of TOMM D proteins. Each node represents a unique D-protein, while an edge indicates that two proteins have a BLAST expectation value $< 1E-54$. All nodes from uncharacterized TOMM families are colored as noted in the legend. All nodes in TOMM families with at least one characterized gene cluster (structure of final product not necessary) are colored black.
Figure 2.5 | Phylogenetic analysis of TOMM D proteins. A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using the D protein sequence from all TOMM producers. The class of characterized TOMM was then mapped on with colored circles as represented in the legend. Similar TOMM clusters seen in the sequence similarity network (Figure 2.3) are seen grouping here.
Figure 2.6 | Phylogenetic analysis of TOMM D proteins. A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using the D protein sequence from all TOMM producers. The class of uncharacterized TOMM was then mapped on with colored circles as represented in the legend. Similar TOMM clusters seen in the sequence similarity network (Figure 2.3) are seen grouping here. This tree is identical to the tree from Additional File 3: Figure S3, but with different colors mapped onto the tree for identification of the uncharacterized TOMM classes.
Figure 2.7 | Representative gene clusters from each TOMM subclass. Open reading frame diagrams are shown for a representative organism of each TOMM family. Uncharacterized gene clusters represent subclasses of TOMMs from which no gene clusters that have explored. Characterized clusters represent subclasses from which at least one gene cluster has been explored.
Figure 2.8 | Sequence logos from bioinformatically identified TOMM precursor peptides. Sequence logos were created (WebLogo) using the C-terminal region of identified precursor peptides (cleavage sites were estimated based on length and the presence of a glycine or alanine residue as seen in other TOMM precursor peptides). Cysteines are labeled in red, serines in blue, threonines in green, and other amino acids in black.
Figure 2.9 | The prevalence and distribution of enzymes involved in TOMM biosynthesis. A sequence similarity network was constructed with all proteins in the TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters visualized at a BLAST expectation value of 1E-30. All proteins with 100% identity were removed and are represented as larger nodes on the network (size is dependent on the number of redundant proteins). Groups are number for reference within the manuscript.
Figure 2.10 | The prevalence and phylogenetic distribution of enzymes involved in TOMM biosynthesis. A sequence similarity network with all proteins in the TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters visualized at an e-value of 1E-30. All proteins with 100% identity were removed and are represented as larger nodes on the network (size is dependent on the number of removed proteins).
Figure 2.11 | The prevalence and phylogenetic distribution of enzymes involved in TOMM biosynthesis. A sequence similarity network with all proteins in the TOMM biosynthetic gene clusters visualized at an e-value of 1E-30. All proteins with 100% identity were removed and are represented as larger nodes on the network (size is dependent on the number of removed proteins).
Figure 2.12 | Inactivated coryneazolisin cluster comparisons. Gene clusters from four potential coryneazolisin clusters are depicted. The two topmost clusters contain all the predicted enzymes required for coryneazolisin production. The second cluster from the top contains an additional transposase gene on the end. The third cluster is truncated and surrounded by transposable elements, and the fourth cluster contains a D protein that has been fused to a transposable element. It is likely that the two bottommost clusters have been inactivated.
Figure 2.13 | Phylogenetic analysis of TOMM producers. A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using 16S sequences from all organisms that contain a TOMM gene cluster. Coloring indicates which class of TOMM that particular organism contains, per the legend. The phyla of the producing organisms are labeled around the tree. Most classes of TOMMs appear to be produced within the same phylum; however, some classes are found in multiple phyla.
Figure 2.14 | Phylogenetic analysis of TOMM producers with uncharacterized clusters. A maximum likelihood tree was constructed using 16S sequences from all TOMM producers. This is the same tree produced in Figure 6, but with different TOMM classes mapped on with colored circles as represented in the legend. The phyla of the producing organisms are labeled around the tree. Most families of TOMMs appear to be produced within the same phylum; however, some are produced in multiple phyla.
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Abstract

Natural product biosynthesis in the domain archaea has been virtually unexplored, leaving their biosynthetic capabilities poorly characterized compared to bacteria and eukaryotes. The thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs) are posttranslationally modified peptides encoded in the domains bacteria and archaea. A trimeric enzymatic complex, from the TOMM biosynthetic gene cluster, is responsible for installing heterocycles on the TOMM peptides. These heterocycles are formed from cysteine, serine, and threonine residues of the precursor peptide(s). A putative TOMM cluster in the archaeal species *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* was discovered through genome mining. As microbial genome sequences continues to accelerate, biosynthetic clusters remarkably similar to that of the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM have been catalogued in other archaeal and bacterial species. A multipronged approach was used to identify and characterize the natural product(s) produced by these similar TOMMs. These characterizations gave fundamental insight into the biosynthesis and evolution of gene clusters within archaea. The natural product(s) were not identified, illuminating the difficulties inherent with genome guided natural product discovery methods. This work encouraged the creation of a novel genome guided technique to identify and isolate compounds which is discussed further in chapter 4.

3.1 Introduction

Antibiotics have been an important tool for innovation in both the basic science and medical fields (Falconer, *et al.* 2011, Peric-Concha, *et al.* 2003). However, with the sharp decline in the discovery of novel antibiotics during the last few decades, coupled with a rise in antibiotic resistant bacteria, it has become imperative to take alternate steps to discover new antibiotics
Genomic sequencing has revealed a tremendous diversity in microbial species and their biosynthetic capabilities, which include a vast array of bioactive natural products. Although many bacterial natural products have been extensively studied (Clardy, et al. 2006, Walsh 2004), the prevalence of antimicrobial natural products from the domain archaea is still largely unknown. Characterization of gene encoded, archaeal, toxic polypeptides, termed archaeocins, remains in the early stages (O'Connor, et al. 2002). Halocins are archaeocins produced by halophilic euryarchaea and the few studied vary widely in both biochemical characteristics and biological activities (Haseltine, et al. 2001). Halocins are secreted into the media and range in size from 3.5 kDa (considered microhalocins) to 35 kDa (Cheung, et al. 1997, Price, et al. 2000). Five microhalocins have been structurally characterized, none of which undergo posttranslational modification (Shand 2008). The activity spectrum of halocins is broad, with toxins killing not only closely related haloarchaea, but also non-related hyperthermophilic, crenarchaeal Sulfolobus species (Haseltine, et al. 2001). Sulfolobicin, a relatively large archaeocin (20 kDa), is produced by the Sulfolobales order (Ellen, et al. 2011, Leyva 2008, Prangishvili 2000). This archaeocidal toxin is encoded by two separate proteins that form a complex and associate with spherical vesicles which are secreted by the producer strain (Ellen, et al. 2011). The activity spectrum of sulfolobicin is narrow, with only S. solfataricus P1, S. shibatae B12 (DSM 5389), and six strains of S. islandicus inhibited (Prangishvili 2000). Interestingly, these sulfolobicins are extremely stable, resistant to high temperature and varying pH, as well as long term storage (Ellen, et al. 2011). A cypemycin-like biosynthetic gene cluster has also been described in the archaeal species Haloterrigena turkmenica DSM 5511, however the product of this cluster has not been experimentally investigated (Claesen, et al. 2010). Research on the archaeocins, especially those with unknown functions and activities, will lead to a better understanding of archaeal competition and secondary metabolism (O'Connor, et al. 2002). Since archaea employ eukaryotic-like cellular processes and can live in such varied...
environments, some archaeal natural products may have distinct functions relative to those produced by bacteria. Bioactive, structurally complex molecules from thermoacidophiles (i.e. *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM) could potentially be pharmaceutically valuable, as they would be expected to have properties protecting them from both enzymatic and non-enzymatic degradation within patients.

### 3.1.1 Thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins

In the search for new bioactive compounds, genome sequencing has revealed a widely distributed biosynthetic gene cluster that posttranslationally modifies inactive, peptides to yield bioactive natural products (Lee 2008, Melby, *et al.* 2011). This class of natural products has been termed the thiazole/oxazole modified microcin (TOMM) family. TOMMs are characterized by the installation of heterocycles that are derived from Cys, Ser and Thr residues in the precursor peptide (A). A trimeric complex containing a cyclodehydratase (C and D protein) and dehydrogenase (B), cooperatively act to install heterocycles onto precursor peptides (Figure 3.1A). The cyclodehydratase performs the cyclization of Cys and Ser/Thr residues to form thiazoline and (methyl)oxazoline heterocycles (Belshaw, *et al.* 1998, Dunbar, *et al.* 2012, Dunbar, *et al.* 2013, Li, *et al.* 1996, Melby, *et al.* 2012, Milne, *et al.* 1998, Milne, *et al.* 1999). A subset of, or sometimes all, “azoline” heterocycles are then further processed by a flavin mononucleotide (FMN)-dependent dehydrogenase, to produce the aromatic thiazole and (methyl)oxazole heterocycles (Melby, *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 3.1B). These heterocycles endow the precursor peptide with a rigidified structure necessary for activity. TOMM biosynthetic clusters often contain ancillary tailoring enzymes to increase structural complexity and transporters to export the fully functional natural product out of the cell.

The TOMM family is widely disseminated in both bacterial and archaeal species. Computational surveys have identified over 400 clusters in the genomes published in GenBank as
Examples of studied TOMMs include microcin B17 (DNA gyrase inhibitor), streptolysin S (cytolysin), heterocycle-containing cyanobactins (eukaryotic cytotoxins), and the thiopeptides (ribosome inhibitors) (Melby, et al. 2011). Despite extensive characterization of some TOMMs, the vast majority have unknown structures and bioactivities (Figure 3.2). As of 2012, Sulfolobus acidocaldarius is one of three archaeal species which was discovered to contain a “complete” TOMM cluster (contains ‘A’ precursor and ‘BCD’ modifying proteins). There are 14 archaeal species that contain an incomplete cluster with only the ‘CD’ modifying enzymes. Thus, these clusters would be expected to produce compounds with exclusively azoline (not azole) heterocycles, unless a dehydrogenase encoded elsewhere in the genome is operative. Given our previous successes in studying TOMM biosynthetic clusters (Lee 2008, Mitchell 2009) and our current interests in defining the biosynthetic capabilities of the archaea, S. acidocaldarius provides a unique opportunity to explore this exciting area.

3.1.2 Sulfolobus acidocaldarius TOMM cluster

S. acidocaldarius is a well-known archaeal species that was first isolated from a hot spring in Yellowstone National Park (Brock 1972). S. acidocaldarius thrives in environments with temperatures ranging between 75 - 90 °C and a pH of 2-3. These living conditions make S. acidocaldarius one of the few thermoacidophiles known. While much is known about S. acidocaldarius relative to other archaeal species, our molecular understanding of this organism, and the archaea as a whole, pales in comparison to bacteria. Archaea are morphologically more similar to bacteria, but employ eukaryotic-like mechanisms for cellular processes (Whitman, et al. 1999). Other defining features of the domain archaea include a lack of peptidoglycan in their cell walls (S. acidocaldarius replaces peptidoglycan with a S-layer) and membranes composed of ether-linked lipids (Taylor, et al. 1982, Woese, et al. 1990). Not only are archaea globally underrepresented in microbiological research, but the challenge of culturing and creating genetic
systems in archaeal species has delayed the exploration of their natural product biosynthetic capabilities.

The predicted *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM biosynthetic gene cluster is comprised of eleven genes (Figure 3.3). Because of its similarity to other TOMM clusters, we have adopted the standard TOMM nomenclature for most of the encoded proteins. There are two predicted precursor peptides in the cluster that harbor four and six heterocyclizable residues, SaciA1 and SaciA2 (*Saci_0528* and unannotated, respectively) (Figure 3.3). The genes that encode for SaciA1 and SaciA2 are followed in the cluster by *saciBCD* (*Saci_0525, Saci_0527, Saci_0526*, respectively), which are orthologous to the thiazole/oxazole synthetase proteins in other TOMM clusters and are expected to carry out the same transformation. A predicted immunity gene is present, designated *saciF* (*Saci_0530*), which is weakly similar to suspected immunity proteins in other TOMM clusters. There are three ABC transporter genes within the cluster, *saciGHI* (*Saic_0532, Saci_0531 and Saci_0529*, respectively). *SaciK* (*Saci_0523*) is a predicted transcriptional regulator, which belongs to the ArsR family of repressors. The last gene in the cluster, *saciJ* (*Saci_0524*), has an unknown function and is only homologous to an annotated gene in the *Sulfolobus islandicus* REY15A TOMM cluster. The predicted TOMM(s) from *S. acidocaldarius* are unique when compared to other archaeocins and will be the first extensively posttranslationally modified natural products studied in archaea.

### 3.1.3 Other related TOMM clusters

Our lab has shown, by using bioinformatics-guided chemotyping that TOMMs cluster by their putative function. For this analysis, we created a phylogenetic tree of the D proteins (Figure 3.2) (Melby, *et al.* 2011). This is a powerful analysis, as it allows the functional prediction of uncharacterized TOMMs based on their similarity to a relative with a known function. In the cases where no phylogenetic neighbors have a known function, no prediction can be made. The *S.
acidocaldarius TOMM falls into this category, as it forms a new clade with other TOMMs of unknown function. A bioinformatics study using SaciBCD proteins was performed to determine TOMMs that will likely have similar functions and to find the evolutionary distribution of this cluster (Figure 3.4). Four other highly related clusters were discovered (Figure 3.3). The cluster from Sulfolobus islandicus REY15A was used in comparison with the S. acidocaldarius to determine the boundaries of these clusters. Interestingly, three other related clusters were discovered in bacterial species. The other clusters are in Bacillus cereus Rock3-44, Thermoanaerobacter mathranii subsp. mathranii str. A3, and Actinomyces odonolyticus F0309. These bacterial species have precursor peptides that likely function similarly to S. acidocaldarius and S. islandicus (Figure 3.3), and provide a possible pathway for acquisition of these clusters through horizontal gene transfer.

3.2 Multipronged Approach

To identify and characterize the S. acidocaldarius TOMM biosynthetic gene cluster and natural product(s) a multipronged approach was taken. Multiple genome guided techniques that had been previously used study other ribosomally produced natural products were enlisted to elucidate the structure and biological activity of the S. acidocaldarius TOMM. The necessary S. acidocaldarius TOMM biosynthetic genes were cloned into E. coli expression vectors, expressed and purified. This work set the stage for in vitro reconstitution, structural characterization using MS, and functional characterization of the enzymes and natural product(s). For an in vivo approach, reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR, a gene deletion, and fosmid-based heterologous expression was used to serve as a guide for the isolation of the S. acidocaldarius TOMM natural product(s). These techniques had all previously been used to identify other ribosomal natural products, however were unsuccessful when used to identify the TOMM from S. acidocaldarius. Often natural products are produced in extremely low levels or are not produced in laboratory culturing conditions and this is likely the reason the following techniques did not work in
isolating the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM. However, these setbacks stimulated the creation of a new method that evaded this common difficulties resulting in the discovery of a different natural product (discussed in chapter 4).

### 3.3 Heterologous Expression and Purification of the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM Proteins

*In vitro* assays provide an unparalleled method to study enzymatic reactions through the precise control of reaction conditions. To study the heterocycle formation within the two precursor peptides, SaciA1 and SaciA2, an *in vitro* synthetase reaction similar to previous reports (Lee 2008, Sinha Roy, *et al.* 1998) was attempted. To perform these experiments, the necessary genes (*saciA1*, *A2*, *B*, *C*, and *D*) were cloned from genomic DNA into the pET28-maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion *E. coli* expression vector. MBP was used for affinity purification of the proteins and to enhance their solubility/stability. The expression and purification of the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM biosynthetic proteins (Figure 3.5) was successful, except for SaciD, which remained largely insoluble under all tested conditions and was unusable in the reconstitution of heterocycles. As shown with other systems, highly related non-cognate ‘BCD’ enzymes often have the ability to posttranslationally modify similar precursor peptides (Lee 2008, Mitchell 2009). Knowing this, attempts to clone the D protein from closely related TOMM clusters in *B. cereus* and *T. mathranii* were performed.

### 3.4 Heterologous Expression of the *Bacillus cereus* Rock3-44 TOMM Proteins

The *B. cereus* TOMM cluster was discovered via a bioinformatics search looking for closely related enzymes to the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM cluster. The *B. cereus* D protein (RockD) is 58.4% similar to SaciD (Figures 3.4 and 3.6) and thus was considered as a substitute for SaciD for *in vitro* reactions. All of the essential TOMM proteins (RockA, B, C, D) from *B. cereus* were also cloned into the pET28-maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion *E. coli* expression vector. As with the *S. acidocaldarius* proteins, RockA was affinity purified using immobilized
amylose resin. Unfortunately, RockD was also insoluble and unable to install heterocycles onto the precursor peptides during *in vitro* reconstitution.

### 3.5 MS of Unmodified Precursor Peptides

Previously characterized TOMM natural products have been successfully studied using MS (Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI)-time of flight (TOF) and electrospray ionization) techniques (Kelleher, *et al.* 1998). During the formation of each azoline ring (formally a cyclodehydration), 18 Da is lost from the parent peptide. Each subsequent dehydrogenation (-H\(_2\)) leads to an additional loss of 2 Da (Figure 3.1B). This loss in mass permits the use of MS-based assays for monitoring heterocycle formation on the precursor peptide. Using MALDI-TOF, the masses, of unmodified SaciA1, SaciA2, and RockA were measured (Figure 3.7). Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease was used to cleave the precursor peptides from MBP leaving the final masses at 5207.9 Da, 4587.4 Da, and 4474.2 Da, respectively. Unfortunately, functional D protein was not obtained and therefore further characterization of heterocycle formation within the precursor peptides was never performed. Heterologous production of modification machinery can be very challenging, particularly when expressing proteins within a host that is dissimilar and therefore we identified other methods that could be used to characterize the natural product within the native host.

### 3.6 RT-PCR

Although reaction conditions can be carefully monitored and controlled during *in vitro* reconstitution studies, they do not always accurately represent physiological conditions within the producing organism. Combined with the possibility that other modifying enzymes are necessary, but not present during *in vitro* reconstitution assays, it is important to complement *in vitro* studies with *in vivo* data. Understanding this, I am pursued the isolation of the natural product(s) from the native host. RT-PCR was used to first demonstrate that the native producer was actively
transcribing the TOMM genes during laboratory cultivation (Figure 3.8). For this experiment, RNA was isolated from *S. acidocaldarius* cultures grown into late log phase, in liquid DT media (dextrin and tryptone, pH 3.5, 80°C). mRNA was then converted to cDNA using reverse transcriptase and the cDNA was probed for transcription with *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM specific primers. All genes within the cluster were transcribed. This indicated that there was a possibility of the natural product(s) being produced by *S. acidocaldarius* and therefore I pursued isolation from the native producer.

### 3.7 Gene Deletion Strains

Creation of a gene deletion strain has been previously used to facilitate the study and isolation of the mature TOMM natural product(s). By comparing HPLC and MS traces between a gene deletion strain and the parent strain, the molecular weight of the TOMM natural product(s) can be determined. The mass can aid in structural elucidation of the product because of the distinct weight change associated with each heterocycle formed. These gene deletions can also be coupled with the function of the peptides when employing activity assays. The complete maturation, and thus the activity, of the peptide should be lost when the deletion strains are tested.

A pyrimidine auxotroph strain of *S. acidocaldarius* was used to generate a deletion strain. A spontaneous mutation in the *pyrE* gene renders the strain MR31 deficient in pyrimidine biosynthesis, and therefore unable to replicate their DNA in the absence of supplemental uracil (Figure 3.9B). MR31 has an in-frame deletion and therefore the adjacent downstream gene, *pyrF*, is unaffected in transcription (Figure 3.9A). Disruption of SaciC was targeted with homologous recombination (Figure 3.9C) using a plasmid containing a *pyrE* gene from *S. islandicus* and its upstream and downstream elements, flanked by regions of *saciC*. Importantly, the *pyrE* gene in the plasmid is not identical to wild type *pyrE* gene, which prevents untargeted homologous recombination with the native *pyrE* gene. Mutants were selected for in media lacking uracil.
Mutants with a restored functional *pyrE* gene were able to grow in the absence of uracil supplementation. After transformation with the plasmid, two deletion strains were identified by PCR screening as these strains have an approximately 400 base pair increase in PCR amplicon size when amplifying with *saciC* primers, which anneal to the outer limits of the *saciC* gene. LC-MS was used to compare the gene deletion strain and the wild type strain in growth conditions identified using RT-PCR. These comparative analyses were unable to identify differences in the spectra between the two strains. Therefore it is likely that the natural product(s) are produced in vanishingly small amounts or are not produced at all during laboratory cultivation.

### 3.8 Fosmid Library Generation

Complimentary to the creation of the above deletion strain, the production of a fosmid that contains the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM cluster was also created to facilitate the isolation of the natural product(s). In this sense, when using comparative LC-MS to identify the natural product(s) a peak gained gained in the fosmid-bearing strain is expected when compared to the parent strain. I created a fosmid library from *S. acidocaldarius* genomic DNA using the fosmid pJK050. After PCR screening approximately 2000 colonies, I identified and isolated four fosmid strains: two contain the entire TOMM cluster and two contain partial clusters. I used the fosmid strain pCOX6E6 for further studies. This fosmid contained the entire TOMM cluster, but has the smallest amount of excess *S. acidocaldarius* chromosomal DNA. Using RT-PCR, I verified all of the core TOMM genes (*saciA1, A2, B, C, D*) are expressed. As with the gene deletion strains, comparative studies with the empty fosmid did not lead to the identification of the natural product(s). Similar to the heterologous expression, these proteins (the D protein in particular) are likely not expressed in *E. coli* and therefore the natural product was not visualized.
3.9 Summary and Outlook

Natural product biosynthesis in the domain archaea has been overlooked. This project aimed to shed light on this unexplored area by studying the biosynthesis of a TOMM natural product from *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*. This work had the potential to fundamentally shift the current perception that archaea are not capable of complex molecule biosynthesis. Furthermore, this TOMM biosynthetic gene cluster would represent the first posttranslationally modified natural product to be characterized in the domain archaea. The identification of the *S. acidocaldarius* family of TOMMs demonstrated the power of genome mining for the identification of novel biosynthetic gene clusters. However, characterization of this family verified the difficulty of natural product discovery, even when a gene cluster has been identified. Although genome mining has the potential to prevent identification of known compounds, techniques to identify compounds from a particular gene cluster still pose a difficult problem for researchers. Although many techniques have been created for genome based isolation, the characterization of the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM natural products indicates the difficulties in identifying compounds from a particular cluster. Therefore I proceeded to identify novel and rapid techniques to correlated natural products with genome guided identification of biosynthetic gene clusters.

3.10 Experimental

3.10.1 Protein overexpression and purification

All proteins were overexpressed as tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease fusions to maltose-binding protein (MBP) and purified by amylose affinity chromatography as previously reported (Dunbar, et al. 2012). All characterizations of the proteins were performed with the tagged substrates, with the exception of the MS analysis of the precursor peptides SacIA1, SacIA2, and RockA.
3.10.2 MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric analysis of the precursor peptides

MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry was performed using a Bruker Daltonics UltraflexXtreme MALDI-TOF/TOF instrument operating in positive reflector mode. The instrument was calibrated before data acquisition using a commercial peptide calibration kit (AnaSpec – Peptide Mass Standard Kit). Analysis was carried out with Bruker Daltonics flexAnalysis software. The precursor peptides were incubated in the presence of TEV protease overnight to remove the fusion proteins. The peptides were purified using a ZipTip purification system and eluted with 10 µL of 95% aqueous acetonitrile. 2 µL of this peptide solution was mixed with 2 µL of matrix solution (a saturated solution of α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid), spotted on the target, air dried and measured as stated above.

3.10.3 Sulfolobus cultivation conditions

*S. acidocaldarius* was cultivated in a tissue culture flask at 78°C without shaking. DT medium (pH 3.5), was used for cultivation. DT medium contains the following components (in 1 liter Milli-Q H2O): 1x basal salts (CaCl$_2$ · 2H$_2$O, 0.1 g; K$_2$SO$_4$, 3.0 g; MgSO$_4$, 0.145 g; and NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.5 g), 20 µL trace mineral stock solution (0.5% CoCl$_2$ · 6H$_2$O, 0.5% CuCl$_2$ · 2H$_2$O, 3.0% FeCl$_3$, 0.5% MnCl$_2$ · 4H$_2$O, and 0.5% ZnCl$_2$), 0.1% (wt/vol) dextrin, and 0.1% (wt/vol) EZMix-N-Z-amine A.

3.10.4 RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated with the Qiagen RNeasy minikit. Cells (OD$_{600}$ 0.8) were harvested from DT medium and treated with RNAProtect bacterial reagent. Harvested cells were resuspended in 250 µL of 10 mM Tris (pH 8.5). The isolation was then performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (without the lysozyme incubation). cDNA was prepared with commercially available reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) kits using 1 µg of RNA and primers for all of the *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM genes.
3.10.5 SaciC gene deletion production

The pyrEF gene driven by its native promoter and terminator from Sulfolobus solfataricus P2 in a pUC19 backbone was graciously supplied by the Whitaker lab (UIUC). Homology arms for saciC were inserted upstream and downstream of the PyrEF element.

*Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* MR31 competent cells were prepared following the procedure described previously. Linearalized plasmid (~1 µg) were transformed into the competent cells by electroporation. After electroporation, transformed cells were immediately regenerated in 800 µL incubation of DT medium supplemented with uracil. After 1 hour incubation, a portion of the cells were plated onto DT plates and a portion were added to 5 mL DT medium and incubated for 2 weeks prior to plating. Colonies were screened for the loss of saciC using gene specific primers.

3.10.6 Fosmid library generation

Genomic DNA for fosmid production was prepared from *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* using cells grown in 200 mL of DT media to OD600 0.8. Harvested cells were resuspended in 10 mL of TE buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). 0.5 mL of 10% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate as 50 µL of proteinase K was added and incubated for 1 h. The resulting lysate was sequencially extracted with buffer saturated phenol, chloroform, and isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and chloroform and isoamly alcohol (24:1). Genomic DNA was precipitated from the aqueous layer by the addition of 0.7 volumes isopropanol, and washed twice with 70% (v/v) EtOH, dried and resuspended in water.

Fosmid construction was performed as previously reported (Eliot, *et al.* 2008). Psotive cloned were screened by PCR for the presence of the *Sulfolobus* gene cluster using primers for the outermost genes and primers for saciA1.
3.10.7 Liquid chromatography mass spectrometric comparisons

*Sulfolobus* strains (wild type and gene deletion) were grown to OD$_{600}$ 0.8 in DT media. *E. coli* strains (with or without the fosmid) were grown to OD$_{600}$ 1.0 in LB. Harvested cells were resuspended in 2 mL of 90% aqueous acetonitrile and agitated for 30s by vortex. After centrifugation (14,000 rpm, 5 min) the solution was analyzed by online HPLC (1100 series HPLC system; Agilent Technologies) coupled to a QTRAP 2000 mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems). A sample of extract (30 µL) was separated by HPLC using a C18 column with a linear gradient of 5% to 95% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid in 30 min. MS analysis was performed in positive ion mode. Spectrums were then manually curated for differences in masses detected. Modification to this method including, varied extraction solvents (acetonitrile, MeOH and chloroform at various concentrations), as well as different HPLC gradient times ranging from 10 -75 minutes were used to try and identify the compounds.
Figure 3.1 | Representative TOMM clusters and biosynthetic machinery. (A) Genetic organization of an example TOMM cluster. The precursor peptide (black) is modified by the trimeric BCD complex, consisting of a cyclodehydratase (C, green), dehydrogenase (B, yellow), and docking protein (D, blue). (B) Heterocycles are formed on the precursor peptide, converting the peptide into a bioactive natural product. Two enzymatic steps occur to modify the precursor peptides. First, a water molecule is removed from the peptide as the cyclodehydratase forms thiazoline/oxazoline rings. Secondly, a FMN-dependent dehydrogenase removes hydrogen to yield the thiazole/oxazole rings. Combined, the reactions lead to a molecular mass loss of 20 Da in the peptide (adapted from (Lee 2008)).
Figure 3.2 | The TOMM Family Tree. D proteins were used to construct a phylogenetic tree. For fused docking proteins, only the docking portion of the protein was used to create the tree. The docking proteins cluster based on the function of the precursor peptides they modify. The *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM cluster forms a clade with other TOMMs of unknown function (depicted in pink) (adapted from (Melby, *et al.* 2011)).
**Figure 3.3 | The *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM family.** A bioinformatics search discovered five similar TOMMs. The genetic organization of the clusters is depicted (above). The putative functions of the genes are listed in the legend. Note that the *S. acidocaldarius* and *S. islandicus* clusters contain two precursor peptides, one in the forward and one in the reverse direction. The precursor peptides have many potentially heterocyclized residues within the core (below). Blue residues indicate heterocyclizable residues. These peptides contain W (underlined) near heterocyclizable residues which could be necessary for function.
**Figure 3.4 | Similarity-identity matrices of related TOMM proteins.** In blue are amino acid identity scores and in green are amino acid similarity scores, both obtained using ClustalW pairwise alignments. Scores were determined by comparing the similar or identical amino acids with the full protein sequence after alignment. (A) SaciB, dehydrogenase. (B) SaciC, cyclodehydratase. (C) SaciD, docking protein. Abreviation of species are as follows: Saci, *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*; Sisl, *Sulfolobus islandicus* REY15A; Rock 3-44, *Bacillus cereus* Rock3-44; Tmat, *Thermoanaerobacter mathranii* subsp. *mathranii* str. A3; Aodo, *Actinomyces odontolyticus* F0309.
Figure 3.5 | Heterologous expression of *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM proteins. *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM biosynthetic proteins tagged with maltose binding protein and expressed in *E. coli* BL21 strain. Purified proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and visualized by staining with Coomassie. Lane 1: Marker is in kDa. Lane 2-6: SaciA-D. The calculated masses and isolated yields are: MBP-SaciA1, 50.7 kDa, 6.4 mg/L; MBP-SaciA2, 50.1 kDa, 11.2 mg/L; MBP-SaciB, 71.9 kDa, 6.5 mg/L; MBP-SaciC, 73.0 kDa, 48 mg/L; MBP-SaciD, 96.1 kDa, 0.8 mg/L.
Figure 3.6 | Alignment with SaciD and RockD. A pairwise alignment of SaciD and RockD proteins was created using ClustalW. A Gonnet protein weight matrix was used with a gap opening penalty of 10 and a gap extension penalty of 0.1. Neighbor joining was used for clustering. The proteins are 58% similar.
Figure 3.7 | MALDI-TOF of unmodified precursor peptides. MALDI-TOF analysis of the unmodified precursor peptides was performed in linear positive mode. The samples were treated with Tobacco Etch Virus protease to remove MBP. Leaving the expected weights of SaciA1, SaciA2 and RockA to be 5207.9 Da, 4587.4 Da, and 4474.2 Da, respectively. The samples were subjected to a Reverse-Phase Zip-Tip procedure using C18 Zip-Tips. The samples were eluted into 90% acetonitrile with 0.1% trifluoroactic acid. Sinapinic acid was used as the matrix. (A) MALDI-TOF analysis of SaciA1 unmodified peptide. (B) MALDI-TOF analysis of SaciA2 unmodified peptide. (C) MALDI-TOF analysis of RockA unmodified peptide.
Figure 3.8 | RT-PCR of *S. acidocaldarius* TOMM mRNA. Total RNA was isolated from *S. acidocaldarius* grown in DT media in late log-phase. Reverse transcriptase (RT) was added to the samples indicated with +. In each case, the amplicons migrate with the anticipated size. All genes were verified to be transcribed. The ORF arrow coloring scheme is identical to Fig. 3.1.
Figure 3.9 | Gene deletions in *S. acidocaldarius*. A pyrimidine auxotroph strain has been used to generate a deletion mutant. (A) Strain MR31 is a pyrimidine auxotroph with a 18 bp deletion in the *pyrE* gene. This in-frame deletion renders *pyrE* inactive, but the gene following, *pyrF*, is still active. (B) MR31 cells cannot convert orotate to orotidine monophosphate and therefore cannot produce DNA or RNA without the addition of uracil into the media. (C) SaciC was targeted for gene deletion using homologous recombination. A plasmid with a fully synthesized *pyrE* gene, as well as the upstream and downstream elements, is surrounded by the flanking regions of the SaciC gene. The *pyrE* gene was codon optimized to prevent recombination with the natural *pyrE* gene. Homologous recombination led to inactivation of the SaciC gene, and *pyrE* activity was restored and cells were selected by growth without uracil.
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Abstract

Natural products remain an important source of drug candidates, but the difficulties inherent to traditional isolation, coupled with unacceptably high rates of compound rediscovery, limit the pace of natural product detection. Here we describe a reactivity-based screening method to rapidly identify exported bacterial metabolites that contain dehydrated amino acids (i.e. carbonyl- or imine-activated alkenes), a common motif in several classes of natural products. Our strategy entails the use of a commercially available thiol, dithiothreitol, for the covalent labeling of activated alkenes by nucleophilic 1,4-addition. Modification is easily discerned by comparing mass spectra of reacted and unreacted cell surface extracts. When combined with bioinformatic analysis of putative natural product gene clusters, targeted screening and isolation can be performed on a prioritized list of strains. Moreover, known compounds are easily dereplicated, effectively eliminating superfluous isolation and characterization. As a proof of principle, this labeling method was used to identify known natural products belonging to the thiopепptide, lanthipeptide, and linaridin classes. Further, upon screening a panel of only 23 actinomycetes, we discovered and characterized a novel thiopепptide antibiotic, cyclothiazomycin C.

4.1 Introduction

Bacteria have historically been a rich reservoir of architecturally complex natural products exhibiting antibiotic activity (Newman, et al. 2012). However, the traditional approach
to natural product discovery—bioassay-guided isolation of compounds from extracts—is limited by high rates of compound rediscovery (Lewis 2013). As such, the potential value of novel natural products to advance the treatment of disease, and in particular to address the issue of antibiotic resistance (Fischbach, *et al.* 2009), warrants the development of alternative strategies to discover novel compounds. The advent of widely available genome sequences makes bioinformatics-driven methods increasingly appealing, since the enzymatic machinery responsible for natural product biosynthesis can be readily identified (Deane, *et al.* 2013, Velásquez, *et al.* 2011). Consequently, a number of strategies have emerged that aid in connecting biosynthetic gene clusters to their products, including selective enzymatic derivatization (Gao, *et al.* 2013), chemoselective enrichment (Odendaal, *et al.* 2011), mass spectrometry-based network analysis (Nguyen, *et al.* 2013), and PCR prioritization among others. Another approach to address the innovation gap in natural product discovery is to utilize the intrinsic chemical reactivity of functional groups that are enriched in a target class of metabolites. Here, we report the development of a reactivity-based screening method to identify, isolate, dereplicate and characterize novel natural products using a combination of bioinformatics and a simple chemical probe for modifying a reactive functional group (Figure 4.1).

The dehydrated amino acids (DHAAs) dehydroalanine and dehydrobutyryline are frequently found in natural products (Gersch, *et al.* 2012), including thiopeptides (Kelly, *et al.* 2009), lanthipeptides (Yu, *et al.* 2013, Zhang, *et al.* 2012), and linaridins (Claesen, *et al.* 2010, Claesen, *et al.* 2011, Komiyama, *et al.* 1993), among others (Figure 4.2). We thus envisioned DHAAs serving as a useful chemical handle for the discovery of natural products. It has been demonstrated that thiol nucleophiles participate in 1,4-addition into α,β–unsaturated carbonyl/imine DHAAs under mild conditions to yield covalent thioether adducts (Figure 4.1A) (Bonauer, *et al.* 2006). This reactivity has been exploited previously in the chemical modification of thiostrepton (Myers, *et al.* 2010, Schoof, *et al.* 2009, Schoof, *et al.* 2010), the mapping of
Ser/Thr-phosphorylation in proteins (Wells, et al. 2002), the design of solid-phase capture resins (Tseng, et al. 2005), and the identification of lanthipeptides (Li, Girard, et al. 2012). Thus, we sought to employ this well-established, reliable chemistry as part of a novel tandem bioinformatics/reactivity-based screening effort.

Many classes of DHAA-bearing natural products are ribosomally produced, rendering them ideal for genome-guided discovery. The availability of genome sequences has revealed a tremendous biosynthetic capability among diverse microbial species (Challis 2008). It has become apparent that even well-characterized bacteria harbor the potential to produce an abundance of yet-uncharacterized natural products (Bentley, et al. 2002). To overcome the burden of rediscovery (Watve, et al. 2001), bioinformatics can be used to preselect bacterial strains for screening to only include the organisms with the theoretical capacity to produce a particular type of natural product. However, even with the bioinformatics identification of promising biosynthetic gene clusters, the detection and isolation of the resultant natural products often proves to be difficult given that the products of most biosynthetic pathways are present in extremely low quantities (if present at all) during laboratory cultivation. Accordingly, a broadly applicable companion strategy to genome mining that would allow the determination of whether a natural product of interest is produced at a detectable level would be valuable. We thus reasoned that a combination of bioinformatics and reactivity-based screening (i.e. nucleophilic 1,4-addition to DHAAs) would streamline natural product discovery efforts.

4.2 Rationale and Overview of a New Natural Product Discovery Method

Herein we have utilized the combination of bioinformatics and nucleophilic 1,4-addition chemistry for the rapid labeling, discovery, and dereplication of DHAA-containing natural products (Figure 4.1B) by reactivity-based screening. Our discovery pipeline begins with a bioinformatic survey for strains of Actinobacteria predicted to be capable of producing a DHAA-
containing natural product. (Figure 4.1B, Step 1, vide infra for specifics on the bioinformatics-based strain prioritization). After cultivation, the exported metabolites from the prioritized Actinobacteria are extracted with organic solvent using a non-lytic procedure (see Methods). A portion of this cell-surface extract then undergoes treatment with dithiothreitol (DTT) in the presence of base. DTT was chosen as the thiol probe owing to its low cost and ubiquity in natural product discovery laboratories. If reactive DHAA moieties are present in the cell-surface extract, the resulting DTT adducts increase the mass of the exported metabolite by multiples of 154.0 Da (Figure 4.1B, Step 2). Differential mass spectrometry between the unreacted control and the DTT-reacted extracts readily identifies the compounds containing DHAAs within a predetermined mass range. The molecular mass, number of DTT additions, and analysis of tandem mass spectra, combined with the initial bioinformatic prediction of DHAA-containing natural products, permits a rapid determination of compound novelty. At this step, every DTT-labeled compound can be analyzed, irrespective of whether the mass corresponds to a predicted biosynthetic gene cluster. Known compounds are removed from further analysis at this step, leaving only compounds with a high probability of novelty for further structural and functional characterization, which is considerably more time-consuming (Figure 4.1B, Step 3). To determine if the above proposed discovery pipeline was viable, we sought to discover a novel DHAA-containing thiopeptide via bioinformatic prioritization and reactivity-based screening utilizing nucleophilic 1,4-addition chemistry.

4.3 Validation of the DTT-Labeling Strategy

With the ultimate goal of using the above-described DTT-labeling method to discover a new natural product, we first sought to establish an operationally simple route to rapidly screen organic extracts for compounds of interest. We utilized two DHAA-containing natural products, thiostrepton and geobacillin I, for method development and validation.
Thiostrepton, whose biosynthetic gene cluster was identified in 2009 (Kelly, et al. 2009), is a thiopeptide produced by *Streptomyces azureus* ATCC 14921 (among others) (Donovick, et al. 1955). Notably, the highly-modified scaffold of thiostrepton contains four DHAAs where labeling can occur: three dehydroalanine residues and one dehydrobutyrine (Figure 4.3A) (Hensens, et al. 1983). To test the method, reactions were conducted using commercially-obtained thiostrepton, DTT, and either diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) or no base at 23 °C for 16 h in a 1:1 mixture of chloroform and methanol. The authentic thiostrepton standard and the DTT-reacted samples were then subjected to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) analysis. The peaks corresponding to unmodified thiostrepton (m/z 1664.4 Da) were supplanted in the DTT-reacted sample by peaks corresponding to the addition of multiple DTT labels. The tertiary adduct was the most prominent, suggesting the successful addition of DTT into the reactive alkenes (Figure 4.4). The addition of DIPEA enhanced the DTT-labeling reaction. Other bases, including triethylamine and 1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene (DBU), were tested and labeling occurred similarly to the reactions using DIPEA. A range of DIPEA concentrations were tested (10–50 mM) and the extent of labeling did not greatly vary. Therefore all further experiments employed 10 mM DIPEA.

To confirm DTT-labeling of thiostrepton could be observed by MALDI-TOF MS in the context of a more complex biological mixture, we subjected an organic cell-surface extract of *S. azureus* ATCC 14921 (thiostrepton producer) to the above labeling reaction. Analogous to the pure thiostrepton sample, comparison of the crude extract with the DTT-labeled extraction again showed the appearance of multiple DTT adducts, this time with the tetra-adduct being the primary species; a higher extent of labeling was seen here due to the larger relative excess of the labeling reagents in the context of a biological extract (Figure 4.3B). Although thiostrepton contains only 4 reactive DHAA sites, a minor 5th adduct was observed in both the commercially available and extracted samples, presumably from reaction with another electrophilic site.
Thiostrepton possesses an additional alkene that is conjugated to pyridine within the quinaldic acid moiety; we hypothesize that addition of DTT may have occurred at this site, given the literature precedent for addition of thiols to aromatic-conjugated alkenes (Ranu, et al. 2007). Importantly, the appearance of this low-intensity ion does not complicate detection or interpretation of the labeled analyte.

Lanthipeptides are ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptide natural products (RiPPs) that are easily identified using bioinformatics and frequently contain DHAAs (Arnison, et al. 2013, Velásquez, et al. 2011, Yu, et al. 2013, Zhang, et al. 2012). To test if the reactivity-based screening method could also be used to identify other classes of natural products in varied bacterial extracts, we attempted to label the lanthipeptide geobacillin I. Geobacillin I, a nisin analogue, is produced by Geobacillus sp. M10EXG (Figure 4.5A) (Garg, et al. 2013, Garg, et al. 2012). Upon subjecting an organic cell-surface extract from Geobacillus sp. M10EXG to our labeling conditions, a mass corresponding to 2 DTT adducts was prominently observed; a third adduct was visible but of very low intensity (Figure 4.5B). Only two reactive DHAA sites are present in geobacillin I: a dehydroalanine and a dehydrobutyrine. However, transient DHAA sites occur in the biosynthesis of the lanthionine rings, which are formed by intramolecular 1,4-addition of cysteines to DHAAs (Yu, et al. 2013). We hypothesize, accordingly, that a small percentage of the geobacillin present in the extract may have an unformed lanthionine ring, leaving a free reactive site available for DTT-labeling. Again, even under stoichiometrically forcing conditions, this extract adduct was of only minor abundance and thus did not interfere with compound detection or analysis.

4.4 Bioinformatics Guided Strain Prioritization

Like lanthipeptides, thiopeptides are RiPPs and the biosynthetic genes responsible for their production are often clustered, rendering them identifiable by sequence similarity searching.
From the perspective of the present study, we sought to prioritize bacterial strains for subsequent screening based on the presence of biosynthetic genes capable of installing DHAAs (often misleadingly annotated as “lantibiotic dehydratases”) (Zhang, et al. 2012). These genes, however, can be found in a variety of other natural product gene clusters and not exclusively in thiopeptide clusters. Therefore, we first identified clusters that encode for the YcaO cyclodehydratase protein that is necessary for the biosynthesis of all thiazole/oxazole-modified microcin natural products, of which thiopeptides can be broadly categorized. Strains containing a YcaO cyclodehydratase were analyzed further for the local co-occurrence of genes encoding a “lantibiotic dehydratase” (for the production of DHAAs) and a thiopeptide-like precursor peptide (Figure 4.6A) (Li, Qu, et al. 2012). 130 unique strains of recently sequenced (in-house) Actinobacteria from the Northern Regional Research Laboratory collection (NRRL), which is curated by the Agricultural Research Service under the supervision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA/ARS), were predicted to have the genetic capacity to produce a DHAA-containing thiopeptide (Figure 4.1B). The precursor peptide sequences from these clusters were then used to estimate the masses of the final natural products for dereplication and characterization purposes (Figure 4.6B). These strains were then subjected to reactivity-based screening with DTT and DIPEA to discover a novel thiopeptide.

4.5 MS-Based Screening of Prioritized Strains

Twenty-three of the prioritized strains with novel precursor peptide sequences were selected for screening by DTT-labeling (Figure 4.7). We first noticed a sample containing 1–2 DTT adducts on an exported metabolite with a mass of [M+H]^+, m/z 1855.0 Da. While we were intentionally blind to which of the Actinobacteria strains were undergoing analysis, after labeling we established that this particular extract originated from Streptomyces griseus subsp. griseus, and the labeled mass did not correlate with the expected mass of the predicted thiopeptide from this strain. However, Streptomyces griseus subsp. griseus is a known producer of grisemycin, of
which the mass of the labeled natural product did correlate (Figure 4.8A-B). MS/MS fragmentation analysis yielded a seven amino acid sequence tag confirming the identity of the compound as grisemycin (Figure 4.8C) (Claesen, et al. 2011). The labeling and identification of grisemycin, a member of the linaridin class of natural products, further validated our reactivity-based screen while also highlighting the usefulness of bioinformatic integration to rapidly dereplicate known compounds.

The organic cell-surface extract from a separate sample contained a compound ([M+H]^+, m/z 1486.3 Da) that underwent labeling to contain primarily three DTT adducts (Figure 4.9A). This mass correlated well with the predicted mass of a hypothetical thiopeptide from NRRL strain WC-3908. The thiopeptide gene cluster from WC-3908 was similar to the gene clusters responsible for the production of the thiopeptides cyclothiazomycin A, originally termed 5102-I (Wang, et al. 2010), and cyclothiazomycin B (Figure 4.9B). The core region of the precursor peptide (i.e. the portion that undergoes enzymatic tailoring to yield the mature natural product) (Arnison, et al. 2013, Melby, et al. 2011) from WC-3908 differed by two amino acids from the precursor peptides of cyclothiazomycin A and B (Figure 4.9C). Accordingly, we designated the WC-3908 thiopeptide cyclothiazomycin C. Given that the structures of cyclothiazomycin A and B have been reported (Aoki, Ohtsuka, Itezono, et al. 1991, Aoki, Ohtsuka, Yamada, et al. 1991, Hashimoto, et al. 2006), we could accurately predict the structure of cyclothiazomycin C, which was in agreement with the labeling results (Figure 4.9D).

4.6 Verification of the Cyclothiazomycin C Structure

Prior to detailed structural characterization, cyclothiazomycin C was purified by MPLC and HPLC (Figure 4.10). The mass spectrum of purified cyclothiazomycin C revealed an [M+H]^+ ion of m/z 1486.3309 Da (Figure 4.11A), supporting the molecular formula for the predicted structure of cyclothiazomycin C (C_{60}H_{77}N_{19}O_{13}S_{7}). Analysis of the collision-induced dissociation (CID) mass spectrum corroborated the amino acid sequence of the precursor peptide, strongly
connecting the predicted gene cluster to the mature natural product (Figure 4.11B). NMR spectroscopy was then used to confirm the predicted structure of cyclothiazomycin C (Supplemental Figures 7–8). Bond connectivity was established using $^1$H-$^1$H COSY, $^1$H-$^1$H TOCSY, $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC, and $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC experiments. Chemical shifts were assigned from this information and by comparison to the reported values for cyclothiazomycin B (Hashimoto, et al. 2006). Due to the spectral similarity to cyclothiazomycin B, we have assigned the stereochemistry of cyclothiazomycin C analogously to the reported compound.

4.7 Conservation Analysis of the Cyclothiazomycin C Biosynthetic Gene Cluster

To provide additional evidence that the thiopeptide gene cluster from WC-3908 was responsible for the production of cyclothiazomycin C, conservation analysis was performed with the cyclothiazomycin A, B, and C (putative) gene clusters. The cyclothiazomycin A biosynthetic genes derived from Streptomyces hygroscopicus subsp. jinggangensis 5008 while the cyclothiazomycin B genes were from Streptomyces mobaraensis. A subset of the genes predicted for the production of cyclothiazomycin B (Wang, et al. 2010) was conserved among the three clusters (Figure 4.9B). All three clusters contain a short open reading frame, here designated ctmA, encoding the precursor peptide. CtmD encodes a “fused” TOMM cyclodehydratase (E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme/MccB-like and YcaO domains), which implicates CtmD in the formation of thiazolines (Dunbar, et al. 2012, Dunbar, et al. 2013). CtmB encodes a flavin mononucleotide-dependent protein, putatively responsible for the dehydrogenation of the thiazolines to thiazoles (Zhang, et al. 2014). CtmE and ctmF encode homologs of a split lanthipeptide dehydratase, which performs the dehydration of serine and threonine to dehydroalanine and dehydrobutyryline (Yu, et al. 2013, Zhang, et al. 2012). Like all thiopeptides, cyclothiazomycin C has a substituted 6-membered, nitrogen-containing central heterocycle (here a pyridine). In the case of cyclothiazomycins A and B, the pyridine moiety is likely formed by the gene product of ctmG, given the homology to tclM, which has been implicated in the formal
[4+2] cycloaddition reaction during thiocillin biosynthesis (Figure 4.12) (Bowers, et al. 2010). For cyclothiazomycin C, a gene with high similarity to *ctmG* from the cyclothiazomycin A and B clusters is present, but distantly located in the genome, indicating that the cyclothiazomycin C gene cluster is fragmented. Interestingly, *ctmG* from WC:3908 is found directly next to a gene duplication of *ctmF*, which is suggestive of paralogous duplication (Figure 4.12). *CtmI*, which is present in all three clusters, encodes a ThiF-like protein. ThiF-like proteins have been implicated in the biosynthesis of thiamine diphosphate in *E. coli*. However, the function of ThiF-like proteins in the context of TOMM biosynthesis remains to be established. Other local genes include *ctmH*, which is a LuxR-type regulatory gene and *ctmJK*, which are omitted from the cyclothiazomycin A and C clusters and have no known function (Figure 5). We further note that the genes flanking the conserved region are highly disparate between the three clusters (Figure 4.13). This subset of genes, *ctmA-G* and *ctmI* from *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* subsp. *jinggangensis* 5008 were recently shown to be regulated by the LuxR-type regulatory gene *ctmH*. Furthermore, the deletion of *ctmA*, *ctmD*, *ctmF*, and *ctmG* abolished the production of cyclothiazomycin A (Zhang, et al. 2014). These data further support the gene cluster prediction for cyclothiazomycin C from WC-3908.

### 4.8 Assessment of Cyclothiazomycin Bioactivity

Previous reports on cyclothiazomycins A and B describe a wide range of bioactivities, including renin inhibition (Aoki, Ohtsuka, Yamada, et al. 1991), RNA polymerase inhibition (Hashimoto, et al. 2006), and antifungal activity (Mizuhara, et al. 2011). We found that purified cyclothiazomycin C exhibited growth inhibitory action toward several Gram-positive (*Firmicutes*) bacteria but was inactive against all tested Gram-negative (*Proteobacteria*) organisms (Table 1). The greatest inhibitory activity was observed towards the genus *Bacillus*. Based on prior reports, we decided to also evaluate if cyclothiazomycin C exhibited growth inhibitory action toward a variety of fungal strains, but none was observed.
To further clarify cyclothiazomycin bioactivity, we obtained a cyclothiazomycin B producer, strain NRRL B-3306, and purified cyclothiazomycin B in a manner analogous to that employed for cyclothiazomycin C (Figures 4.14-4.15). As above, we assessed cyclothiazomycin B for antibiotic and antifungal activity. Cyclothiazomycin B also had the greatest inhibitory activity towards the genus *Bacillus*, with little to no activity against a panel of Gram-negatives and fungal strains (Table 4.1). This activity does not align with previous reports (Hashimoto, *et al.* 2006, Mizuhara, *et al.* 2011); however, additional fungal strains will need to be tested to more concretely establish cyclothiazomycin spectrum of activity. The antibiotic activity of cyclothiazomycin B and C are similar to known thiopeptides, which act as translation inhibitors by binding to either the 50S subunit or EF-Tu (Just-Baringo, *et al.* 2014). It is possible that the cyclothiazomycins act in a similar manner but the determination of the precise mode of action will require further exploration.

4.9 Summary and Outlook

In summary, we have described a reactivity-based screening method to conveniently identify natural products containing dehydrated amino acids (DHAAs). This method employs ubiquitous reagents and instrumentation, making it a broadly accessible strategy for natural product discovery. Three characteristics make the nucleophilic 1,4-addition labeling procedure operationally straightforward: (a) anhydrous solvents are unnecessary, meaning the reaction is performed under ambient atmosphere; (b) the reagents employed are common in most laboratories and easily handled; and (c) the large excess of labeling reagent relative to the substrate means that precise stoichiometric calculations for each reaction are unnecessary. Although under these excess labeling conditions we often observe minor peaks related to non-DHAA labeling, these species never convoluted spectral interpretation. Including a rapidly dereplicated example, we validated the use of nucleophilic 1,4-additions for natural product discovery with the labeling of three previously characterized natural products: thiostrepton,
grisemycin, and geobacillin I. This reactivity based screen was combined with bioinformatics to increase the rate of discovery, even with low abundance products. Often, natural products are present only at trace quantities. By capitalizing on the remarkable sensitivity of mass spectrometry, the compound(s) to be discovered do not need to be present at bioactive concentrations, they only need to be detectable upon labeling. After screening the organic extracts of only 23 Actinobacteria, we report on a new thiopeptide, cyclothiazomycin C. The structure of cyclothiazomycin C was established through MS and NMR, along with confirmed bioactivity towards Gram-positive bacteria. When compared to traditional bioassay-guided isolation, which can require many thousands of samples to be screened to find new compounds, our discovery rate (1 out of 23 strains) highlights the potential of this tandem strategy. With the substantial rise of available genomic sequences, we anticipate that the combination of bioinformatics and simple chemoselective reactivity-based labeling will provide a powerful tool to identify novel natural products, while dramatically reducing the time invested on the unfruitful rediscovery of known compounds.

4.10 Experimental

4.10.1 Preparation of cell extracts for screening

Actinomycete strains were grown in 10 mL of MS medium (1 L contains 20 g mannitol, 20 g roasted soy flour) at 30 °C for 7 d. Exported metabolites were extracted from the cultures using 2 mL of n-BuOH at room temperature. For thiostrepton production, Streptomyces azureus was grown in 10 mL of ISP4 medium (1 L contains 10 g soluble starch, 1 g K$_2$HPO$_4$, 1 g MgSO$_4$, 1 g NaCl, 2 g Na$_2$SO$_4$, 2 g CaCO$_3$, 1 mg FeSO$_4$, 1 mg ZnSO$_4$ heptahydrate, 1 mg MnCl$_2$ heptahydrate) for 7 d at 30 °C. Thiostrepton was extracted with 1 mL of CHCl$_3$ at 23 °C. Both extracts were agitated for 1 min by vortex, submitted to centrifugation (4000 × g, 5 min), and the organic layer was removed from the intact, harvested cells. For geobacillin I production, Geobacillus sp. M10EXG was grown on modified LB agar (1 L contains 10 g casein enzymatic
hydrolysate, 5 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl and 10 g agar) at 50 °C for 60 h. Cells were removed from the plates with 10 mL of 70% aq. i-PrOH and agitated by rocking for 24 h at 23 °C. The intact cells were then removed from the extract by centrifugation (4000 × g, 5 min). An aliquot (1 µL) of the extract was then mixed with 9 µL of sat. α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix solution in 1:1 MeCN/H2O containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). 1 µL was spotted onto a MALDI plate for subsequent MALDI-TOF MS analysis.

4.10.2 DTT-labeling

For commercially-obtained thiostrepton (Calbiochem, 99%), a 20 µL volume of 10.5 mM thiostrepton, 500 mM DTT, and 10 mM DIPEA in 1:1 CHCl3/MeOH was allowed to react at 23 °C for 16 h. For the no base reaction, thiostrepton and DTT were added similarly to above and MeOH (without DIPEA) was added to establish a 1:1 CHCl3/MeOH. The sample was then analyzed for DTT incorporation by MALDI-TOF MS (see below). For thiostrepton produced by Streptomyces azureus (and thus labeling occurred in the context of the crude cell-surface extract), 14 µL of the extract was mixed with DTT (in MeOH) and DIPEA (in MeOH) to generate a final volume of 20 µL with a final concentration of 500 mM DTT and 10 mM DIPEA, in 7:3 CHCl3/MeOH and the mixture was allowed to proceed for 16 h at 23 °C. An aliquot (1 µL) of the extract was then mixed with 9 µL of sat. α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) matrix solution in 1:1 MeCN/H2O containing 0.1% TFA. 1 µL was spotted onto a MALDI plate for subsequent MALDI-TOF MS analysis.

4.10.3 Bioinformatics based strain prioritization

A previously reported profile Hidden Markov Model and the program HMMER were used to identify the YcaO cyclodehydratase (Pfam PF02624) (Doroghazi, et al. 2013). The local genomic region (10 open reading frames on either side of the YcaO gene) was analyzed manually
for the presence of a “lantibiotic dehydratase” gene and a putative precursor peptide. Only strains with the presence of all three genes were taken forward for reactivity-based screening.

4.10.4 MALDI-TOF mass spectrometric analysis

MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry was performed using a Bruker Daltonics UltrafleXtreme MALDI-TOF/TOF instrument operating in positive reflector mode. The instrument was calibrated before data acquisition using a commercial peptide calibration kit (AnaSpec – Peptide Mass Standard Kit). Analysis was carried out with Bruker Daltonics flexAnalysis software. All spectra were processed by smoothing and baseline subtraction.

4.10.5 Isolation of cyclothiazomycin C

WC-3908 was grown in 10 mL of ATCC 172 medium at 30 °C for 48 h. 300 μL of the culture was spread onto 15 cm plates (ca. 75 mL of solid ATCC medium). The plates were then incubated for 7 d at 23 °C. A razor blade was used to remove the bacterial lawn from the solid medium. The bacterial growth from 14 plates (~1 L of medium) was extracted with n-BuOH (500 mL) for 24 h at 23 °C. The extract was then filtered through Whatman filter paper and allowed to evaporate under nitrogen before being redissolved in 3:1 pyridine:water (ca. 3 mL) and transferred to a 50 mL conical tube. The resulting solution was clarified by centrifugation, to remove insoluble debris (4000 × g, 5 min). The supernatant was then injected onto a reverse-phase C18 silica column (TeleDyne Isco 5.5 g C18 Gold cartridge) and purified by MPLC (gradient elution from 20-95% MeOH/10 mM aq. NH₄HCO₃). Fractions containing the desired product (as determined by MALDI-TOF MS; [M+H] m/z = 1486) were combined and immediately concentrated by rotary evaporation. The resulting residue was dissolved in 3:1 pyridine/water (ca. 0.5 mL), transferred to a microcentrifuge tube, centrifuged (15000 × g, 5 min), filtered (0.2 μm polyethersulfone syringe filter), and further purified by HPLC. Semi-preparative HPLC employed a Thermo Scientific Betasil C18 column (100 Å; 250 × 10 mm; 5
µm particle size) operating at 4.0 mL min⁻¹ on a PerkinElmer Flexar LC system using Flexar Manager software. Solvent A was 10 mM aq. NH₄HCO₃. Solvent B was MeOH.

Cyclothiazomycin C was purified by isocratic elution at 72% B, typically eluting 19.5 min after initiation of the HPLC run (alternatively, the elution time was ~12 min when 75% B was used). HPLC progress was monitored by photodiode array (PDA) UV-Vis detection. Fractions corresponding to the desired product (as determined by UV-Vis and MALDI-TOF MS) were immediately concentrated under rotary evaporation or under a stream of N₂ gas. The resulting residue was suspended in water (ca. 1 mL), assisted by vortex mixing and sonication. The suspended product was flash-frozen in liquid N₂ and lyophilized for >24 h to give purified cyclothiazomycin C as a white to off-white powder. Purity was determined by analytical HPLC [Thermo Scientific Betasil C18 column (100 Å; 250 × 4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size) operating at 1.0 mL min⁻¹ using the same solvents] and NMR. Isolated yield ranged from 10-90 µg/plate (15 cm diameter).

**4.10.6 Isolation of cyclothiazomycin B**

NRRL strain B-3306 was grown in a fashion identical isolation conditions for WC-3908. Cyclothiazomycin B ([M+H] m/z = 1528) was also purified in the same manner as cyclothiazomycin C, except that HPLC purification employed 75% B (retention time typically ca. 17 min). After lyophilization, an off-white powder was obtained. Purity was determined by analytical HPLC [Thermo Scientific Betasil C18 column (100 Å; 250 × 4.6 mm; 5 µm particle size) operating at 1.0 mL min⁻¹ using the same solvents]; identity was determined by high-resolution mass spectrometry. Isolated yield was approximately 13 µg/plate (15 cm diameter).

**4.10.7 FT-MS/MS analysis of cyclothiazomycin B and C**

The purified cyclothiazomycins were dissolved in 80% aq. MeCN with 0.1% formic acid. Samples were directly infused using a 25 µL Hamilton gas-tight syringe (cyclothiazomycin C) or
an Advion Nanomate 100 (cyclothiazomycin B), into a ThermoFisher Scientific LTQ-FT hybrid linear ion trap, operating at 11T (calibrated weekly). The FT-MS was operated using the following parameters: minimum target signal counts, 5,000; resolution, 100,000; \( m/z \) range detected, dependent on target \( m/z \); isolation width (MS/MS), 5 \( m/z \); normalized collision energy (MS/MS), 35; activation \( q \) value (MS/MS), 0.4; activation time (MS/MS), 30 ms. Data analysis was conducted using the Qualbrowser application of Xcalibur software (Thermo-Fisher Scientific).

4.10.8 NMR spectroscopy of cyclothiazomycin C

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian NMR System 750 MHz narrow bore magnet spectrometer (VNS750NB employing a 5 mm Varian 1H[13C/15N] PFG X, Y, Z probe) or a Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz narrow bore magnet spectrometer (UI500NB employing a 5 mm Varian 1H[13C/15N] PFG Z probe). Spectrometers were operated at 750 MHz and 500 MHz, respectively, for \(^1\)H detection, and 188 MHz for indirect \(^{13}\)C detection. Carbon resonances were assigned via indirect detection (HSQC and HMBC experiments). Resonances were referenced internally to the most downfield solvent peak (8.74 ppm, pyridine). Default Varian pulse sequences were employed for \(^1\)H, COSY, DQF-COSY, TOCSY, HSQC, HMBC, and ROESY experiments. Samples were prepared by dissolving approximately 3-7 mg of cyclothiazomycin C (HPLC-purified and lyophilized) in pyridine-\(d_5/D_2O\) (3:1, 600 µL). Pyridine-\(d_5\) (99.94% D) and \(D_2O\) (99.9% D) were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA). Samples were held at 25 °C during acquisition.

4.10.9 Analysis of NMR data

Assigned resonances are shown in tabular form and directly on the structure within Supplemental Figure 7. Due to the solvent employed (3:1 pyridine-\(d_5/D_2O\)), exchangeable peaks (\(i.e.\) N-H, O-H) were not detected. The corresponding \(^1\)H resonances of the analogous locations in
cyclothiazomycin B1 (reported previously (Hashimoto, et al. 2006)) are also given in Supplemental Figure 7 for comparison. Resonances were assigned by 2D NMR spectroscopy, as well as by comparison to the reported spectra of cyclothiazomycin B1 (Hashimoto, et al. 2006).

4.10.10 Evaluation of cyclothiazomycin B and C antibiotic activity

_Bacillus subtilis_ strain 168, _Bacillus anthracis_ strain Sterne, _E. coli_ MC4100, and _Pseudomonas putida_ KT2440 were grown to stationary phase in 10 mL of Luria-Bertani broth (LB) at 37 °C. _Staphylococcus aureus_ USA300 (methicillin-resistant), _Enterococcus faecalis_ U503 (vancomycin-resistant), and _Listeria monocytogenes_ strain 4b F2365 were grown to stationary phase in 10 mL brain-heart infusion (BHI) medium at 37 °C. _Neisseria sicca_ ATCC 29256 was grown to stationary phase in 5 mL of gonococcal broth at 37 °C. The cultures were adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.013 in the designated medium before being added to 96-well microplates. Successive two-fold dilutions of cyclothiazomycin C or cyclothiazomycin B (standard solution: 5 mg mL⁻¹ in DMSO) were added to the cultures (0.5–64 μg mL⁻¹). As a control, kanamycin was added to samples of _E. coli_, _B. subtilis_, _B. anthracis_, _P. putida_, _L. monocytogenes_, and _N. sicca_ with dilutions from 1–32 μg mL⁻¹. Gentamycin was used as a control for _S. aureus_ and _E. faecalis_. As a negative control, an equal volume of DMSO lacking antibiotic was used. Plates were covered and incubated at 37 °C for 12 h with shaking. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) reported is the value that suppressed all visible growth.

4.10.11 Evaluation of cyclothiazomycin B and C antifungal activity

_Saccharomyces cerevisiae_, _Talaromyces stipitatus_, and _Aspergillus niger_ were grown for 36 h in 2 mL of YPD medium (1 L contains 10 g yeast extract, 20 g Peptone and 20 g Dextrose) at 30 °C. _Fusarium virguliforme_ was grown for 7 d on potato dextrose agar at 30 °C. Spores were isolated and a suspension of 10⁶ spores in potato dextrose broth was added to the 96-well microplate. _S. cerevisiae_ cultures were adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.013 in the designated medium
before being added to 96-well microplates. *T. stipitatus*, and *A. niger* were not diluted prior to adding to the 96-well microplate. Successive two-fold dilutions of cyclothiazomycin C and cyclothiazomycin B (standard solution: 5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in DMSO) were added to the cultures (0.5–64 μg mL\(^{-1}\)). As a positive control, amphotericin B was added to the cultures with dilutions from 0.5-8 μg mL\(^{-1}\). An equal volume of DMSO was used as a negative control. Plates were covered and incubated at 30 °C for 36 h for *T. stipitatus, A. niger, and S. cerevisiae* or 60 h for *F. virguliforme* with shaking. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) reported is the value that suppressed all visible growth.
4.11 Figures and Tables

**Figure 4.1 |! Strategy for natural product discovery by bioinformatics prioritization and nucleophilic 1,4-addition chemistry.** (A) Reaction scheme for the thiol (DTT/DIPEA) labeling method with 1,4-addition sites indicated with yellow circles. (B) Work flow for the bioinformatics-based strain prioritization, subsequent DTT-labeling, and MS screening (reactivity-based screening). (1) Prediction of DHAA-containing thiopeptide biosynthetic gene clusters from 400 in-house sequenced genomes (all from the USDA ARS Actinobacteria collection, which totals ~9000 unique strains). More information on strain prioritization is given in Supplemental Figure 3. (2) DHAAs on exported bacterial metabolites that are reactive towards nucleophilic 1,4-additions (by DTT/DIPEA) are identified by differential mass spectrometry. (3) Compound isolation and characterization after dereplication. Compounds are dereplicated, taking only potentially novel compounds through the time-consuming characterization steps. Of the 400 sequenced genomes, 130 strains were prioritized, 23 strains were screened, 1 compound was rapidly dereplicated, and 1 compound was predicted to be novel and thus further characterized.
Figure 4.2 | Representative natural products bearing dehydrated amino acids (DHAAs). Structures of example molecules that contain DHAAs suitable for nucleophilic addition are shown. The sites of potential nucleophilic reactivity (i.e. the DHAA alkenes, often in the form of an α,β-unsaturated carbonyl) are indicated with yellow circles. LAP, linear azol(in)e-containing peptide.
Figure 4.3 | DTT-labeling of thiostrepton as a proof of principle. (A) Structure of thiostrepton with DHAAs suitable for nucleophilic addition highlighted with yellow circles (B) MALDI-TOF MS of thiostrepton labeling performed in the context of an organic, cell-surface extract of *Streptomyces azureus* ATCC 14921. The black spectrum (top) is an unreacted control while the red spectrum (bottom) resulted from DTT-labeling. Thiostrepton was visibly labeled by 1-5 DTT moieties, with the 4 DTT adduct being the majority product.
Figure 4.4 | Base-dependence of the DTT-labeling reaction. MALDI-TOF MS of pure (commercially-obtained) thiostrepton reacted with DTT in the presence of diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (top), or no base (bottom). Thiostrepton was visibly labeled with 1-5 DTT moieties. * denotes peaks not corresponding to DTT labeling.
Figure 4.5 | DTT-labeling of geobacillin I in a cell-surface extract. (A) Structure of geobacillin I. Dha, dehydroalanine; Dhb, dehydrobutyryne; Ala-S-Ala, lanthionine; Abu-S-Ala, β-methyl-lanthionine. (B) Nucleophilic labeling with DTT of geobacillin I within the context of the organic extract of *Geobacillus sp.* M10EXG. Mass spectra of crude unlabeled extract (black spectrum, top) and DTT-labeled material (red spectrum, bottom) are shown. Extent of labeling with DTT is indicated on the bottom spectrum (2 DTT adducts are clearly observed, with the third being a very low intensity ion).
Figure 4.6 | Bioinformatic prioritization of strains. (A) Bioinformatics prioritization schematic.
1) A list is populated with strains encoding a thiazole/oxazole-modified microcin (TOMM) cyclodehydratase “YcaO” necessary for the heterocyclization of select Cys, Ser, and Thr, residues.
2) The list of strains is then trimmed to only contain strains that also harbor a “lantibiotic” dehydratase in close proximity (within 10 open reading frames on either side) to the YcaO protein.
3) TOMM-like precursor peptides from the trimmed list are then identified, and the mass of the final natural product is predicted for use in the dereplication process. (4) If strains make it through steps 1-3, reactivity-based screening with DTT is utilized to identify natural products of interest.

(B) Predicted core regions of the precursor peptides identified in the 23 strains prioritized and screened using the DTT labeling method. Highlighted in red are the precursor peptides predicted from WC-3908 (the producer of cyclothiazomycin C) and WC-3480 (the producer of grisemycin).
Figure 4.7
Figure 4.7 (continued)
Figure 4.7 (continued)
Figure 4.7 (continued) | Mass spectra of strains screened by the DTT labeling method. Mass spectrometry data (m/z 900 – 4200 Da) is shown for all strains screened except Streptomyces griseus subsp. griseus and WC-3908 (shown as figures 4 and 5 in the main text, respectively). The mass spectra of the unreacted organic cell-surface extracts are shown in black with the corresponding DTT-reacted extracts in red. Each spectrum is labeled according to the strain designation (NRRL identifier) and whether or not DTT/DIPEA was added. NRRL, Northern Regional Research Laboratory collection, which is curated by the Agricultural Research Service under the supervision of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA/ARS).
Figure 4.8 | Grisemycin DTT-labeling and dereplication. (A) Structure of grisemycin. Dhb, Dehydrobutyryine. (B) MALDI-TOF MS analysis of unreacted grisemycin (black spectrum, top) and DTT-labeled grisemycin (red spectrum, bottom) from an organic, cell-surface extract showing 1-2 DTT adducts. (C) MS/MS analysis of grisemycin with the discerned sequence tag listed above the spectrum.
Figure 4.9 | Identification, genetics, and structure of cyclothiazomycin C. (A) MALDI-TOF MS analysis showing spectra of unreacted (black spectrum, top) and DTT-labeled (red spectrum, bottom) extracts of WC-3908, the producer of cyclothiazomycin C. *, peaks do not correspond to DTT-labeled cyclothiazomycin C. (B) Conserved open-reading frames from each of the three cyclothiazomycin gene clusters (precise cluster boundaries are not yet established). Genes are color-coded with proposed functions given in the legend. The strain used for the comparison of cyclothiazomycin A is *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* subsp. *jinggangensis* 5008 and cyclothiazomycin B is *Streptomyces mobaraensis*. (C) Precursor peptide sequences of cyclothiazomycins A, B, and C. Highlighted in red are residues that differ in the core region of the peptide. The asterisk denotes the leader peptide cleavage site. (D) Structures of cyclothiazomycins A, B, and C.
Figure 4.10 | HPLC trace and UV spectrum of cyclothiazomycin C. (A) A sample (spatula tip) of purified cyclothiazomycin C was dissolved in 50% MeOH (B)/aq. 10 mM NH₄HCO₃ (A) (100 µL). An aliquot (20 µL) was analyzed by HPLC (isocratic 72% B for 35 min). Photodiode array (PDA) detection was used to monitor absorbance (abs) from 190-400 nm. A blank injection was also run and subtracted from the cyclothiazomycin C chromatogram; the resulting spectrum with UV monitoring at 254 nm is shown. (B) Cyclothiazomycin C exhibits UV absorbance consistent with that reported for cyclothiazomycin A and B1/B2 (Aoki, Ohtsuka, Yamada, et al. 1991, Hashimoto, et al. 2006). A UV spectrum (PDA) from the HPLC trace at 19.5 min is shown (sh, shoulder).
Figure 4.11 | High resolution Fourier transform mass spectrometry (FT-MS) analysis of cyclothiazomycin C. (A) The m/z scan of purified cyclothiazomycin C showed an ion in the 1+ charge state with an observed isotopic m/z value with < 2 ppm error from the calculated value for cyclothiazomycin C. (B) CID spectrum of m/z 1486. The monoisotopic mass values are given for assigned peak predictions. The number ranges given below the mass values refer to a shorthand notation describing predicted fragments of cyclothiazomycin C. A key for the shorthand notation for the structure of cyclothiazomycin C is given in pictorial format using single letter codes for the amino acids, the residue’s N to C position, and lines depicting molecular connectivity within the mature structure. The colors used for the shorthand notation depict the modification present at a particular residue. Purple, thiazoline moieties; green, thioether linkage; cyan, thiazole moieties; red, dehydrated amino acids; orange, pyridine moiety; black, unmodified amino acids.
Figure 4.12 | Amino acid similarity for CtmG, the putative formal [4+2] cycloaddition protein. (A) The cyclothiazomycin C biosynthetic gene cluster (strain WC-3908, NCBI accession KJ651958) apparently lacked the *ctmG* gene for the carrying out the [4+2] cycloaddition required for pyridine formation (Figure 4.9B). However, BLAST searching found a highly similar gene elsewhere on the WC-3908 chromosome (NCBI accession KJ690935). Interestingly, *ctmG* from WC-3908 is adjacent to *ctmF*, which appears to have been duplicated from the rest of the cyclothiazomycin C biosynthetic gene cluster (Figure 5). (B) Amino acid alignment of the Ctg proteins from the cyclothiazomycin A (*S. hygroscopicus*), cyclothiazomycin B (*S. mobaraensis*), and cyclothiazomycin C (WC-3908) biosynthetic gene clusters. Below the aligned residues, * represents identical residues, while : and . represent highly and moderately similar residues, respectively. (C) Sequence similarity (sum of identical and similar residues / length of longest protein) and identity (identical residues / length of longest protein) between other known formal [4+2] cycloaddition proteins. The gene name and resulting thiopeptide product are given. Values in blue indicate sequence similarity, while green represent sequence identity values.
Figure 4.13

A. Gene Neighborhood Homologs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Homolog (Species / Accession number)</th>
<th>Cyclothiazomycin A (Streptomyces hygroscopicus subsp. jinggangensis 5008)</th>
<th>Cyclothiazomycin B (Streptomyces mobaraensis)</th>
<th>Cyclothiazomycin C Homolog (Species / Accession number)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ferredoxin reductase (Streptomyces albulus / WP_020929831.1)</td>
<td>ArsR family transcriptional regulator (Nocardiopsis halophila / WP_017541891.1)</td>
<td>Anti-sigma b factor RsbV (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980648.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ferredoxin reductase (Streptomyces albulus / WP_016575819.1)</td>
<td>Helix-turn-helix protein (Streptomyces purpureus / WP_019884370.1)</td>
<td>Anti-sigma b factor antagonist RsbV (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980649.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Copper domain protein (Streptomyces sp. FR1 / YP_008995649.1)</td>
<td>Secreted amidase (Streptomyces bingchenggensis BCW-1 / YP_004958967.1)</td>
<td>Anti-anti-sigma regulatory factor (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980650.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Isoprenylcysteine carboxyl methyltransferase (Frankia sp. CN3 / WP_007507509.1)</td>
<td>NAD binding lipoprotein (Streptomyces viridosporus / WP_016827948.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980651.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Rhodococcus sp. DK17 / WP_016881545.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces sp. MspMP-M5 / WP_018538980.1)</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (Streptomyces sp. FxanaC1 / WP_018088719.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Rhodococcus sp. DK17 / WP_016881544.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces sp. 351MFTsu5.1 / WP_020141300.1)</td>
<td>PadR-like family transcriptional regulator (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980654.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.13 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Transposable (Streptomyces coelicolor A3(2) / NP_639869.1)</th>
<th>Putative oxidoreductase (Sinorhizobium meliloti SM11 / YP_005719396.1)</th>
<th>Protein serine/threonine phosphatase (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003982697.1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces albulus / WP_016576055.1)</td>
<td>MFS transporter (Streptomyces roseochromogenes / WP_023546609.1)</td>
<td>Cytochrome P450 FAS1 (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980657.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces sp. PsTaAH-124 / WP_018569508.1)</td>
<td>Transglycosylase-associated protein (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003987332.1)</td>
<td>Aminoglycoside phosphotransferase (Streptomyces cattleya / YP_004920848.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces hygroscopicus subsp. jinggangensis 5008 / WP_006249961.1)</td>
<td>Chitinase (Streptomyces / WP_018524268.1)</td>
<td>ABC transporter (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980658.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943462.1)</td>
<td>Putative peptidase containing docking domain (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50133.1)</td>
<td>Putative peptidase containing docking domain (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50133.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CtmI | Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943462.1) | Putative peptidase containing docking domain (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50133.1) | Putative peptidase containing docking domain (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50133.1) |
| CtmB | NADH oxidase (Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943465.1) | NADH oxidase (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50132.1) | NADH oxidase (Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943465.1) |
**Figure 4.13 (continued)**

| **CtmA** | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943468.1 | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces hygroscopicus / YP_006249964.1 | Hypothetical  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943468.1 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| **CtmD** | Cyclodehydratase  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943471.1 | Putative docking protein  
Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50130.1 | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943471.1 |
| **CtmE** | Lantibiotic dehydratase  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943473.1 | Lantibiotic dehydratase  
Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50129.1 | Lanthionine biosynthesis protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943473.1 |
| **CtmF** | Lantibiotic biosynthesis protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943476.1 | Lantibiotic biosynthesis protein  
Streptomyces hygroscopicus / YP_006249967.1 | Lantibiotic biosynthesis protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943476.1 |
| **CtmG** | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943480.1 | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces hygroscopicus / YP_006249968.1 | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943480.1 |
| **CtmJ** | | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces auratus / WP_006606582.1 | |
| **CtmK** | | Hypothetical protein  
Streptomyces auratus / WP_006606583.1 | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CtmH LuxR transcriptional regulator (Streptomyces mobaraensis / WP_004943488.1)</th>
<th>LuxR transcriptional regulator (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50126.1)</th>
<th>LuxR family transcriptional regulator (Streptomyces hygroscopicus / ACS50126.1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces sp. FR1 / YP_008995424.1)</td>
<td>Integral membrane protein (Streptomyces avermitilis MA-4680 / NP_823891.1)</td>
<td>Short chain dehydrogenase (Streptomyces auratus / WP_006608143.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ethyl tert-butyl ether degradation (Streptomyces griseoaurantiacus / WP_006139146.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces griseoflavus / WP_004934717.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980661.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>MFS transporter (Streptomyces griseoaurantiacus / WP_006139145.1)</td>
<td>LysR family transcriptional regulator (Streptomyces himastatinicus / WP_009713438.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980662.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>DNA binding protein (Streptomyces griseoaurantiacus / WP_006139144.1)</td>
<td>Ornithine carbamoyltransferase (Patulibacter medcamentivorans WP_007570660.1)</td>
<td>Methylated DNA protein cysteine S-methyltransferase (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980663.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>AraC family transcriptional protein (Streptomyces albulus / WP_020930180.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces mobaraensis WP_004943504.1)</td>
<td>Ricin superfamily (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980666.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Streptomyces hygroscopicus subsp. jinggangensis 5008 / YP_006249975.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (Amycolatopsis nigrescens / WP_020673411.1)</td>
<td>Oxidoreductase domain protein (Streptomyces rimosus / WP_003980667.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Accession Numbers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Transposase (<em>Streptomyces lividans</em> / WP_003974545.1)</td>
<td>TetR family transcriptional regulator (<em>Streptomyces clavuligerus</em> / WP_003956021.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ArsR family transcriptional regulator (<em>Streptomyces rimosus</em> / WP_003980668.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Transposase (<em>Streptomyces sp. Mg1</em> / WP_008736550.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (<em>Streptomyces sulphureus</em> / WP_019547651.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30S ribosomal protein (<em>Thauera terpenica</em> / WP_021250332.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Transposase (<em>Streptomyces violaceusniger Tu 4113</em> / YP_004800126.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (<em>Streptomyces aurantiacus</em> / WP_016638852.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ribosome-inactivating protein (<em>Streptomyces scabiei 87.22</em> / YP_003494282.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Oxidoreductase (<em>Streptomyces viridochromogenes</em> / WP_003995212.1)</td>
<td>Hypothetical protein (<em>Streptomyces thermolilacinus</em> / WP_023588110.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ricin superfamily (<em>Streptomyces sp. Mg1</em> / WP_008735505.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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B. Cyclothiazomycin C protein identities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein (cyclothiazomycin C)</th>
<th>Top BLAST hit / accession number</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
<th>Residues (aligned/total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CtmI (WC3908_03952)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces hygroscopicus</em> / ACS50133.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>379/634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmB (WC3908_03953)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces mobaraensis</em> / WP_004943465.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>324/531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmA (WC3908_03954)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces mobaraensis</em> / WP_004943468.1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>43/55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmD (WC3908_03955)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces mobaraensis</em> / WP_004943471.1</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>455/636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmE (WC3908_03956)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces mobaraensis</em> / WP_004943473.1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>500/858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmF (WC3908_03957)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces mobaraensis</em> / WP_004943476.1</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>192/334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmG (WC3908_00024)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces mobaraensis</em> / WP_004943480.1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>265/397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CtmH (WC3908_03958)</td>
<td><em>Streptomyces hygroscopicus</em> / ACS50133.1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>429/924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.13 (continued) | Gene similarities for the cyclothiazomycin biosynthetic gene clusters. (A) Genes surrounding the conserved portion of the cyclothiazomycin biosynthetic gene clusters were used as query sequences to identify homologs via BLAST searching. Genes 1-10 represent the genes upstream of the conserved cluster with 1 being the farthest from *ctml*. *Ctml* – *H* are the conserved genes in the clusters (Figure 3b, NCBI accession number KJ651958) and are highlighted in gray. Red denotes *ctmG* from the cyclothiazomycin C producer that is conserved, but not present in the gene cluster, but rather elsewhere in the genome. Genes 11-20 lie downstream of the conserved region. (B) BLAST results using the conserved genes from the cyclothiazomycin C gene cluster as query sequences. The best match returned by BLAST and the percent identities are given.
### Table 4.1 | Antimicrobial activity of cyclothiazomycin B and C toward a panel of diverse bacteria and fungi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speciesa</th>
<th>MICb, cyclothiazomycin B</th>
<th>MICb, cyclothiazomycin C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus anthracis</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterococcus faecalis</em></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32-64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Listeria monocytogenes</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neisseria sicca</em></td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas putida</em></td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspergillus niger</em></td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusarium virguliforme</em></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</em></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Talaromyces stipitatus</em></td>
<td>64</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aThe top five species are Gram positive bacteria from the *Firmicutes* phylum. The next three species are Gram negative bacteria from the *Proteobacteria* phylum. The lowest 4 species are fungi from the *Ascomycota* phylum. bAll minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) were determined by the microbroth dilution method and are presented in µg/mL.
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A.1 Discovery of a New ATP-Binding Motif Involved in Peptidic Azoline Biosynthesis

This chapter was reprinted with permission from Dunbar, Chekan, Cox, Burkhart, Nair, and Mitchell (Dunbar, et al. 2014).

I aided in the collection, interpretation and figure generation for the bioinformatics analysis of the YcaO superfamily. This included supplemental figures 5 and 6.
Discovery of a new ATP-binding motif involved in peptidic azoline biosynthesis

Kyle L Dunbar1,2,*, Jonathan R Chekan3,*, Courtney L Cox2,*, Brandon J Burkhart2,*, Satish K Nair2,3,5 & Douglas A Mitchell1,2,4*

Despite intensive research, the cyclodehydratase responsible for azoline biosynthesis in thiazole/oxazole-modified microcin (TOMM) natural products remains enigmatic. The collaboration of two proteins, C and D, is required for cyclodehydration. The C protein is homologous to E1 ubiquitin-activating enzymes, whereas the D protein is within the YcaO superfamily. Recent studies have demonstrated that TOMM YcaOs phosphorylate amide carbonyl oxygens to facilitate azoline formation. Here we report the X-ray crystal structure of an uncharacterized YcaO from Escherichia coli (Ec-YcaO). Ec-YcaO harbors an unprecedented fold and ATP-binding motif. This motif is conserved among TOMM YcaOs and is required for cyclodehydration. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the C protein regulates substrate binding and catalysis and that the proline-rich C terminus of the D protein is involved in C protein recognition and catalysis. This study identifies the YcaO active site and paves the way for the characterization of the numerous YcaO domains not associated with TOMM biosynthesis.

The YcaO family of proteins currently comprises nearly 5,000 members distributed across the bacterial and archaeal domains. Disparate functions have been ascribed to members of this family, which is sometimes referred to as DUF181 (DUF domain of unknown function). In E. coli, the deletion or overexpression of the eponymous YcaO protein (Ec-YcaO, Fig. 1a) suggested that it potentiates the methylation of ribosomal protein S12 and influences biofilm formation, respectively1,2. However, a molecular explanation for these observations is currently unavailable. Another YcaO-associated activity is the ATP-dependent cyclodehydration of serine, threonine and cysteine residues to azoline heterocycles, which is the defining modification of TOMM natural products (Fig. 1a–d). TOMMs display diverse structures and activities3, with some implicated in bacterial pathogenesis4, making the 1,000 bioinformatically identifiable TOMM YcaO proteins noteworthy members of the larger superfamily.

Although the TOMM YcaO domain was first implicated in cyclodehydration reactions in the mid-1990s5, its exact role remains unclear6. The function of the TOMM YcaO(D protein) is intimately linked to members of the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme family (C protein) found in canonical TOMM biosynthetic clusters7,8. Underestimating this linked function, roughly half of known TOMM clusters express C and D as a single polypeptide9,10. Studies on both fused and unfused cyclodehydratases have demonstrated that these domains are necessary and sufficient for TOMM azoline formation8,11. Consequently, the C-D complex is referred to as the TOMM cyclodehydratase (or alternatively, heteroycaD)12. As early studies on the cyclodehydratase were unable to observe activity from either protein in isolation11,12, the respective contributions of C and D were inferred by bioinformatics. Given the ATP dependence of the reaction13 and the homology of C to members of the E1 ubiquitin-activating superfamily14, which includes other ATP using enzymes (for example, McbB, TfiF and MoeB)15, it was assumed that C was responsible for cyclodehydration, whereas the uncharacterized YcaO(D protein) played a regulatory or scaffolding role16,17.

In 2012, research from our group challenged these assignments with the characterization of the TOMM cyclodehydratase from Bacillus subtilis sp. Al Hakan (Balh)16. This YcaO protein (BalhD) displayed ATP-dependent cyclodehydratase activity in the absence of the cognate C protein (BalhC); however, BalhD potentiated cyclodehydration by nearly 1,000-fold. Considering that the C protein had been implicated in precursor peptide recognition in streptolysin S biosynthesis18,19 and that BalhC dictated the regio- and chemoselectivity of the Balh cyclodehydratase20, we hypothesized that the YcaO contained the active site residues, whereas the C protein was responsible for binding the peptide substrate. Although YcaO proteins lack recognizable ATP-binding motifs, the presence of one or more YcaOs in the bottomycin and trilobitcin biosynthetic clusters, which lack recognizable C proteins, supports these functional assignments (Supplementary Results, Supplementary Fig. 1).

Although the above studies assigned a putative activity to TOMM YcaOs, a molecular understanding of cyclodehydratase catalysis remained elusive. Recently, the X-ray crystal structure of a fused cyclodehydratase was reported (TrxD Protein Data Bank (PDB) code 4BS9), providing what is to our knowledge the first structural glimpse of a TOMM cyclodehydratase21. The C-D domain adopted the expected E1 fold, whereas the YcaO fold was unique. As the structure lacked both the ATP and peptide substrates, no information regarding substrate engagement and catalysis could be gleaned. However, the lack of structural homology of YcaO to known ATP-binding proteins led to the notion that the C domain was responsible for ATP binding and carbonyl activation, whereas the YcaO domain catalyzed the requisite nucleophilic attack.

Here, we report the structure of a non-TOMM YcaO from E. coli in various nucleotide-bound and nucleotide-free forms and demonstrate that the most conserved residues in YcaOs comprise a previously uncharacterized ATP-binding motif. We show that these ATP-binding residues are critical for catalysis in TOMM YcaOs using BalhD as a model cyclodehydratase. Further, we identify the active site of TOMM cyclodehydratases and demonstrate that the
conserved, proline-rich C termini are involved in active site organization and C protein binding. Our results strongly support a model where ATP use is a universal feature of YcaOs (TOMM and non-TOMM) and where TOMM C protein recognizes the peptide substrate and potentiates the activity of the cognate YcaO.

RESULTS

E. coli YcaO hydrolyzes ATP to AMP and PP.

With the ATP-dependent cyclodextrinase activity of BalD previously established, we attempted to locate the ATP-binding site in BalD by X-ray crystallography. However, these experiments were unsuccessful. Furthermore, the TruD crystal structure did not reveal an obvious ATP binding site, and BalD was refractory to numerous crystallization attempts. We reasoned that because TOMM YcaOs evolved to interact with their cognate C proteins, working with a non-TOMM (with no C protein partner) might alleviate the previously encountered challenges. The local genomic environment of Ec-YcaO does not contain an E1 homolog (i.e., TOMM C protein; Fig. 1a), and Ec-YcaO is not known to interact with an E1 homolog, making it an attractive candidate for structural and biochemical characterization. Ec-YcaO was cloned into a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease-cleavable maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion vector and expressed in E. coli (Supplementary Fig. 2). Although the function of Ec-YcaO was unknown, characterized cyclodextrinases hydrolyze ATP in the absence of peptide substrates. Consequently, we measured the ATPase activity of Ec-YcaO using an established purine nucleoside phosphorylase assay. This assay revealed that Ec-YcaO indeed hydrolyzed ATP, preferentially generating AMP and PP (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Although the ATP hydrolysis was absent, perhaps because the native substrate was not present, Ec-YcaO displayed a K<sub>cat</sub> for ATP of ~40 μM (Supplementary Fig. 3), comparable to that of several characterized cyclodextrinases. 11–21

Crycrystallization of Ec-YcaO

The structure of nucleotide-free Ec-YcaO (containing a mercurial salt for plating) was determined to a resolution of 2.63 Å and revealed a circularly symmetric homodimer in the asymmetric unit (Supplementary Table 2). The overall structure consists of an N-terminal YcaO domain of ~40 residues and a 150-residue C-terminal domain resembling a proline-rich linker that mediates dimerization. A structure-based comparison against the PDB revealed similarity solely with TruD, the only other solved YcaO structure (r.m.s. deviation of 3.1 Å over 279 aligned Cα atoms), confirming that YcaO constitutes a new structural fold (Supplementary Fig. 4).

To identify the ATP-binding site, we determined the structure of Ec-YcaO in complex with multiple nucleotides. Co-crystallization of Ec-YcaO with ATP produced an AMP-bound structure (2.25 Å), suggesting that in situ hydrolysis had occurred (Fig. 2a). To clarify the residues involved in ATP binding, we also determined the co-structure of Ec-YcaO with ATP-methylenedioxo 5′-triphosphate (AMPmP, a non-hydrolyzable ATP analog). These three structures facilitated the characterization of the ATP-binding site in the YcaO superfamily.

Structural characterization of ATP-binding in Ec-YcaO

Analysis of the 2.25-Å resolution AMP-bound and the 2.92-Å resolution AMPmP-bound co-crystal structures revealed that the adenine ring is recognized by Glu91 and Asn187 via interactions through the N7 nitrogen and between Ser16 and the exocyclic N6 (Fig. 2b). Additionally, Lys9 resides above one face of the adenine ring, whereas Ala70, Ser71 and Gly74 are found in the 2′ and 3′-hydroxyls, respectively (Fig. 2c,d). Although Ser71 coordinates the α-phosphate in both structures, Arg286 coordinates to the α-phosphate in only the AMP-bound form (Fig. 2c,d). To our surprise, two Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions are found in the nucleotide-binding pocket in both structures. In the AMP structure, Glu199 and Glu78 coordinate the Mg<sup>2+</sup> ion, and Glu290 and Glu75 bind the second (Fig. 2e). The Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions are coordinated in a similar fashion in the AMPmP structure with the subtle difference that Glu202, rather than Glu75, coordinated the second Mg<sup>2+</sup> (Fig. 2f). This slight change in the coordination of the second Mg<sup>2+</sup> ion positions the metal ions on opposite sides of the β- and γ-phosphates. Furthermore, Arg263 coordinates the γ-phosphate of AMPmP (Fig. 2d). The interactions between Ec-YcaO and AMPmP are summarized in Figure 2e.

The ATP-binding site is conserved in TOMM YcaOs

Using the nucleotide-bound structures of Ec-YcaO, we established the conservation of the ATP-binding residues across the superfamily. First, we generated a Cytoscape sequence similarity network of all the YcaO members in InterPro (IPR003776). During assembly, redundant sequences were removed, leaving ~2,000 sequences in the network. Although the sequence of the TOMM precursor peptide dictates the structure of the natural product, there is also a strong correlation between TOMM structure and the sequence similarity of the cognate YcaO. For the network, all the YcaO sequences were manually annotated on the basis of neighboring genes. YcaOs were categorized as being involved in TOMM biosynthesis if there was a gene encoding a recognizable C protein in the local region (~10 kb on either side of the ycaO gene) or if the protein had an experimentally verified link to a known TOMM (for example, PDB entry 5k11). The remaining YcaOs were separated into two other categories, non-TOMM YcaOs (for example, Ec-YcaO) and ThrA-associated non-TOMM YcaOs. The latter were found within 10 kb of a gene encoding for the protein ThrA, which is implicated in triterpenoid biosynthesis. Wherever possible, TOMM YcaOs were further subdivided by expected structural class. On the basis of these classifications, we determined that an expectation value of 10<sup>−4</sup> gave an optimal separation of YcaO sequences into functional clusters (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Figure 1: YcaO gene clusters and characterized roles of YcaO proteins. (a) The local genomic environment for Ec-YcaO and BalD is depicted along with the percentage amino acid identity for the YcaO. Gene assignments are shown. (b) Azole heterocycles in TOMM natural products are installed by the successive action of a cyclodextrinase (C and D protein) and a flavin mononucleotide (FMN)-dependent dehydrogenase (β protein). The cumulative mass change for each step is shown below the modification. X = 5 or 6; R = H or CH<sub>3</sub>.
Using the sequence similarity network as a guide, 349 of the ∼2,000 members in the non-redundant network were selected from across all of the clusters, and a maximum likelihood tree was generated (Supplementary Fig. 6). Among these 349 were all of the singletons, defined as divergent family members not grouping with any other YcO family across all three groups (i.e., TOMM, non-TOMM, and Ynu-associated non-TOMM). The AT-binding residues were found to be the most conserved feature in the YcO superfamily (Fig. 3b). This is in stark contrast to TOMM C proteins, which lack the Lys-190 residues conserved in all of the char- acterized non-TOMM E1 ubiquitin-activating superfamily members (Supplementary Fig. 7). Furthermore, the conservation in the AT-binding residues is maintained in all of the characterized TOMM YcOs (Supplementary Figs. 8 and 9), suggesting that the previously reported carboxyl activation mechanism is likely to be a universal biosynthetic feature.

The EュC-O ATP-binding site is vital for BallD activity

Because the native substrate of Ec-YcO is unknown, we validated the AT-binding residues by conducting structure-function studies on BallD. An alignment of BallD and Ec-YcO permitted the mapping of the nucleophile- and Mg²⁺-binding residues onto BallD (Supplementary Figs. 4 and 9). Subsequently, an alanine mutagenesis scan was performed on the polar residues of BallD predicted to bind ATP. Every mutation was well tolerated in terms of protein yield and stability (Supplementary Fig. 2). The effect on heterocycle formation on BallA1 (the peptide substrate) by the mutant BallD proteins, in the absence of BallC, was monitored in a 16-h endpoint assay (Supplementary Fig. 10). Of the 14 mutants, residues in the AT-binding pocket, four were able to convert BallA1 to the previously reported penta-zoline species, three showed intermediate levels of processing (two to four heterocycles), and the remaining four generated no heterocyclic products within the limit of detection (Table 1). To quantify the effect of each mutation to BallC and BallD activity, the rate of ATP hydrolysis was measured using the K₅₅ concentration of BallA1 (15 μM) and a concentration of ATP that would saturate for wild-type BallD (3 mM). Mutants unable to cyclize BallA1, even after extended reaction times, displayed no detectable ATP hydrolysis over the assay background (Supplementary Fig. 11). Likewise, mutants that installed five or more on BallA1 in the endpoint assay had the highest ATP hydrolysis rates. These data are congruent with our earlier work showing that ATP hydrolysis is tightly coupled to heterocycle formation. The YcO mutations examined here did not appear to disrupt this feature of TOMM cyclododehydration.

Although mutations of the BallD ATP-binding pocket reduced cyclododehydration activity, an alternative interpretation of the above data could be that these mutations interfered with the association of BallC and BallD. A unique feature of the Ball cyclododehydratase is that BallD is catalytically active in the absence of BallC. This permitted the use of BallD-only activity measurements to determine whether the alanine mutations affected the intrinsic cyclododehydratase activity. Heterocycle formation endpoint assays (16 h) were again conducted, but this time with 50 μM BallA1 and 25 μM BallD mutant to account for the expected ~1,000-fold drop in catalytic activity in the absence of BallC. The resultant mass spectra confirmed the decrease in cyclododehydration activity from a perturbation in BallD activity (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 12).

For all of the BallD mutants with measurable cyclododehydratase activity, we obtained the Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters for BallA1 and ATP (Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. 13). Every mutation negatively affected the observed K₅₅ (K₅₅), indicating that the selected residues were of catalytic importance. Apart from K281A, and to a lesser extent S72A, all of the ATP-binding site mutations of BallD substantially increased the K₅₅ for ATP. In contrast, the only mutant in this series to substantially raise the K₅₅ for BallA1 was R198A (Table 1).

Four BallD mutants (i.e., BallD281A, BallD274A, BallD268A, or BallD268V) did not exhibit detectable cyclododehydratase activity. Potential explanations include an inability to bind the substrates (BallA1 and/or ATP) or hydrolyze ATP or a structural perturbation with these mutants. Previous work demonstrated that BallC and BallD hydrolyze ATP slowly in the absence of BallA1 (Fig. 7). In reactions with wild-type BallD, addition of BallC potentiates the rate of ATP hydrolysis by 2.5-fold over an additive rate of both proteins; however, when the four inactive mutants were assayed, no
potentiation was observed (Supplementary Fig. 1). This suggested that the lack of BallD activity was due to a structural perturbation of the protein or the inability to bind or hydrolyze ATP. Unfortunately, attempts to directly measure ATP binding or a secondary structure perturbation of BallD with isothermal titration calorimetry or CD spectroscopy, respectively, were problematic owing to the instability of BallD. However, we reasoned that the latter could be assayed indirectly by monitoring the interaction between BallC and a mutant BallD through a competition assay and size-exclusion chromatography. Although both of the BallD mutants were able to associate with the BallC (Supplementary Fig. 15), BallD\(^{E76A}\) and BallD\(^{E79A}\) did so with reduced affinity, suggesting that these mutations affected the BallC-BallD interaction surface. Conversely, the wild-type-like affinity that BallD\(^{R80A}\) and BallD\(^{E197A}\) displayed for BallC suggested that these mutants were inactive owing to an inability to bind or hydrolyze ATP.

The BallD C terminus affects BallC binding and catalysis

In addition to the conserved ATP-binding site, TOMM YcaO proteins have a highly conserved, proline-rich C terminus. In the most pronounced cases, the five N-terminal residues of the YcaO are PxPnP (Supplementary Fig. 16). The proline-rich C terminus is not conserved in non-TOMM or TnuA-associated YcaO domains (Supplementary Fig. 16), implicating the motif in either C protein recognition or cyclodehydrase activity. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that the C terminus of TrnD is in close proximity to the YcaO ATP-binding site and is surface-accessible (Supplementary Fig. 16). We first interrogated the importance of this motif by truncating five residues from the BallD C terminus. This minor perturbation abolished the catalytic activity of BallC and BallD (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 17). Removing the C-terminal three residues of BallD produced an identical result, and removal of the C-terminal residue of BallD (BallD\(^{E76A}\), where the asterisk represents a stop codon) decreased activity by >100-fold (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 17). Similarly, extending the C terminus by a single amino acid (BallD\(^{E76A}P\)), or deleting two amino acids upstream of the PxPnP motif (BallD\(^{Δ178-179}E76A\)), also resulted in inactive cyclodehydrases (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 17).

To establish whether altering the BallD C terminus affected the interaction with BallC, we assessed the ability of BallC to potentiate the background ATPase activity of BallD mutants lacking detectable activity. Potentiation was not observed in any case (Supplementary Fig. 18), indicating that mutants of the BallD PxPnP motif had lost the ability to bind or hydrolyze ATP or to bind

### Table 1 | Mutations to the ATP-binding pocket of BallD decrease cyclodehydrase activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>CD only</th>
<th>D only</th>
<th>ΔK(_m) (μM(^{-1}))</th>
<th>k(_{cat}) (μM(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Δk(_{cat}/K(_m)) (M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>k(_{cat}) (μM(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Δk(_{cat}/K(_m)) (M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>2.30%</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>13.00 ± 0.80</td>
<td>240 ± 20</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S72A</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>12.50 ± 0.60</td>
<td>360 ± 60</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E76A</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E79A</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R80A</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3%</td>
<td>2.10%</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.05</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>823 ± 0.80</td>
<td>682 ± 50</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G196A</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.07</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>540 ± 0.80</td>
<td>2500 ± 200</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N199A</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>27.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3150 ± 0.80</td>
<td>920 ± 50</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E197A</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R198A</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K281A</td>
<td>5.10%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>8200 ± 0.80</td>
<td>230 ± 40</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286A</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.6%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.03</td>
<td>27.3 ± 2.2</td>
<td>272 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1200 ± 100</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(Δ\) denotes the percentage of the substrates with a number of replicates. The number of replicates for each enzyme is listed in parenthesis.
\(Δ\) denotes the percentage of the substrates with a number of replicates. The number of replicates for each enzyme is listed in parenthesis.
Table 2 | Mutations to the C terminus of BallD disrupt catalysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>D only</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>$K_m$ ($K_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_m$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>1-2.45%</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>240 ± 20</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P241*</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P247*</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P429*</td>
<td>1-2.36%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.03</td>
<td>80 ± 20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.05</td>
<td>110 ± 10</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 AA</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 AA</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H426A</td>
<td>0-2.25%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P427G</td>
<td>3-5.80%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.04</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>700 ± 100</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F428A</td>
<td>2-4.80%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.02</td>
<td>22 ± 2</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03</td>
<td>480 ± 60</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P429G</td>
<td>4-8.00%</td>
<td>0-2.6%</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>75 ± 4</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G292G</td>
<td>0-3.40%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Representing $r$ (P241F = P241F = P247F = P429F = P242F = 0.0), where $r$ is the percentage of the substrate with a number of amino acids. The number of heterocycles formed in the assay is kinetic pathway.

†Representing $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ are the parameters estimated from the x-axis and y-axis, respectively.

Table 2: Mutations to the C terminus of BallD disrupt catalysis. The table shows the effects of various mutations on the catalytic properties of BallD. The mutations include substitutions at positions 241, 247, 429, and others. The table lists the percentage of the substrate with a number of amino acids, the $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values, and the $k_{cat}/K_m$ values for both CD and D only conditions. The mutations that disrupt catalysis are highlighted.

BalbC. We next assessed the ability of each PxxP mutant to bind BalbC. Using a combination of size-exclusion chromatography and a competition assay, all of the truncations to the BallD PxxP motif were shown to have decreased affinity to BalbC (Supplementary Fig. 19). Moreover, the order of heterocycle formation was deregulated in BallD, reminiscent of wild-type BallD reactions lacking BalbC (Supplementary Fig. 20).

Intrigued by the loss of activity observed upon extending or truncating the C terminus, we next investigated the importance of the amino acid composition of the BallD PxxP motif (PxxP$^n$). As with the truncations, any mutation to the five C-terminal residues of BallD decreased cyclodehydratase activity (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 21). The decrease in activity ranged from 2.5-fold (BallD$^{5PxxP}$) to 100-fold (BallD$^{5PxxP}_{2n}$), with severity diminishing closer the closer the mutation was to the C terminus. This result was consistent with the observation that the C-terminal residues of BallD are located in a channel leading to the active site. With the PxxP truncations, every mutant tested, apart from BallD$^{5PxxP}_{2n}$, displayed a decreased affinity for BalbC (Supplementary Fig. 22). Consistent with this observation, mutation of the terminal amino acid (P429G) resulted in an aberrant order of heterocycle formation (Supplementary Fig. 23). Increasing the flexibility of the PxxP motif by substituting it with GxxG$^F$ yielded an inactive cyclodehydratase (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 21).

Although these results implicated the C terminus of BallD in BalbC recognition, a decrease in BalbC affinity could not explain the data in its entirety. For example, both BallD$^{5PxxP}$ and BallD$^{5PxxP}_{2n}$ displayed reduced interactions with BalbC, but only BallD$^{5PxxP}_{2n}$ was catalethetically inactive (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 19). Furthermore, BallD$^{5PxxP}_{2n}$ showed a wild-type level of interaction with BallD, despite being catalytically inactive. Given these results, we tested the activity of each mutant in the absence of BalbC. Analogous to the mutations to the ATP binding pocket, mutations to the PxxP motif affected the intrinsic activity of BallD (Supplementary Fig. 24). For all tructurable BallD mutants, BallD$^F$ and ATP Michaelis-Menten kinetic curves were obtained for the mutant BallD–BalbC complexes. Although the largest effects were on $k_{cat}$, the mutations also affected the $K_m$ for ATP and BallD$^F$ (Table 2). Unlike the ATP-binding mutants, the changes to $K_m$ for the two substrates were similar in the PxxP mutants, suggesting that the C terminus is involved in active site organization and catalysis, not substrate binding. Furthermore, the importance of the C terminus seems to be general for TUMM biotransformation, given that the cyclodehydratase activity of Mx5D (microcin B17 EcoO protein) was also abolished when the C terminus was truncated (Supplementary Fig. 25).

BalbC regulates BallD ATP-binding and catalysis. To further characterize the C terminus of BallD, we generated a derivative containing a C-terminal His, tag (PALEH$^F$, where $F$ is the last residue of wild-type BallD). As expected from earlier experiments with BallD PxxP$^n$PC, addition of the longer tag abolished heterocycle formation (Supplementary Fig. 26). Although heterocycle formation is stoichiometric with ATP hydrolysis for wild-type cyclodehydratase, the C-terminal His-tagged BallD displayed robust ATPase activity, irrespective of the presence of BallD$^F$ (Fig. 4A). Moreover, this high level of unperturbed ATP hydrolysis was potentiated by the addition of BallC to the same extent observed with wild-type BallD (2.5-fold increase; see Supplementary Fig. 14), indicating that the His, tag did not interfere with BalbC recognition (Fig. 4A).

With a BallD derivative displaying robust BallC-independent ATPase activity in hand, we evaluated the role of BallC on ATP use by BallD by obtaining ATP Michaelis-Menten kinetics parameters for BallD–A1LEH$^F$ alone and in complex with BallC (Fig. 4B).

Figure 4 | BalbC modulates ATP binding and hydrolysis by BallD and is responsible for leader peptide binding. (a) ATP hydrolysis rates measured by the PNP assay. (b) ATP kinetic curves for BallD–A1LEH$^F$ with and without BallC. Error bars represent the s.d. from the mean (n = 3), and error on the Michaelis-Menten parameter represents the s.d. from the regression analysis. (c) A fluorescent polarization curve for fluorescence-labeled BallA1 leader peptide recognition by BalbC and BallD is displayed. Error bars represent the s.e.m. of three independent titrations. Error on the $K_m$ values represent the error from curve fitting; $K_m$, arbitrary units.
These data indicate that the addition of BallDc modulates BallD activity by increasing the $K_{m}$ and decreasing the $K_{f}$ for ATP.

**TOMM C proteins provide leader peptide binding**

Precursor peptide recognition in the majority of ribosomally synthesized post-translationally modified peptides occurs in a bipartite fashion. An N-terminal sequence (leader peptide) serves as the recognition sequence by the modification enzymes, and the C-terminal sequence (core peptide) contains the sites of post-translational modification. 28 We previously demonstrated that the recognition and directionality of BallDc aminole line formation is dependent on BallDc. 29 Thus, we hypothesized that BallDc was responsible for presenting the core peptide to BallDc, most likely by engaging the leader peptide. However, the identification of BallDc mutants with a perturbed $K_{f}$ for BallDc suggested that the YcaO domain might have a role in substrate recognition. To assess the role of the C and D proteins in peptide substrate recognition, a fluorescent labeled BallDc leader peptide was used to monitor binding to BallDc and BallDh by fluorescence polarization. Owing to a very weak, potentially nonspecific association with BallDh, the $K_{f}$ toward the BallDh leader peptide could not be obtained. In contrast, BallDc displayed a $K_{f}$ of 11 μM (Fig. 4c), near the previously measured $K_{m}$ for BallDh of 16 μM. Moreover, the addition of BallDd did not significantly alter BallDc affinity for the BallDc leader peptide ($f > 0.95$). Consequently, these data suggest a model where (i) BallDh does not engage the leader region of BallDc and (ii) the elevation in $K_{m}$ value of select BallDd mutants for BallDc is due to a decreased affinity for the core region of BallDc.

**DISCUSSION**

We have observed that Ec-YcaO contains a new ATP-binding fold. Given the steric and electrostatic complementary requirements for binding ATP, the YcaO strategy is reminiscent of that of other structurally characterized ATP-binding proteins. For example, the Lys- or histidine "sandwich" involved in adenosine triphosphate recognition is similar to the conservedarginine and glutamate motif found in class I amino acyl tRNA synthetases. Furthermore, select members of the ATP-grasp and ParA families have been shown to bind ATP through the use of multiple divalent cations. However, in these proteins, the Mg$^{2+}$ ions are coordinated to all three phosphates and not just the β- and γ-phosphates. As these similarities in ATP binding occur despite a lack of structural and primary homology between YcaO and all of the other known ATP-binding proteins, this represents an example of convergent evolution in ATP-binding domains.

The ATP-binding residues are the most highly conserved motifs in the YcaO superfamily and, appropriately, represent a prominent signature for the hidden Markov model that bioinformatically defines the YcaO family (EPR00776). Our extensive bioinformatics analysis, X-ray crystallographic data on Ec-YcaO and biochemical characterization of BallDh confirm that ATP use is a conserved feature in the superfamily. In spite of the low level of overall similarity between Ec-YcaO and BallDc, we were able to demonstrate that the YcaO ATP-binding motif is critical for cyclodehydratase activity. Although the mutations affected BallDc activity to differing extents, the impact of mutating a particular residue on BallDc cyclodehydratase activity was proportional to the level of conservation within the YcaO family (Fig. 3a).

During our analysis of the YcaO ATP-binding motif, we observed a marked difference between the TOMM and non-TOMM YcaO domains. TOMM YcaOs (D proteins) almost invariably harbor proline-rich C-termini, with PxxP motifs most often serving as the terminal five residues of the protein. Though the widespread nature of the PxxP motif had been previously recognized, before this work, it was unclear whether this motif had any role in TOMM biogenesis. Our data indicate that the C termini of TOMM YcaOs assist in both C protein recognition and cyclodehydration. It is rare for the C terminus of an enzyme to be important for catalysis. In fact, the terminal regions are often highly sequence-variable within a protein family. Notably, the C-terminal proline content of a YcaOs has powerful predictive value. If present, the YcaOs is quite probably involved in TOMM biosynthesis. This tentative assignment can be confidently made even without knowledge of the flanking genes. As such, we hypothesize that a subset of the 249 (~8%) non-TOMM YcaOs that contain a proline-rich C terminus may actually be stand-alone TOMM YcaOs (akin to the botoxymycin YcaOs).

Previously, BallDc was shown to potentiate BallDh in an unknown mechanism. The current study indicates that this potentiation occurs via two distinct mechanisms. First, the synergistic identification of a C-terminal His-tagged construct of BallDh with robust ATP hydrolysis (BallDh-A,J,E,L-H) allowed us to show that the presence of BallDc increases $K_{m}$ and lowers the $K_{f}$ for ATP. Although our data suggest that C protein potentiation occurs via allosteric activation, follow-up studies will be required to validate this hypothesis. Second, our data demonstrate that BallDc is responsible for binding the leader peptide of BallDh, thus efficiently bringing the substrate in close proximity to the BallDh active site. This result is in accord with a previous study implicating SagE in leader peptide recognition during streptococcal biosynthesis. In further support of a general role for TOMM C proteins in peptide substrate binding, the C portion (homologous to E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme) of TruD has an McbB-like N-terminal "peptide clamp", which is responsible for leader peptide binding in microcin G7 biosynthesis. Combined with the fact that TOMM C proteins lack the ATP-binding site that is conserved in all of the characterized non-TOMM E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme members, all lines of evidence suggest that TOMM C proteins engage the leader peptide while simultaneously potentiating the thiolysis chemistry of their cognate YcaO domain (D protein; Supplementary Fig. 27).

It is interesting that, if not necessary, novel stand-alone TOMM YcaOs proteins (i.e., for botroxynicytin and trifoliton production) perform cyclodehydrations in the absence of a C protein. Given the diversity between these stand-alone and canonical (C protein-containing) TOMM YcaOs, we envision that multiple solutions to the substrate recognition problem could exist. For example, it is possible that these biosynthetic pathways use an unidentified companion protein to bind the precursor peptide. Alternatively, these YcaOs proteins may have evolved to bind a specific motif within the core peptide and modify the substrate a single time. Of note is the fact that the botroxynicytin and trifoliton stand-alone YcaO domains are each predicted to install a single betaine"-cycle. This is in stark contrast to canonical TOMMs that process a wide array of core peptides, often at numerous locations. Such promiscuity is common in other ribosomally synthesized post-translationally modified peptides and most likely accounts for the existence of leader peptides (i.e., the modification enzymes can be specific for motifs within the leader peptide but promiscuous on the core once the enzyme-substrate complex is formed). Further work, including the reconstitution of a stand-alone YcaO, will be required to test these claims.

The capacity to bind ATP (or possibly other nucleotide triphosphates) seems to be ubiquitous in the YcaO superfamily, but it remains unclear whether the TOMM cyclodehydratase-like direct activation of carbonyl is a universal feature. It is intriguing that YcaOs have recently been implicated in the formation of thioamidic and macrolactamino rings, as both of these modifications could conceivably occur through carbonyl activation. In addition to providing major insight into the mechanism of TOMM cyclodehydration, the results presented here provide an initial framework to explore the elusive functions of the 4,000 uncharacterized non-TOMM YcaOs.
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METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. PDB, Coordinates for apo-YcA, AMP-bound YcA and AMP-CFP-bound YcA structures were deposited under accession codes 4R84, 4R88 and 4Q5S, respectively.
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ONLINE METHODS

General methods. Unless otherwise specified, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma or Fisher Scientific. DNA sequencing was performed by either the Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center (UIUC) or ACGT Inc. Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England BioLabs (NEB). His Turbo was purchased from Agilent, and dNTPs were purchased from either NEB or GenScript. Oligonucleotide primers were synthesized by either Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) or Eurofins MWG Operon. Fluorescin-labeled BafA1 leader peptide was purchased from GenScript as an N-terminal FITC-Ahx (fluorescein isothiocyanate and aminoethyl halide) conjugate with a single gлицy spacer. Unless otherwise stated, all of the proteins and substrates were used as MBP fusions to circumvent solubility issues. A table of the peptide substrates used in this study can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

Cloning of MBP-YacO. Ec-YacO was amplified by PCR from E. coli BL21 cells using the forward and reverse primers listed in Supplementary Table 1. Polymerase reactions were carried out with Pfu Turbo, and the amplified product was digested with BamHI and NotI following a gel extraction. The digested gene was PCR purified and ligated into an appropriately digested, modified pET28 vector containing a TEV protease-cleavable, N-terminal MBP tag.

Preparation of BafA1 PaPiD mutants. BafA1 was amplified by PCR from the previously described pET28-bafA1 plasmid using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 1. Polymerase reactions were performed with Pfu Turbo, and the amplified product was digested with EcoRII and NotI following gel extraction. The digested gene was PCR purified and ligated into an appropriately digested, modified pET28 vector containing a TEV protease-cleavable, N-terminal MBP tag.

Site-directed mutagenesis. Site-directed mutagenesis of BafA1 and MsdA was carried out using the QuickChange method according to the manufacturer's instructions. All of the mutagenesis primers are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Overexpression and purification of MBP-tagged proteins. All proteins were purified with amylose resin (NEB) according to previously described procedures.

Multiple sequence alignments. Alignments were made with Clustal Omega using the standard parameters.

Cytochrome sequence similarity network. A sequence similarity network was created using the Enzyme Function Initiative Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFIT; http://www.enzymefunction.org). Sequences from the YacO superfamily (PfamPro number PF00377) were used for the analysis. The network was constructed at an expectation value (e-value) of 10−6. Networks were visualized by Cytozine using the organic layout. Sequences with 100% identity were visualized as a single node in the network.

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis. A set of YacO sequences representing the full diversity of the YacO family was selected on the basis of the Cytochrome sequence similarity network (Supplementary Fig. 3). This included at least one protein from each cluster and all singletons (proteins that fail to cluster with any other YacO) for a total of 340 proteins (~17% of the non-redundant Cytochrome sequence similarity network). The phylogenetic analysis was performed with Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA5)8. An amino acid sequence alignment was created using the standard parameters of ClustalW9 and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was created using standard parameters in MEGA5.

ATP-binding site conservation. The ligand interaction network for AMPCPFP was generated using LigPlot5 plus using the standard parameters. A VnO-LigPlot5 frequency plot for the ATP-binding motif was generated from a Clustal Omega alignment of all of the sequences from the specified family using the standard parameters. The conservation map for the YacO family was generated by aligning 130 unique YacO sequences with at least 35% sequence similarity to Ec-YacO (e-value < 10−6) and mapping the resulting conservation data onto the Ec-YacO structures using Consurf10. The structure-based YacO alignment was generated using Clustal Omega10 and ALINE11, and the structural overlay of YacO and TrvD was generated using PyMOL version 1.5 (Schrodinger).

Proline-rich C terminus analysis. The proline content of the C-terminus of all of the YacOs in the Cytochrome sequence similarity network was determined. Proteins were deemed to have a proline-rich (Pro-rich) C terminus if at least 6 of the final 30 residues were proline. In the most pronounced cases, the terminal six residues of the YacO contain a PXnPxP motif. Proteins were identified as containing a PXnPxCP motif if they contained a PXnPxP motif in the final 6 residues and at least 3 Pro in the final 30 residues.

Ec-YacO crystallization. Purified MBP-tagged Ec-YacO was treated with TEV protease for 18 h at 4 °C. Successful cleavage was confirmed by SDS-PAGE, and Ec-YacO was subsequently separated from the His-tagged MBP by sub- traction N2O affinity chromatography (fractons with a purity of at least 90% were combined and purified by size exclusion chromatography using a GE Superdex 200 column equilibrated in a buffer containing 300 mM NaCl and 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5. Fractions were collected and concentrated for crystallization screening experiments. The apo Ec-YacO crystals were obtained by sitting drop crystallization using a mother liquor containing 1.8 M ammonium citrate, pH 7.0, and 8 mg ml−1 Ec-YacO in a 1:1 ratio. Incubation at 4 °C yielded crystals after several days. Soaking with 1 mM XcMBA for 4 h before vitrification was used to generate phases. Immediately before vitrification, crystals were soaked in a cryoprotectant containing 1.8 M ammonium citrate, pH 7.0, and 30% trehalose. AMP Ec-YacO crystals were grown using hanging drop vapor diffusion with a mother liquor of 19% PEG 6000, 0.1 M magnesium acetate and 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 6.5. An Ec-YacO concentration of 8 mg ml−1 and a substrate concentration of 1 mM ATP and 1 mM MgCl2 was used for the formation of crystals at 4 °C. Directly before vitrification, crystals were immersed in a cryoprotectant containing the mother liquor supplemented with 30% MPD. AMPCPFP Ec-YacO crystals were grown and frozen in an identical manner with the exception of using 6 mg ml−1 Ec-YacO, 1 mM AMPCPFP instead of 2.5 mM MgCl2, and 0.87% F2A for the AMP- and bound structures and 0.87% F2A for the AMPCPFP-bound structure.

Ec-YacO structure solution and refinement. Collected data were integrated and scaled using HKL2000 or autoPROC12. The PCREF-3C-soaked apo Ec-YacO crystals were used as a source of anomalous signal in SAD phasing using the PHENIX software suite10. Automated building of the structure was accomplished with the ARP/WARP server13. Manual refinement was performed using COOT14 and REFMAC5 (ref. 25). For the AMP- and AMPCPFP-containing structures, the apo Ec-YacO was used as a search model to obtain phases using the PHENIX software suite. PHENIX was also used for automated building of the structures. Manual refinement was again performed using COOT and REFMAC5. Final Ramachandran statistics as determined by PROCHECK10 are as follows: 97.26% favored, 2.08% allowed and 0.94% outliers for the AMP-bound structure; 97.84% favored, 2.28% allowed and 0.8% outliers for the AMPCPFP-bound structure; and 98.39% favored, 3.17% allowed and 0.8% outliers for the AMP-bound structure.

Endpoint bactericide formation assays. For the reactions with BafA1 mutants, 50 μM MBP-BafA1 was mixed with either 2 μM MBP-BafCD (CD activity) or 25 μM MBP-BafA1 (BafCD activity) in synthetase buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 125 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 20 mM MgCl2, and 3 mM ATP). The MBP tags were removed using 0.05 mg ml−1 of TEV protease and reactions were carried out for 18 h at 25 °C. Reactions with the MB enzymes were carried out out in identical fashion except that MBF- BafA1 was fixed at 80 μM and variable concentrations of ATP (0.1–5 mM)
were used. Although this does not provide a saturating level of BallC for all mutants, the K\text{m} for ATP does not change with varied BallA concentration (Supplementary Fig. 1B). For BallB-AdoHEP ATP kinetic assays, the rate of ATP hydrolysis was measured for 3 \mu M MBP-tagged BallB-AdoHEP with and without 3 \mu M MBP-tagged BallC. Reactions were carried out in triplicate. Regression analyses to obtain the kinetic parameters for both substrates were carried out with IGOR Pro version 6.12 (WaveMetrics).

BallC potentiometer assays. The effect of BallC on the background ATPase activity of BallD was measured using the PNP phosphate detection assay. Given the slow rate of ATP hydrolysis without the presence of the procress peptide, the background ATPase activity was measured using 15 \mu M MBP-tagged BallD with and without MBP-tagged BallC. Identical conditions were utilized for all BallD mutants except BallD-AdoHEP. For BallB-AdoHEP, reactions were carried out with 1 \mu M of the indicated MBP-tagged enzymes and 100 \mu M BallA (where applicable). Reactions were carried out in triplicate.

Fluorescence polarization. Equilibrium BallC and BallD fluorescence polarization binding assays were performed at 25 °C in 1% binding surface, 394-nanometer polyethylene monomer (Corning) and measured using a VictorMax F5 multi-mode microplate reader ( Molecular Devices) with default settings. For each titration, protein was serially diluted into binding buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM (v/v) glycerol, 0.5 mM TCEP), mixed with 10 \mu M fluorescein-labeled BallD leader peptide (FP-BallD-LP) and equilibrated for 15 min with shaking before measurement. Data from three independent titrations were background subtracted and fitted using a multisite response curve in OriginPro (OriginLab).

Size-exclusion chromatography. A 200 \mu M sample containing 25 \mu M MBP-tagged BallC or BallD was prepared in cleavage buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 500 mM NaCl, 1% (v/v) glycerol) and treated with 0.05 mg/ml TEV protease for 12 h at 4 °C. The amount of protein in a BallC or BallD complex was assessed on a Flexar HFLC (PerkinElmer) equipped with an analytical TSK-GEL 3000 (300 x 4.6 mm, Phenomenex) equilibrated with cleavage buffer. Peaks of interest were collected, and their composition was determined via a Commaar2 12% SDS-PAGE gel. The approximate molecular weights were determined by generating a standard curve with a 12-200 kDa molecular weight standard kit (Sigma). Control runs were also performed in which one of the two proteins was omitted. Chromatograms were analyzed using Flexor Manager (PerkinElmer).

Mutant BallD K\text{m} determination. 1 \mu M MBP-tagged BallC and BallD were mixed in synthetase buffer (50 \mu M Tris (pH 7.5), 125 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 20 mM MgCl\text{2}, and 3 mM ATP) with 0.25-20 \mu M mutant BallD protein. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 15 \mu M MBP-BallA, and progress was measured using the PNP phosphate detection assay. All of the reactions were performed in triplicate. K\text{m} values were calculated with IGOR Pro version 6.12 (WaveMetrics).

BallC K\text{m} for active BallD mutants. The affinity of catalytically active BallD mutants for BallC was determined using the PNP phosphate detection assay and a previously described procedure\textsuperscript{25}. 25 \mu M MBP-BallA was mixed with 1 \mu M MBP-BallD in synthetase buffer, and reactions were initiated via the addition of 0.15–4.5 \mu M BallC. All of the reactions were performed in triplicate. Regression analyses to obtain kinetic parameters for BallC were carried out with IGOR Pro version 6.12 (WaveMetrics).

Heterocycle localization via FT MS/MS. 50 \mu M MBP-BallA was modified by 2 \mu M MBP-tagged BocR, BallC, and BallD in synthetase buffer for 18 h at 25 °C. Proteins were digested with 0.05 mg/ml sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) in 50 mM NH\text{4}C\text{2}, pH 8.0 for 30 min at 37 °C before the sample was quenched via the addition of formic acid to a final concentration of 10% (v/v), and precipitate was removed via centrifugation at 17,000g. FT MS/MS analysis was carried out as previously described\textsuperscript{24}.
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Supplementary Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers used in this study. Mutations are listed in parentheses, asterisks denote stop codons, and endonuclease cut sites are listed when appropriate. The 430/A mutation indicates that the BalD stop codon was mutated to alanine. This resulted in an additional 12 residues to the C-terminus of BalD (-A_LH). F, forward primer; R, reverse primer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Cut Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TaaO_F</td>
<td>AAGTATCCATGACGCAGAATATTACCGCCGCAAAAG</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaaO_R</td>
<td>TGCCCGGCGCTATTCTGTGCCGAAAAGTGTGGCTGGGCG</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A430/A_F</td>
<td>GGAGAATGAAATACATCCATCTCAGAAGGTCGCGCGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A430/A_R</td>
<td>GGGAAATGTAATCAATTTGTTTACGACCCCTTGTCCTTG</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(672A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(672A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(845A)F</td>
<td>CTATATATCCATGACGCAGAATATTACCGCCGCAAAAG</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(845A)R</td>
<td>GGGAAATGTAATCAATTTGTTTACGACCCCTTGTCCTTG</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(895A)F</td>
<td>GGAGAATGAAATACATCCATCTCAGAAGGTCGCGCGC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(895A)R</td>
<td>GGGAAATGTAATCAATTTGTTTACGACCCCTTGTCCTTG</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(898A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(898A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(918A)F</td>
<td>CTATATATCCATGACGCAGAATATTACCGCCGCAAAAG</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(918A)R</td>
<td>GGGAAATGTAATCAATTTGTTTACGACCCCTTGTCCTTG</td>
<td>NotI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(919A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(919A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(921A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(921A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(925A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(925A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(926A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(926A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(928A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(928A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(929A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(929A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(930A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(930A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(932A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(932A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(938A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(938A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(942A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(942A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(943A)F</td>
<td>CAGCAGTATATGACGACGCTGCGAAAATTTGTTGCAAGCTTTTGGAC</td>
<td>BamHI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BalD(943A)R</td>
<td>TCTCAGATCTGTGCAGATCGGTACAGAATTCGTTCCGGG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nature Chemical Biology: doi:10.1038/nchembio.1608
Supplementary Table 2. Crystallographic statistics for Ee-YcaO structures.

| Data collection | Ee-YcaO APO | Ee-YcaO AMP | Ee-YcaO AMP|CPP |
|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| **Space group** | P2₁2₁2₁    | P1         | P1         |
| **Cell dimensions** | 68.96, 140.33, 163.49 | 111.25, 112.95, 132.89 | 110.28, 112.40, 130.68 |
| **α, β, γ (°)** | 90.0, 90.0, 90.0 | 89.94, 73.51, 77.23 | 89.40, 73.62, 77.62 |
| **Resolution (Å)** | 50.0-2.65 (2.70-2.65) | 127.14-2.25 (2.26-2.25) | 125.20-3.29 (3.30-3.29) |
| **R_{cryst}** | 9.4 (8.2) | 10.6 (7.1) | 17.6 (59.3) |
| **I / σI** | 16.5 (2.3) | 10.6 (2.0) | 7.0 (2.1) |
| **Completeness (%)** | 99.5 (99.6) | 93.1 (66.1) | 99.0 (98.2) |
| **Redundancy** | 6.0 (6.1) | 3.8 (3.9) | 2.5 (2.5) |

**Refinement**

| Resolution (Å) | 50.0-2.64 | 127.14-2.25 | 125.20-3.29 |
| No. reflections | 43,714 | 251,531 | 84,143 |
| R_{work} / R_{free} | 0.1620/0.2105 | 0.2178/0.2500 | 0.1896/0.2377 |
| No. atoms | 9,627 | 36,574 | 35,815 |
| Protein | 4 | 102 | 174 |
| Nucleotide+Metal | | | |
| Water | 512 | 1,987 | 432 |
| B-factors | | | |
| Protein | 43.95 | 40.03 | 64.89 |
| Nucleotide | 29.62 | 51.83 |
| Metal | 88.88 | 25.93 | 23.18 |
| Water | 39.21 | 33.71 | 33.68 |
| R.m.s. deviations | | | |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.0141 | 0.0119 | 0.0113 |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.5489 | 1.4810 | 1.5215 |

Each dataset was derived from one crystal.
**Supplementary Table 3. List of peptides used in this study.** Residues known to be cyclized \textit{in vitro} or \textit{in vivo} are colored orange. In McbA, the orange underlined serine (CSN) is the site of the ninth heterocycle that is installed \textit{in vitro} and found as a minor microcin B17 species\textsuperscript{5}. A caret and an asterisk denote putative and known leader peptide cleavage sites, respectively. FP-BalhA1-LP is a synthetically prepared reagent designed for fluorescent polarization (FP) studies. The reagent contains a fluorescein label (installed via the amine reactive isothiocyanate, FITC) linked to an aminoheptanoic-glycine spacer, which in turn is linked to the predicted leader peptide (LP) of BalhA1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MbaA</td>
<td>MALKGKLTCGKDYKTHQGRQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP-BalhA1-LP</td>
<td>FITC-Ahx-GMEAQKIKLQKIKLTCGKDK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McbA</td>
<td>MELKASEFGVVLBVIALKLSRGQSLG3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplementary Figure 1. The biosynthetic gene clusters for trifolitoxin and bottromycin do not contain a recognizable TOMM C protein. (a) The gene clusters for bottromycin A2 and trifolitoxin biosynthesis are displayed along with the amino acid sequence of the precursor peptide. Although each cluster contains at least one YcaO homolog, neither cluster contains a recognizable TOMM C protein (homolog of the E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme superfamily)\textsuperscript{3-6}. Asterisks indicate the leader and follower peptide cleavage sites in the trifolitoxin and bottromycin precursor peptides, respectively. (b) The structure of bottromycin A2 and the partial structure of trifolitoxin are displayed. Post-translational modifications presumably installed by the YcaO proteins encoded in each cluster are colored blue. The red Xs in the trifolitoxin structure denote an uncharacterized post-translational modification involving Arg and Gln\textsuperscript{3}.
Supplementary Figure 2. Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE gel of proteins described in this study. MBP-tagged proteins were purified by amylose affinity chromatography. Coomassie-stained 12% SDS-PAGE gels for MBP-Ec-YcaO (a) and the YcaO mutants described in this study (b) are shown. Masses for pertinent bands of the molecular marker are shown. The faint bands appearing just below the 50 kDa marker band likely result from proteolysis of MBP fusion partner. Asterisks denote stop codons. The dashes (- - - - -) indicate an empty lane.
Supplementary Figure 3. Ec-YeaO hydrolyzes ATP to AMP and pyrophosphate. (a) The ATPase activity of Ec-YeaO was screened using the purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP)-coupled assay with and without 1 unit of pyrophosphatase (PPase). The addition of PPase increased the rate of chromophore production in the assay by 5-fold after the signal amplification achieved during PP cleavage is taken into account. These data indicate that ATP is preferentially hydrolyzed to AMP and pyrophosphate by Ec-YeaO in vitro. This result is corroborated by the observation that co-crystallization of Ec-YeaO with ATP yielded an AMP-bound structure (Fig. 2A). Error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean (n=3). (b) An ATP kinetic curve was obtained for Ec-YeaO using the PPase-supplemented PNP assay. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean (n=3). Regression analyses to obtain Michaelis-Menten kinetic parameters were carried out in IGOR Pro version 6.12 (Wavemetrics). The error on the kinetic parameters represents the standard deviation from the curve fitting.
Supplementary Figure 4. Structural homology between Ec-YcaO and TruD. (a) Structural alignment of TruD (green) and Ec-YcaO (purple). The alignment demonstrates that the ATP-binding region of Ec-YcaO is also conserved in TruD. (b) TruD structure with the conserved domain shown in green. (c) Ec-YcaO structure with the conserved region shown in purple. (d) Structure-based sequence alignment of YcaO domain-containing proteins with the secondary structure of Ec-YcaO superimposed. Residues mutated in BalhD for this study are denoted by black triangles. A summary of the BalhD mutants made, along with their predicted roles in ATP recognition is provided.
Supplementary Figure 5. Cytoscape sequence similarity network for the YcaO superfamily. A similarity network for non-redundant YcaO sequences is displayed. Each node represents a unique YcaO, while lines between nodes exist if the proteins bear significant similarity (in this case, a BLAST e-value lower than 10\(^{-6}\)). At this e-value, YcaO proteins from characterized TOMM biosynthetic clusters primarily form isofunctional clusters. TOMM YcaOs are defined as having a bioinformatically identifiable C protein within 10 kb of the YcaO protein. Stand-alone TOMM YcaOs are proteins found in a characterized TOMM biosynthetic clusters that lack a C protein (e.g. bottromycin and trifolitoxin). It is noteworthy that many non-TOMM YcaOs appear to exist in RiPP biosynthetic gene clusters, indicating that additional stand-alone YcaO cyclodehydratases may exist. Nodes are colored according to the legend and the clusters containing Ee-YcaO and BalhD are indicated.
Supplementary Figure 6. Diversity oriented Maximum likelihood tree for the YcaO family. A diversity-maximized tree for the YcaO superfamily is displayed. Red asterisks denote YcaOs associated with clusters of functionally or structurally characterized natural products, while the proteins used in this study are circled and colored blue. The members of this tree were used to generate WebLogo$^7$ frequency plots for the conservation of the ATP-binding residues in TOMM, non-TOMM, and TIIuA-associated non-TOMM subclasses of the YcaO superfamily (Fig. 3A).
Supplementary Figure 7. The canonical E1 domain ATP-binding site is not conserved in TOMM C proteins. (a) Residues responsible for ATP- and Mg$^{2+}$-binding in E1 superfamily members were identified in the mRCC-bound crystal structures for the following E1 superfamily members: ThfF (1ZFJ), MoeB (1JWA), and MoeB (3H5N). An alignment of diverse non-TOMM E1 proteins was generated and the ATP- and Mg$^{2+}$-binding residues identified in these crystal structures were highlighted in orange. The two CysC motifs responsible for coordination of the structural Zn$^{2+}$ found in all characterized E1 ubiquitin-activating family members are highlighted in blue.$^{5,17}$ To improve the alignments, the highly variable N-terminal “peptide clamp” domain found in MoeB homologs was manually removed. A sequence identity matrix is provided to demonstrate the level of divergence between members of the family. In spite of the divergence, the alignments demonstrate that the ATP-binding pocket is remarkably conserved among these non-TOMM E1 members. (b) Alignments of TOMM C proteins associated with cyanobacterial biosynthesis to E. coli MoeB, ThfF and MoeB are displayed. The highly variable N-terminal residues of each C protein were removed as above. Additionally, the YucC domain of each CD fusion was removed. Unlike the non-TOMM E1 superfamily members, the TOMM C proteins show little conservation in the canonical E1-like ATP-binding site. This suggests that the ability to bind/utilize ATP has been lost in the TOMM C proteins. While non-TOMM E1 sequences are identified by GI number and the subclass of the E1 superfamily they belong to, TOMM E1 sequences are identified by the natural product they produce.
Supplementary Figure 8. The ATP-binding pocket is conserved in characterized TOMM YceOts.

All of the YceO sequences from the indicated TOMM subclasses were aligned with Clustal Omega and the multiple sequence alignments were used to generate sequence logos (WebLogos) of the regions involved in ATP binding. The size of the letter is proportional to its level of conservation. The ATP-binding motif identified in Ec-YceO is displayed above each of the ATP-binding regions. Residues that are similar to the ATP-binding motif identified in Ec-YceO are colored orange. Due to the high level of diversity in the sequences, WebLogos for the N-terminal ATP-binding residues could not be generated. The number of sequences represented in each WebLogo is displayed in parentheses. The ATP-binding motif of Ec-YceO is conserved in diverse TOMM YceOts.

---

Nature Chemical Biology: doi:10.1038/nchembio.1608
Supplementary Figure 9. Multiple sequence alignment of Ec-YcO with diverse TOMM YcOs. Sequences of TOMM YcOs from characterized natural product clusters were aligned with Ec-YcO. The C domain of the naturally occurring CD fusion proteins (TruD, GooD, TsrH) was manually removed prior to alignment. Residues implicated in ATP- and Mg$^{2+}$-binding in Ec-YcO are highlighted in orange. The conserved proline rich C-terminus of TOMM YcOs is colored blue. A sequence identity matrix is displayed to show the divergence of the proteins in the alignment. The alignment indicates that the ATP-binding site is under intense selective pressure. McbD (microcin B17), YcO (Ec-YcO), TruD (trunkamide), GooD (goadporin), TsrH (thiostrepton), SagD (streptolydigin S), BalbD (unknown), PznD (plantazolim).
Supplementary Figure 10. Mutations to the BalhD ATP-binding site affect heterocycle formation. A MALDI-TOF MS spectral overlay for BalhA1 treated with BalhC and either wild-type (WT) or mutant BalhD is displayed. Many of the mutations to the ATP-binding residues, which are listed on the right, decreased cyclodehydratase activity. The level of processing is summarized in Table 1. Laser-induced deamination. A loss of 90 Da indicates the formation of 5 azolines, a full in vitro processed BalhA1 substrate."
Supplementary Figure 11. Mutations to the BalhD ATP-binding site affect ATP hydrolysis. The rate of ATP hydrolysis was measured with BalhC and either wild-type (WT) or mutant BalhD using the PNP assay. Error represents the standard deviation from the mean (n ≥ 3). Mutations showing the slowest rate of ATP hydrolysis also installed fewer heterocycles on BalhAl in an endpoint assay (Supplementary Fig. 10).
Supplementary Figure 12. Mutations to the ATP-binding site that decrease cyclodehydratase activity also affect BalhD-only activity. MALDI-TOF MS spectra for BalhA1 treated with wild-type (WT) or mutant BalhD is displayed. Apart from BalhD S72A, all of the mutants displayed a decreased level of D-only processing consistent with the rate of ATP hydrolysis measured in the presence of the C protein (Supplementary Fig. 11). The level of processing is summarized in Table 1 †, laser-induced deamination.
Supplementary Figure 13. The \( K_M \) for ATP does not depend on the concentration of BalhA1. Due to solubility limitations, saturating concentrations of BalhA1 were not obtainable for all of the BalhD mutants. To determine if the \( K_M \) for ATP changed at non-saturating concentrations of BalhA1, an ATP kinetic curve was carried out at the \( K_M \) for BalhA1 (15 \( \mu M \)). The resultant \( K_M \) is within error of the previously reported \( K_M \) for ATP, 240 \( \pm \) 20 \( \mu M \), obtained with a saturating concentration of BalhA1\textsuperscript{18}. Error on the Michaelis-Menten parameters represents the standard deviation from the regression analysis.

\[
\begin{align*}
V_e & = 6.75 \pm 0.01 \text{ min}^{-1} \\
K_M & = 210 \pm 10 \mu M
\end{align*}
\]
A.2 HIV Protease Inhibitors Block Streptolysin S Production

This chapter was reprinted with permission from Maxson, Deane, Molloy, Cox, Markley, Lee, and Mitchell (Maxson, et al. 2015).
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ABSTRACT: Streptolysin S (SLS) is a post-translationally modified peptide cytolsin that is produced by the human pathogen Streptococcus pyogenes. SLS belongs to a large family of azole-containing natural products that are biosynthesised via an evolutionarily conserved pathway. SLS is an important virulence factor during S. pyogenes infections, but despite an extensive history of study, further investigations are needed to clarify several steps of its biosynthesis. To this end, chemical inhibitors of SLS biosynthesis would be valuable tools to interrogate the various maturation steps of both SLS and biosynthetically related natural products. Such chemical inhibitors could also potentially serve as antivirulence therapeutics, which in theory may alleviate the spread of antibiotic resistance. In this work, we demonstrate that FDA-approved HIV protease inhibitors, especially nelfinavir, block a key proteolytic processing step during SLS production. This inhibition was demonstrated in live S. pyogenes cells and through in vitro protease inhibition assays. A panel of 57 nelfinavir analogs was synthesized, leading to a series of compounds with improved anti-SLS activity while maintaining structure—activity relationships. Nelfinavir was also found to inhibit the maturation of other azole-containing natural products, namely those involved in listeriolysin S, clostridolysin S, and plantarolysin production. The use of nelfinavir analogs as inhibitors of SLS production has allowed us to begin examining the proteolysis event in SLS maturation and will aid in further investigations of the biosynthesis of SLS and related natural products.

The ribosomally synthesized and post-translationally modified peptides (RPPs) comprise a rapidly expanding class of natural products that includes a wide variety of structural modifications. These modifications impart RPPs with diverse activities, giving rise to a range of products from antibacterials to anticancer agents. The biosynthesis of azole and/or azole heterocycles is one such modification common to many RPPs, forming a subclass of natural products called the thiazole/oxazole-modified microcins (TOMMs). The azoles are biosynthesized by the cyclodehydratodehydration and subsequent dehydrogenation of cysteine, serine, and threonine residues to form thiaazole and (methyl)oxazole rings on the C-terminal portion, or "core," of a ribosomally produced precursor peptide. The azole-containing peptides will often undergo further processing, including the proteolytic removal of the N-terminal "leader" portion of the peptide and export of the mature product. Although recent discoveries have shed light on the mechanism of azole formation, the proteolytic processing step of most TOMMs has yet to be investigated.

Streptolysin S (SLS), a key virulence factor of Streptococcus pyogenes, is one such TOMM whose biosynthesis is incompletely understood. S. pyogenes is the causative agent of diseases ranging in severity from pharyngitis to necrotizing fasciitis and is a major global health burden, causing over 600 million infections and 500,000 deaths annually. SLS is the cytolytic toxin responsible for the classic β-hemolytic phenotype when S. pyogenes is grown on blood agar and has been shown to be critical to pathogenesis in mammalian infection models. Although a few strains of non-β-hemolytic, pathogenic S. pyogenes have been described, such as the Lowry strain, the vast majority of S. pyogenes isolates produce SLS. The toxin is biosynthesized by a nine-gene biosynthetic operon that encodes the precursor peptide (sagA), cyclodehydratase and dehydrogenase enzymes (sagBICD), a
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Figure 1. TOMM gene clusters and the biosynthetic pathways. (A) Open reading frame diagram showing the organization of several TOMM gene clusters, grouped by function of the mature TOMM. The letters over each gene correspond to the name of the TOMM gene in S. pyogenes. The function of each gene is color-coded in the legend. (B) Sequences of the precursor peptides from the clusters shown in panel A. The putative (+) or known (-) cleavage sites are shown. The residues substituted in SagA to generate SagA-VEFLL are shown in red. SagA, from S. pyogenes; ClosA, from C. botulinum; StaphA, from S. aureus; LlsA, from L. monocytogenes; BamA, from B. amylophilus. (C) Generalized mechanism of TOMM maturation with SLS as the example. The proteins putatively responsible for each step are shown above each arrow.

putative leader peptidase (sagF), a multicomponent ABC-transporter (sagGHN), and a protein of unknown function (sagJ). Figure 1A). The SagBCD heterocycle synthetase is known to install azo(im)ine rings on the core region of the precursor peptide,22,23 which is followed by proteolytic removal of the leader peptide prior to export of the mature, bioactive natural product (Figure 1B,C). The final molecular weight of SLS has been inferred from classic gel filtration studies to be 2.8 kDa,20 which is consistent with the bioinformatic prediction of the scissile bond being C-terminal to a Gly-Gly motif based on the similarity to bacteriocins from other Gram-positive bacteria.22 Additionally, proteolysis following small residues is common in SLPs with known cleavage sites.23 Although SLS was first defined nearly 30 years ago,24 with the β-hemolytic phenotypic being known since the late 1980s,25 a detailed mechanism of SLS biosynthesis and the structure of the mature toxin remain elusive. Thus, effective SLS biosynthetic inhibitors could serve as powerful chemical tools to shed more light on the biochemistry of SLS and the infection biology of S. pyogenes. Gaining a better understanding of how pathogens employ their various virulence factors also aids in the development of selective treatment strategies that could help to increase the likelihood of clinically important antibiotics. Unlike traditional broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment, specifically targeting virulence would retain the human microbiota, helping to eradicate secondary infections, and in some cases, could theoretically reduce the evolutionary pressure for the development of resistance.26 Previous approaches to targeting virulence have included disruption of quorum sensing, which often regulates virulence factor expression, blocking toxin delivery or function, and inhibition of bacterial adhesion.26 Several compounds designed around these approaches have been efficacious in vivo and have prompted further study,27,28 but the selective nature of targeting virulence requires a tailored therapy for each pathogen, which when developed, would stimulate vast improvements in clinical diagnostics. SLS is an interesting antibacterial target, as it plays a major role in paracellulor invasion, immune evasion, and host-metabolism manipulation.1,29 Furthermore, additional roles of SLS in iron acquisition have been suggested but require further confirmation.29 Due to the importance of SLS in a multitude of pathogenic processes, chemical inhibitors developed to probe the biosynthesis of SLS may find future roles in virulence attenuation strategies that protect the viral microbiota and limit the spread of antibiotic resistance in pathogens that employ SLS-like toxins (i.e. S. pyogenes and specific strains of Enterococcus).
monocytes, Clostridium botulinum, and Staphylococcus aureus (Figure 1A,B). In this study, we identified inhibitors of SLS biosynthesis in S. pyogenes by searching for compounds that block an essential proteolytic maturation step. This proteolytic event has been proposed to be performed by SagE, a protease peptidase with homology to a large family of proteases referred to as the CaaX proteins and bacterial-processing enzymes (CPBP), often confusingly annotated as abortive infection proteins, Aci). which includes the eukaryotic type II CaaX proteases as well as prokaryotic proteases with putative bacteriocin-related functions.25-27 The type II CaaX proteases are involved in the processing of a number of C-terminally processed proteins in eukaryotes and have been much more thoroughly studied than their prokaryotic counterparts.27-29 Type II CaaX proteases are initially believed to be cysteine proteases,30 but the proteolytic catalytic cysteine was later proven to be unnecessary for activity.31 In contrast, conserved glutamate and histidine residues were shown to be important for activity, leading to the hypothesis that type II CaaX proteases are metalloproteases.32 Many of the prokaryotic members of the CPBP family, including SagE, have been annotated as immunity proteins due to the role in bacteriocin self-immunity of the family members in Latibacillus plantarum and L. sake.33 However, the production of viable allicic exchange mutants of sagE and the homologue in Listeria monocytogenes (RIP) indicates that SagE may not be serving an immunity role or may be redundant with other characterized immunity mechanisms.34 Thus, it is plausible that the prokaryotic CPBPs actually act as proteases and that this function is exploited to provide self-immunity in certain cases. The results presented herein support a role for SagE as a protease through the discovery and characterization of a family of small molecule inhibitors of SLS biosynthesis. Additionally, these inhibitors were identified through the repurposing of existing drugs by an examination of known off-target effects. This approach facilitated the rapid identification of lead compounds without the need to perform expensive and laborious high-throughput screens, as well as aiding in the synthesis of analogs by leveraging previous work on the compounds.27-30

RESULTS

Evidence for the Role of SagE as a Protease. Reconstitution of SagE in vivo would be the most direct means of testing for the predicted protease activity and for the screening of inhibitors. Unfortunately, numerous attempts to heterologously express SagE proved unsuccessful, and alternative assays were developed to address this issue. We attribute much of the difficulty in expressing SagE to the predicted transmembrane nature of the protein; topology modeling of SagE with SOPC3CTR/OPUS3 predicted five transmembrane helices and an N-terminal signal peptide (Figure S1). With this in mind, crude membranes from S. pyogenes were prepared from total cellular lysates by ultracentrifugation. The resultant samples were then assessed for proteolytic activity toward 125I-labeled SagA, generated through in vitro transcription/translation. Robust proteolysis of SagA to the predicted molecular weight31 was observed after treatment with the membrane fraction (Figure 2A). SagA processing in the whole cell lysate and supernatant fractions was much less extensive and often not observable (Figure S2). To test the substrate specificity of proteolysis, a mutant version of SagA with residues Ala20, Gly22, and Gly23 mutated to Ileucine (SagA.VLP.LL) was generated (Figure 1B). These residues are directly N-terminal to the predicted leader peptide cleavage site and were expected to be important for protease recognition. Pro21 was left intact to avoid inducing a drastic structural change on the peptide. When treated with isolated S. pyogenes membranes, SagA.VLP.LL was processed at a rate slower than wild-type SagA (Figure 2A), suggesting that the cleavage was performed by a membrane protease specifically recognizing the SagA cleavage site (other membrane-bound proteases may also be minor contributors to the observed proteolysis).

To provide additional evidence for the role of SagE, a previously reported multiplexed-based expression system for the generation of SLS in Escherichia coli was used. In that study, a lytic entity was generated by the expression of a multibinding protein (MIP)-tagged SagA in conjunction with SagB-D from pUC/Duet vectors after extended induction times.32 SLS produced in this system could be extracted with bovine serum albumin (BSA) and applied to blood using procedures established for S. pyogenes.33 The heterologously produced SLS was presumably exported by generalized transmitters after nonspecific proteolysis of the MIP tag or was released via E. coli cell death following buildup of the toxin. Using this system, no lytic activity was observable after a considerably shorter induction time (2 h) from a strain expressing only SagA-D; however, E. coli expressing SagB-D was highly lytic after 2 h (Figure 2B), indicating that SagE expedites SLS maturation. The additional induction of SagE was required for this effect, although its functional role is unknown. SagE shares no homology to any known proteins but has previously been demonstrated to be necessary for SLS biosynthesis32 and may aid in the folding or localization of the other modification machinery. To support the role of SagE as a protease involved in SLS maturation, two highly conserved glutamate residues (Glu131 and Glu132) that are critical for activity in mammalian CPBP family members were mutated to alanine, resulting in the complete loss of the observed lytic activity.

Figure 2. Role of SagE in the processing of pre-SLS. (A) Purified S. pyogenes membranes display proteolytic activity toward SagA. Both wild type (WT) SagA and, to a reduced extent, the predicted cut site mutant SagA.VLP.LL function as cleavage substrates. (B) Lytic activity of E. coli expressing the SLS biosynthetic machinery after 2 h induction. The hemolytic activity of extracted SLS on erythrocytes is measured by a colorimetric readout of hemoglobin release.
Aspartyl Protease Inhibitors Block SagA Proteolysis. A small panel of general mechanism-based protease inhibitors was screened for inhibition of SagA leader proteolysis using the membrane cleavage assay with S. pyogenes membranes. This assay was preferred over the E. coli multiphoton system to avoid potential issues with membrane penetration or general toxicity of the inhibitors. From the panel, only the aspartyl protease inhibitor pepstatin displayed inhibitory activity (Figure S3), which was unexpected given that SagA bears no similarity to known aspartyl proteases and that members of the type II CasX protease family were previously hypothesized to function as zinc-dependent metalloproteases. However, inhibition of a metalloprotease by aspartyl protease inhibitors has literature precedent, as several inhibitors of HIV protease were found to also inhibit the type 1 CasX protease ZM538948, which is a known zinc metalloprotease. Lipodystrophy is a possible side effect of treatment with certain HIV protease inhibitors and also occurs from genetic deficiencies in ZM538948, leading to the discovery of this off-target effect for these drugs. Although type I and II CasX proteases do not share sequence similarity, they redundantly process some of the same substrates and share similar substrate-binding site architectures. Given this, we reasoned that HIV protease inhibitors might be repurposed as inhibitors of SLS production.

HIV Protease Inhibitors Block SLS Production. A whole-cell assay in S. pyogenes based on the extraction of SLS with BSA was used to screen a panel of nine FDA-approved HIV protease inhibitors (Figure S4). Nelfinavir, ritonavir, saquinavir, and lopinavir were found to inhibit the production of SLS when tested at 50 μM, while indinavir, amprenavir, atazanavir, and darunavir did not inhibit SLS production (Figure 3A). Interestingly, tiotopavir caused significant growth suppression in S. pyogenes, and treated cultures never reached late exponential phase (Figure S5), which is when SLS becomes detectable in vitro. The efficacies of the HIV protease inhibitors shown to inhibit SLS production in the initial screen were evaluated by determining 50% inhibition concentration (IC50) values. The IC50 of nelfinavir (6 μM) was much lower than those of ritonavir (38 μM), saquinavir (25 μM), and lopinavir (25 μM). Owing to its greater potency, nelfinavir (Figure 3B) was selected for further study.

Nelfinavir was evaluated in the membrane proteolysis assay to determine if the observed loss of β-hemolysis was due to inhibition of the proteolytic processing step of SLS maturation. As expected, treatment with nelfinavir greatly reduced the proteolytic activity toward SagA contained within S. pyogenes membranes (Figure 3C, the membrane fraction used in this experiment was prepared on a different day than the fraction used in Figure 2 and displayed much higher activity). Evidence that nelfinavir was not drastically perturbing normal cellular function came from the observation that the growth rates of S. pyogenes treated with nelfinavir were identical to the DMSO control (Figure S5). Additionally, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) testing revealed no growth inhibition up to the highest concentration tested (64 μM) for a range of bacterial species (Table S1). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to examine the morphology of S. pyogenes treated with nelfinavir, with no apparent changes compared to the control sample (Figure S6). The transcription levels of a panel of virulence factor genes, as assessed by qRT-PCR, were also not significantly impacted (Table S2). Notably, the levels of sigH and sig2 expression were unchanged. These data indicate that nelfinavir inhibited peptide processing directly, rather than through transcriptional regulation or by significantly perturbing other cellular processes.

Structure–Activity Relationships. A series of nelfinavir analogs was synthesized to gain a better understanding of the structure–activity relationships (SAR) for inhibitors of SLS maturation. If nelfinavir were to interact with the SLS leader peptidase in a manner analogous to HIV protease, the secondary hydroxy group would function as a tetrahedral intermediate mimic, as in the case for many aspartyl and metalloprotease inhibitors (Figure S7). Synthetic routes to nelfinavir have been thoroughly explored, and a route that allowed facile derivatization was adopted for this study (Scheme 1). The efficacy of each analog at 25 μM was evaluated using the hemolysis assay (Table 1 and Table S3).

Nelfinavir has peptidomimetic features, including an S-phenyl-ethyl group intended to replace the side chain of phenylalanine commonly found in the P1 position of the HIV protease substrate. Since the putative P1 position of SagA is a much smaller glycosyl residue (Figure 1B, Gly23), we surmised that smaller substituents at this location on nelfinavir might improve the observed anti-SLS activity. Instead, removal of the side chain (8) abolished detectable activity. It is possible that the cleavage site is closer to the N-terminus, however, and that Pro21 or an allilic residue preceding it resides in the P1 position. Accordingly, analogs mimicking these amino acids (9, 16) were synthesized, but these compounds did not exhibit detectable inhibitory activity. Even retention of the phenyl ring...
Scheme 1. Synthesis of Nelfinavir

(a) 3a-NO2OC6H5, Et3N, THF; CH2N2, Et2O (62%). (b) HCl, Et2O. (c) NaBH4, THF (62% over two steps). (d) KOH, EtOH (91%). (e) 6, KOH, DMSO, 80 °C (84%). (f) 3,4-dihydroxy-2-methylthioanisole, HCl, 110°C, TIF (60%).

in a phenylalanine mimic (11) was insufficient to maintain activity. Conversely, a tryptophan mimic (12) was inhibitory, albeit weakly, suggesting that a relatively large group in that position may be necessary for activity.

The lack of detectable activity with the series of P1 position analogs prevented the rigorous establishment of SAR. Modifications to other portions of the molecule were prepared in order to enhance the inhibitory activity to address this pitfall. As installation of the benzamide is the final step of the synthesis (Scheme 1), preparation of analogs at this location was convenient. Initial analogs revealed that neither the hydroxyl nor the methyl groups (13–15) were important for activity but that the ring itself was necessary (16). Replacement of the ring with bulkier naphthyl and cyclohexyl groups (17, 18) provided >5-fold increases in potency, indicating that this part of the pharmacophore may reside in a hydrophobic pocket not fully occupied by the single planar ring. However, increasing the size of this moiety to an anthracene (19) greatly reduced activity. In general, electron-deficient rings had improved activity relative to electron-rich rings (Table S1), although hydrophobicity appeared to be a more significant contributing factor toward potency.

Given the enhanced activity of the naphthylamide-bearing compound (17), this group was incorporated into the collection of P1 position analogs (Table 1; Table S3), increasing the activity of these analogs to detectable levels. The tryptophan mimic with the naphthylamide (20) displayed considerably increased potency relative to the 3-hydroxy-2-methylbenzamide analog (12). Within the naphthylamide series, the phenylalanine and homoserine mimics (21, 22) were equivalently active to nelfinavir, while the glycine mimic (23) displayed weak SLS-inhibitory activity. The proline mimic (24) remained devoid of activity, possibly due to structural perturbations enforced by the ring. These data support a trend of larger substituents imparting higher activity that was foreshadowed by the initial SAR.

To further probe the pseudo-P1 position of nelfinavir, the stereochemical configurations of both the side chain and the secondary hydroxyl group were varied. Surprisingly, inverting stereocenters with this series of analogs (25–27) did not result in large changes in activity. Many of the derivatives were still highly potent, suggesting that nelfinavir may not inhibit SDF-1 through mimicking the proteolytic tetrahedral intermediate (Figure S7). This is further supported by the retention of activity in acetylated derivatives (28, 29). Overall, the data from the SAR analysis resulted in the development of an analog with significantly improved potency (17, IC50 = 1 µM). Additionally, the activity trends led us to believe that nelfinavir might serve as an inhibitor for the protease in other TOMM natural product biosynthetic clusters in which the precursor peptides do not contain a predicted Gly-Gly change motif (Figure 1B).

Biosynthesis Inhibition of Other TOMMs. SLS is the best-studied member of a group of related cytokines produced by a number of bacteria, including pathogens such as L. monocytogenes and C. botulinum.5,6 The SLS-like biosynthetic gene clusters in these strains are highly similar to that of S. pyogenes and include orthologs of sfrj (Figure 1A, Figure S8). The SLS-like toxin from L. monocytogenes, lactocidin S (LLS), is known to be expressed during oxidative stress; therefore, a strain with LLS under the control of a constitutive promoter was used in the blood lysis assay to determine if nelfinavir could also inhibit LLS production.56 The strain was deficient in the production of an unrelated cytokinin, lactocidin O (LLO), to ensure any hemolysis observed derived from LLS production. When treated with nelfinavir, this strain produced significantly less LLS (Figure 4A). The LLO/LLS strain of L. monocytogenes was included as a negative control to demonstrate the observed hemolysis was indeed LLS-dependent (Figure 4A).

Similar to SLS and LLS, chondroitin S (CLS) is a hemolysin from C. botulinum as well as from certain strains of C. sporogenes, which are nearly identical to C. botulinum but do not produce botulinum toxin.13 The presence of the CLS cluster in an unsequenced strain of C. sporogenes (ATCC 10903) known to be hemolytic on blood agar was confirmed by PCR amplification of clsc and clsd (the chondroitidase genes). When C. sporogenes was grown in the presence of nelfinavir, the production of CLS was significantly reduced (Figure 4B). The inhibition of not only SLS but also LLS and

E
Table 1. Relative Activity of Nelfinavir Analogs for SLS Inhibition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Relative Activity¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nelfinavir (1)</td>
<td>HO</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HO</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Relative Activity¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The activity of each analog is reported qualitatively relative to nelfinavir due to variability in commercial blood loss and extraction effectiveness. Inhibitory activity that is >3-fold that of nelfinavir is designated as (+++); activity that is equal to nelfinavir is (++); detectable activity that is <3-fold that of nelfinavir is (+); nondetectable activity is denoted (-).
Figure 4. Inhibition of the biosynthesis of chloromycins by nefilin. (A) Lytic activity of extracts from an LLS-producing strain (L.LS+) of L. monocytogenes treated with nefilin or related compounds against a DMSO control (n = 3). Extracts from a separate strain with 8Ac deleted (LLS−) were also used as a control. (B) Lytic activity of extracts from a CLS-producing strain of C. pyogenes treated with nefilin or related compounds against a DMSO control (n = 4). Nefilin was used at 50 μM in all cases. **P** values <0.05 were obtained for all nefilin-treated samples relative to the corresponding DMSO-positive controls.

CLS production suggests that nefilin would likely inhibit the production of additional TomM cytosolins. TomM biosynthetic gene clusters are widespread among bacteria and archaea, and the products of the vast majority of these clusters have not been structurally or functionally characterized. A bioinformatic analysis of TomM clusters revealed that 22% (328 out of 1520 as of October 2013) contained a CPPB family member within the cluster, many of which are predicted or known to have nuclease activity. The presence of a CPPB member in these clusters led us to postulate that nefilin would also inhibit TomM production in these cases. Plantaricins (PZM) is a TomM produced by Bacillus amylobacterium PZM42 with highly effective antibacterial activity against Bacillus anthracis and has a Sgf-like gene (bamE) in its biosynthetic gene cluster (Figure 1A, Figure S8). The structure of PZM has been determined, thus the precise cleavage site is known (after Ala27, Figure 1B), although no biochemical evidence directly linked bamE to leader peptide cleavage. Melilin and surface extracts from B. amylobacterium were analyzed by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry, and the nefilin-treated cultures were found to produce significantly less PZM than a DMSO control (Figure 4C). Unlike the cytosolins, PZM can be readily observed and quantified by mass spectrometry, which permitted confirmation of the nefilin-dependent inhibition (Figure S9). The nefilin-dependent inhibition in divergent organisms of additional TomM cytosolins, as well as a functionally distinct antimicrobial TomM, not only supports the assignment of the CPPB protein being responsible for leader peptide removal during maturation but also suggests that nefilin, and analogues thereof, could be generally useful for inhibition of TomM production in a large number of hitherto uncharacterized clusters.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, the FDA-approved HIV protease inhibitor nefilin was repurposed as the first small molecule inhibitor of SLS production in C. pyogenes, displaying low micromolar activity. Nefilin was identified as a lead compound by leveraging the extensive basic and clinical research data accumulated on the effects of the drug. Lipid accumulation, a known side effect of nefilin and several other HIV protease inhibitors, had been previously linked to the off-target inhibition of the Cnx protein ZAPSTE24. We surmised that the HIV protease inhibitors would also inhibit Sgf due to its homology with Cnx proteins, allowing us to rapidly identify a lead compound for the inhibition of SLS production. This strategy for lead identification negated the need for high-throughput screening or for a crystal structure of the target for in silico and structure-based design. Utilizing a drug with a synthetic route that had been thoroughly explored also greatly accelerated the creation of analogs for SAR efforts that yielded compound 17, with an improved IC50 value of 1 μM.

Nefilin and related compounds are inhibitors of SLS biosynthesis, most likely through inhibition of proteolytic cleavage of the protein by the CPPB family member Sgf. Although in vitro reconstitution was unsuccessful, the necessity for Sgf during SLS production in the multiprotein expression system in E. coli provides considerable evidence for its role in proteolytic processing. Like many CPPB members, Sgf is commonly referred to as an immunity protein in the literature, but inhibition by nefilin did not have any effect on the growth of S. pyogenes, providing evidence that Sgf is not involved in self-immunity. Alternatively, compensatory mutations that abolish SLS production may arise when Sgf is inactivated, as has been previously suggested. The original annotation of Sgf as an immunity protein stems from its similarity to PlnP from Lactobacillus plantarum, PlnP and several related proteins are found downstream of bacteriocin structural genes in L. plantarum and have been shown to provide immunity to the antibacterial effect of the respective bacteriocins. Yet, unlike these bacteriocins, SLS has not been demonstrated to possess any antibacterial activity against intact S. pyogenes cells. A large buildup of intracellular SLS might result in toxicity, but this would be expected to affect any S. pyogenes strains in which the transport machinery is inactivated as well, which has not been observed. Furthermore, treatment of SgfA with the cyclization machinery (SgfBCD) in vitro
results in a lytic entity without clearance of the leader peptide, indicating that while proteolysis is required for cellular export, it is unnecessary for lytic activity.39 These observations lead us to conclude that the principal function of SagE is to proteolytically mature SLS.

In addition to experimentally supporting a biochemical role for SagE, we have also addressed the mechanism of proteolysis and the probable inhibition by nelfinavir. CPBP family members have been postulated to function through a zinc metalloprotease mechanism based on the presence of two glutamates, two histidines, and an asparagine residue that are conserved across the family (Figure S8).39 Mutation of these residues in the eukaryotic type II CaaX protease Ras-converting enzyme (Rce1) has also demonstrated that these residues are critical for activity.39 We found that Gln131 and Gln132 were critical for the activity of SagE. Thus, it was initially unexpected that proteolysis was inhibited by pepstatin, a general aspartyl protease inhibitor, but not by batistatin, a metalloprotease inhibitor (Figure S3). However, a recent report detailing the crystal structure of Rce1 from Methanococcus maripaludis provides compelling evidence that this family of proteases actually functions through a novel glutamate-dependent mechanism (Figure S10).5 The authors hypothesized that a glutamate residue extending into the active site is responsible for deprotonating a water molecule, activating it for nucleophilic attack. Given the similarities between this proposed mechanism and the mechanism of aspartyl proteases, it is perhaps unsurprising that a CaaX protease homologue would be inhibited by aspartyl protease inhibitors, including nelfinavir.

In addition, the increasing potency of inhibition with compound 17, our synthetic effort also yielded information on how nelfinavir may interact with SagE. The SAR analysis revealed that a rather large side chain in the pseudo P1 position of the structure was required for potent activity, with the original S-aryl-ethyl group displaying the greatest inhibitory activity. This result was not anticipated, given that the P1 residue in the SagA substrate is putatively glycine. One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the catalytic site architecture of SagE is highly conserved with the type II CaaX proteases, which normally cleave substrates with a preprolated cysteine in the P1 position. In this case, nelfinavir would be an ideal fit for the active site, as the core of the molecule closely resembles a cysteine with a hydrophobic group appended. An alternative explanation is that nelfinavir does not bind in the active site in the expected fashion (with the secondary hydroxyl interacting with the catalytic residues, Figure S5) or does not bind in the active site at all. These possibilities are supported by the potent activity of several analogs with different stereochemical configurations, as those molecules would likely be forced into different conformations that do not allow the same favorable interactions with active site residues. In this scenario, binding of nelfinavir to the membrane protease may be driven by hydrophobic interactions. This explanation would also account for the attenuated activity of saquinavir, which is nearly identical to nelfinavir except for the presence of a more hydrophobic group at the benzenoid position (Figure S4, XLogP3 values from PubChem for nelfinavir and saquinavir are 5.7 and 4.2, respectively). Comparisons to other HIV protease inhibitors do not yield much additional information due to their low sequence similarity, as reflected in the Tanimoto similarity coefficients (Table S4).

Unequivocal confirmation of the bacterial target of nelfinavir (and analogs) was unfortunately not possible in the present study, which can be attributed to the technical challenges inherent to the study of integral membrane proteins. Nelfinavir also likely interacts with multiple targets in S. pyogenes, as the drug is known to have multiple off-target effects in humans.48 A significant body of work in mammalian cell lines has demonstrated that nelfinavir displays pronociceptive activity, such as interruption of Akt signaling and inhibition of the proteasome.49 Target promiscuity likely also exists in bacteria, so possible interactions of nelfinavir with additional targets cannot be ruled out. Thus, nelfinavir may be inhibiting additional participants that indirectly result in the inhibition of SLS production in a mechanism unrelated to proteolysis. Further targets of nelfinavir may also exist that do not result in observable phenotypes. However, nelfinavir also inhibited the biosynthesis of other natural products that include a CPBP family member in the gene cluster (i.e., LL5, CLS, and P2X).

The fact that this inhibition occurred in a range of disparate bacterial species decreases the probability that another protease is responsible and provides substantial, albeit indirect, support that SagE is the primary target of nelfinavir in S. pyogenes. Finally, the HIV protease inhibitors found to inhibit SLS production parallel those capable of inhibiting the human CaaX protease ZMPSTE24 (Figure S4),50,51 providing additional evidence that nelfinavir and its analogs inhibit SLS production by blocking the action of SagE.

Conclusion. Despite their prevalence, prokaryotic members of the CPBP family have not yet been thoroughly investigated. Many of the family members are incorrectly annotated or have a predicted function based solely on distant homology. The discovery of nelfinavir as an inhibitor of CPBPs has provided evidence that SagE functions as a protease and will aid in the assignment of functions to other family members, including those in other human pathogens such as S. aureus. Additionally, nelfinavir is the first reported inhibitor of the production of SLS and related toxins. Nelfinavir and improved analogs will provide new tools to investigate toxin function without the need to create genetic deletions while also allowing for temporal control over toxin production. Reversible control of SLS production with nelfinavir analogs will also help to clarify the precise contribution of SLS to virulence in in vivo models of infection and may open the door to the development of virulence-targeting strategies for the control of S. pyogenes infections. Finally, the chemical knockdown effect of nelfinavir can be utilized for the discovery of natural products from the 2% of TOMM gene clusters that contain a CPBP family member, potentially accelerating the structural and functional characterization of these compounds.
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ABSTRACT: There is a significant need for new antibiotics due to the rise in drug resistance. Drugs such as methicillin and vancomycin target bacterial cell wall biosynthesis, but methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) have not shown any resistance and are of major concern. Inhibitors acting on new targets in cell wall biosynthesis are thus of particular interest since they might also restore sensitivity to existing drugs. The carotenoid antibiotic fosfomycin inhibits deacetylase, an essential enzyme in the biosynthesis of the lipid I precursor. This pathway is a more plausible target since it is highly conserved across bacterial species. We used 12 UPPS crystallopos crystal structures to validate virtual screening methods and then assayed 100 virtual hits (from 450,000 compounds) against UPPS from S. aureus and E. coli. The most promising inhibitors (IC50 < 2 μM, Ki < 100 nM) had activity against MRSA, Listeria monocytogenes, B. subtilis, and a vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus sp. with MIC or IC50 values in the 0.25–4 μg/mL range. Moreover, an compound (4) with high activity against MRSA has been characterized as a lead compound for the commercial drug epalrestat, exhibiting good activity as well as a fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) of 1.1 with methicillin against the community-acquired MRSA USA300 strain, indicating strong synergism.

INTRODUCTION

The need for new antibiotics has arisen due to the widespread resistance to current drugs.1 Despite this need, the antibiotic pipeline in the past few decades has been relatively dry in terms of new antibacterial classes when compared with progress against other diseases.2 One strategy to fight bacterial resistance is to inhibit enzymes that are not the targets of current antibiotics but, instead, act in the same pathways as existing drugs since this might enable the restoration of drug sensitivity via combination therapy. Undecaprenyl diphosphate synthase (UPPS) is one such target. The undecaprenyl diphosphate product (UPP) is essential for bacterial cell growth because of its role in the formation of bacterial cell wall peptidoglycan.3,5 Scheme 1, and it is not produced by humans.6,7

SmithKline Beecham screened their compound collection against UPPS but reported no chemically tractable low micromolar hits.8 Novartis pursued tetramic and tetronic acids and dihydroxynaphthol-2-ones, but noted issues associated with human serum albumin binding and a lack of in vivo activity.9,10 Previously, we reported several potent UPPS inhibitors together with X-ray crystallographic (or modeled) binding modes for a variety of chemical classes including lipophilic bisphosphonates,11 phthalic acids,12 diketo acids,13 arabinosyl acids, benzoic acids,14,15 aryl phosphonates, bis-aminones, and bis-aminanilines.16 The most promising of these compounds, a bis-aminine, was shown to have potent activity in biochemical assays, in cellular assays, and in a murine model of MRSA infection.17,18 Since UPPS must bind multiple substrates (UPP, FPP, or more elongated prenyl-PP intermediates) and many inhibitors are to some degree substrate mimics, it is common to observe nonspecific inhibitors simultaneously bound to UPPS, with up to 13 binding sites being occupied.17 However, it is unclear whether inhibitory activity is due to binding to one specific site or to multiple sites. It has been shown that some inhibitors occupy only site 1, an allosteric site distant from the catalytic center, while others bind to site 1, the substrate binding site, complicating docking studies and, regardless of the inhibitor-binding mode, the flexibility of UPPS creates challenges for
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virtual screening. Here, to help reduce these problems we employed the 12 crystallographic structures described in previous work,12 to select those that provided maximal enrichment in retrospective virtual screening studies. We then made prospective predictions using these structures, leading to novel UPPS inhibitors, some with promising antibacterial activity.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Computational Aspects.** Following the methods described in previous work,11,12 we docked 111 known UPPS inhibitors having IC₅₀ values <100 µM, together with 1000 decoys from the Schrödinger decoy collection (having an average molecular weight of 400 Da), to _Escherichia coli_ UPPS (hereafter, EUPPS). Docking was performed using the Glide (v6.6) program, and compounds were ranked by their Glide XP score. The proteins were prepared by stripping water and lipid molecules, capping, and neutralizing any unmodeled loops, followed by preparation with the Schrödinger protein preparation wizard using standard parameters.12 After docking, compounds were ranked by their docking score, and then a curve under the curve (AUC) analyses were performed. Retrospective enrichment was quite good for 2/12 structures (PDB codes 239M and 4H3A), so we docked into these structures for the prospective studies (Figure 1). 239M is an EUPPS X-ray structure containing five lipophilic biphenylsulfones (BPSH-629 IC₅₀ ~300 nM), which bind to site 1–4, one inhibitor to each site.13 4H3A is an EUPPS structure containing a dibromo acid inhibitor (BPH-1330) which has a 2 µM IC₅₀ and the inhibitor binds (in the solid state) only to site 4.14 These structures thus have significant difference: only site 4 is occupied in 4H3A, while in 239M, all four sites are occupied and the protein is in a "wide-open" conformation (Figure 2).

To find new inhibitors, we began with a library of ~450,000 commercially available compounds, the ChemBridge Experimental
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Figure 2. Stereo presentation of the X-ray structures chosen for further virtual screening from docking and ROC analysis. (A) 2I58 showing all four inhibitor binding sites. (B) 4H3A showing one inhibitor bound to site 4.

Library. The library was filtered to exclude compounds that had undesired, toxic or reactive functional groups, known promiscuous binding; MW >460 Da or MW <250 Da; more than 4 atoms; center; polar surface area (PSA) >150 Å² or PSA <50 Å²; number of rotatable bonds >3; or QED >4.5 or QED <2.5. Salts were also removed. Next, the selected compounds (~100,000) were loaded into Schrödinger's virtual screening workflow, where they were prepared with LigPrep and then docked using the filtering procedure for efficiency and only retaining the top 20% of compounds in the two rapid, initial docking modules HTVS (top 20% retained) and SP (top 20% retained). Finally, Glide XP was used to assign a final docking score to each molecule. AUC analyses on active and decoy data sets were previously performed using the Glide XP module; however, this was impractical for the large filtered ChemBridge library. Therefore, we relied on HTVS and SP modules to provide early filtering before employing the more time-intensive XP protocol.

We then extracted the ~400 top scoring compounds (docking score less than ~7 kcal/mol). Binary Mahalanobis distance was generated using Cavnas and 40 clusters were generated using K-means clustering.‡ Of these 40 clusters, the top scoring compounds from each cluster were visually inspected and a representative was chosen from each cluster, resulting in a final list of 100 compounds. These were purchased from ChemBridge (ChemBridge Corporation, San Diego, CA) and then assayed for UPS inhibition activity. Three of the 100 compounds were UPS inhibitors. Similarity searches based on these active compounds were then performed using SwissPDB and SeFinder, and additional compounds were obtained and tested.

**ENZYME AND CELL GROWTH INHIBITION ASSAYS**

Protein Expression and Purification. E. coli UPS and SaUPS were expressed and purified as described previously.‡ Molecular weights and purities were verified by mass spectrometry and SDS–PAGE, respectively.

**UPPS Inhibition Screening.** The UPS inhibition assays were carried out as described previously.‡ Briefly, the condensation of FPP with IPP catalyzed by UPS was monitored by using a continuous spectrophotometric assay¶ in 96-well plates with 200 µL reaction mixtures containing 400 µM 2-aminom-6-microporphyrin ribonucleotide (MESP), 380 µM IPP, 25 µM FPP, 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5), 0.01% v/v Triton X-100, and 1 mM MgCl₂. The IC₅₀ values were obtained by fitting the inhibition data to a rectangular hyperbolic dose–response function using GraphPad PRISM 4.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). The IC₅₀ values for the most active hits were confirmed using a radiometric assay§ with 2.5 µM FPP, 25 µM [³H]IPP, and 0.05% v/v Triton X-100. The IC₅₀ values for E. coli ATCC 29425 growth inhibition assay. The IC₅₀ values for E. coli growth inhibition were determined by using a microbroth dilution method. A 16 h culture of E. coli was diluted 50-fold into fresh Luria–Bertani (LB) broth and grown to an OD₆₅₀ of ~0.4. The culture was then diluted 500-fold into fresh LB medium, and 100 µL was inoculated into a 96-well flat bottom culture plate (Coming Inc., Coming, NY). The starting concentration of each compound was 0.3 mM, and this was 2-fold serially diluted. Plates were incubated for 3 h at 37°C to mid-exponential phase. A 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) cell proliferation assay (ATCC) was then carried out to obtain bacterial viability dose–response curves. Ten microliters of MTT reagent was added into each well, followed by incubation for 2–4 h until a purple precipitate was visible. Then, 100 µL of detergent reagent was added and plates were further incubated in the dark at 23°C for 2 h. The absorbance was recorded at 570 nm. A nonlinear regression analysis was then carried out using Origin 6.1. For each inhibitor, two independent experiments were performed and the IC₅₀ values found were averaged.

**B. subtilis ATCC 6051 Growth Inhibition Assay.** A 16 h culture of B. subtilis was diluted 30-fold into fresh Luria–Bertani (LB) broth and incubated to an OD₆₅₀ of ~0.4. The culture was then diluted 500-fold into fresh LB medium, and 100 µL was inoculated into a 96-well flat bottom culture plate (Coming Inc., Coming, NY). The starting concentration of each compound was 0.5 mM and was then serially diluted. Plates were incubated for 12–16 h at 37°C. The absorbance was recorded at 570 nm. A nonlinear regression analysis was carried out using the data obtained using Origin 6.1. For each inhibitor, two independent experiments were performed and the IC₅₀ values found were averaged.

**S. cerevisiae Growth Inhibition Assay.** The protocol was the same as with the B. subtilis assay except that YPD medium was used, and the 96-well plate was incubated for 36 h instead of 12–16 h.

**Evaluation of 1 and 4 Inhibitory Activity and Synergy.** B. subtilis strain 168, B. anthracis strain Sterne, E. coli MC4100, and P. putida were grown to stationary phase in 10 mL of LB broth at 37°C. S. aureus USA300 (methicillin-resistant), E. faecalis U503 (vancomycin-resistant), and L. monocytogenes strain 4b K2365 were grown to stationary phase in 10 mL of brain–heart infusion (BHI) medium at 37°C. The cultures were adjusted to an OD₆₅₀ of 0.016 in the designated medium before being added to 96-well microplates. Successive 2-fold dilutions of compounds 1 and 4 were added to the cultures (0.25–64 µg mL⁻¹). As a control, kanamycin (1–32 µg mL⁻¹) was added to samples of E. coli, B. subtilis, B. anthracis, and P. putida.
INHIBITOR CHARACTERIZATION

The purities of the key compounds investigated, obtained from ChemBridge (1 and 4), were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography and structures verified by NMR spectroscopy and high resolution mass spectrometry (Supporting Information Figures S2-S9) and were consistent with the structures provided by the vendor. Puriﬁcations were >95% by HPLC.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In previous work, we obtained moderate correlations between enzyme inhibition activity and docking scores within a congeneric series of UPS inhibitors (biphenyl biphasophonates)\(^{21-34}\) using docking methods, so we first examined whether we could obtain similar correlations between docking scores and experimentally determined IC\(_{50}\) values for the 112 known active compounds. There was no significant correlation between docking scores and pIC\(_{50}\) values (pIC\(_{50}\) = -logIC\(_{50}\), Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The wide variety of potential binding modes (sites 1, 2, 3, and 4\(^{21-34}\)) and protein conformations would be expected to make it difficult to achieve a good correlation between a scoring function and the experimentally determined pIC\(_{50}\) values, in addition to the assumptions made in scoring functions that cause inaccuracy when compared to experimental affinities. Nevertheless, docking studies can provide enrichment of active compounds from large libraries, even though docking scores rarely correlate well with activity when structurally diverse compounds are involved. We thus next employed an area-under-the-curve (AUC) analysis, also known as the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC), a method that has been shown to be useful in validating structure-based virtual screening protocols\(^{16}\) and is a standard method for evaluating such protocols.\(^{21}\)

We therefore tested 12 ECUPS X-ray structures for their ability to separate active (IC\(_{50}\) < 100 \(\mu\)M) from decoys (presumed inactive compounds in the decoy library). Several ECUPS X-ray structures showed a good separation of active from decoy compounds, with AUC values of > 0.8. These structures also demonstrated early enrichment, as evidenced by the steep initial slope of the curve. This means that the best scores were given primarily to active compounds and suggests that, in screening a large compound library, the best scoring compounds would be enriched in UPS inhibitors. We thus picked the two X-ray structures (PDB codes 2E98 and 4H3A) that provided significant early enrichment and a high AUC in the validation studies, for predictive studies. Using these two X-ray structures, we screened the ChemBridge EXPRESS-pick compound library (after ﬁltering) and determined ~400 hits with GlideXP scores less than ~7 kcal/mol (lower energy is better). Since many highly ranked compounds were chemically very similar, we clustered the top scoring compounds and selected representatives from each cluster to ensure chemical diversity among the compounds to be tested.

Discovery of Novel UPS Inhibitor Cores. The screening of the ChemBridge EXPRESS-pick compound library using the validated docking protocol resulted in the discovery of three new UPS inhibitor classes: the 4-oxo-2-thioxo-1,3-thiazolidine-2-carboxylates, also known as thioxazines (e.g., compound 1), dithyminopyrimidines (the resorcinol, compound 2), and pyrimidoisodiazines (a barbiturate analogue, compound 3). None of these have been previously reported to be UPS inhibitors. All three compounds are predicted to bind in either site 1 or 3 of the 2E98 crystal structure (Figure 3), although X-ray crystallographic studies will be required to conﬁrm this binding mode (and our attempts to obtain crystal structures of these systems have not been successful). In any case, the three new inhibitors discovered represent UPS inhibitors with "drug-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>EsUdPS #</th>
<th>SaUdPS IC_{50}</th>
<th>SaUdPS IC_{50}</th>
<th>E. coli IC_{50}</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae IC_{50}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>L339644</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>L339822</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>[Diagram]</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>E. coli IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>S. aureus IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>S. cerevisiae IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>341985</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>337736</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>370708</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>90050</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>26005</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>370707</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>NCI</td>
<td>318219</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All concentrations are in µM.*

"All compounds were found to be active against B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli, and S. cerevisiae. The most potent compound was the 4-oxo-3-thione-1,3-thiazolidine 1 (IC<sub>50</sub> ~2.6 µM against S. aureus UPPS), which was not found to be active against B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli, and S. cerevisiae. The structure-activity relationship (SAR) for these compounds is currently under investigation.*

**Novel Core SAR.** We next obtained 16 additional compounds from Chembridge, from Sigma-Aldrich, and from the Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch, Developmental Therapeutics Program, Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, National Cancer Institute (4–19, Table 1), containing the 4-oxo-3-thione-1,3-thiazolidine core and tested them for activity against S. aureus UPPS and E. coli UPPS, as well as a preliminary activity screen against B. subtilis, E. coli, and S. cerevisiae (the latter as a general cytotoxicity control, since it..."
Table 2. MIC Values for Two 4-Oxo-2-thieno-1,3-thiazolidine Analogues, Compounds 1 and 4, Tested in Diverse Bacterial Cell Growth Inhibition Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>MIC (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bacillus subtilis 1 0.125
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) 8 64 1
Enterococcus faecalis (VRE) 1 8 4
E. coli mcr-9
Pseudomonas putida
Escherichia coli 1

"The compounds were tested against a panel of both Gram-positive (top five) and Gram-negative (lower two) bacteria.

Synergistic Interactions. To investigate the possibility of synergistic interactions with known cell wall biosynthesis inhibitors, we determined the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI2) values for these systems: MRSA, using 1 + methicillin/VRE; 1 + vancomycin; and 1 + ampicillin. The FICI is defined as

\[ \text{FICI} = \frac{\text{MIC}(1)}{\text{MIC}(A)} + \frac{\text{MIC}(B)}{\text{MIC}(B)} \]

where FIC(A) and FIC(B) are the fractional inhibitory concentrations of drugs A and B, MIC(A) and MIC(B) are the MIC values of drug A or B acting alone, and MIC(AB) is the MIC value of the most effective combination of drug A or B in the presence of drug B or A. Using this method, FICI values of ≤0.5 represent synergism, >0.5 and <1.0 represent additivity, >1 and <2 represent an indifferent effect, and >2 represents drug antagonism. H. influenzae and E. coli aureus are shown in Figure 4. As can be seen in Figure 4B, the FICI for 1 + methicillin in MRSA is 0.11, which indicates strong synergy during late stage growth. However, with both VRE (1 + vancomycin) and B. anthracis (1 + ampicillin) the FICI values are in the 1–2 range, which indicates an indifferent effect.

What is particularly interesting about the most active species investigated here (1) is that it has a structure that is very similar to that found in the drug epalrestat, an aldose reductase inhibitor27 that is used to treat diabetic neuropathy, and is approved for clinical use in Japan, China, and India. This is encouraging because rhodaminase as a class are known to often have activity in widely diverse assays, and indeed computer programs such as PAINS28 categorize, e.g., 1–4 (as well as epalrestat) as possible "pan assay interference compounds". This can mean that the compounds cause false positives in assays, or that they may be multitarget inhibitors. In some cases multitargeting may be undesirable; however, in the context of anti-infective development, multitargeting is expected to increase efficacy as well as decrease the possibility of resistance development, both very desirable features.

CONCLUSIONS

The results described herein are of interest for several reasons. First, we carried out an in silico screen of ~1000 known UPPS inhibitors and 1000 decoys using 12 reported UPPS X-ray structures. The two X-ray structures providing the best enrichment in an AUC-ROC analysis were then used to screen
a subset of ~100,000 compounds selected for drug-like activity from an initial ChemBridge library of ~650,000 compounds. We then tested the ~100 in silico hits in vitro against S. aureus, MRSA, and E. coli, leading to several pM UPPs inhibitors (as deduced from both PPI release and radioactive assays). The most potent lead was 1, which is structurally quite similar to quinlin, an old drug used to treat diabetic neuropathy. 1 (and its analogue 4) inhibited the growth of Gram negatives (important for E. coli) in the context of maintaining commensal microbiota, and they had no activity against S. aureus. Activity against B. anthracis, a vancomycin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae spp., and Listeria monocytogenes was good, in the 0.1-2 μg/mL range, and there was very strong synergy (FICI = 0.11) with vancomycin and 1 in a MRSA strain of S. aureus, suggesting that 1 could be a promising lead (in combination therapies) for treating staph infections.
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