Introduction
Digitization projects are still a lively topic among academic libraries, although small in-house projects have become overshadowed by large scale partner projects like Google Books and the Internet Archive. By using the filtering power of Open Refine, leaders at the helm of their university libraries can put together collections of unique texts written in lesser used languages to bring to their digitization departments. By doing so, they can make scholars of less-studied languages more aware of the resources in their collections.

Library research projects based on integrated library system reports produce enormous data sets that can make finding specific items difficult. But such data sets can be imported into Open Refine (OR), a web-based, open source desktop application used for cleaning data. One of the powerful tools of OR is its faceting and filtering capabilities. By using this software on bibliographic based data sets, a greater level of granularity can be achieved.

Findings
328,150 records were associated with 250 languages in total. 53 of those languages were associated with only ONE item. These are the gems in the stacks!
This data paints a picture that can and should inspire more digitization projects among academic language libraries.

What's So Interesting About These Languages?

Virtually all languages have a rich and complex history. Here are some of the highlights from the languages discovered in the sample project data deep dive analysis.

Akkadian
was a Semitic language spoken in Mesopotamia (modern Iraq and Syria) between about 2,800 BC and 500 AD.

Bokmål
Literally “book tongue” is an official written standard for the Norwegian language, alongside Nynorsk. Bokmål is the preferred written standard of Norwegian for 85–90% of the population in Norway.

Oirat
In Mongolia, there are seven historical Oirat dialects, each corresponding to a different tribe. Scholars differ as to whether they regard Oirat as a distinct language or a major dialect.

Pahlavi
Pahlavi denotes a particular and exclusively written form of various Middle Iranian languages. The earliest attested use of Pahlavi dates to the reign of Arsaces I of Parthia (250 BC) in early Parthian coins with Pahlavi scripts.

Mapuche
is spoken by between 240,000 and 700,000 people in parts of southern Chile and western Argentina. It is a language isolate unrelated to any other language.

Chechen
Before the Russian conquest, most writing in Chechyna consisted of Islamic texts and clan histories, written usually in Arabic but sometimes also in Chechen using Arabic script. Those texts were largely destroyed by Soviet authorities in 1944.

Micmac
Like many Native American languages, Micmac uses a classifying system of animate versus inanimate words. However, while the animacy system in general is common, the specifics of Micmac’s system differ from even closely related Algic languages; for instance, in Wampanoag, the word for “sun,” cone, is inanimate, while the word for “earth”, ahkee, is animate, a fact used by some scholars to claim that the Wampanoag people were aware of the earth’s rotation around an unmoving sun.

Who Can Benefit from Digitizing These Language Treasures?

- Scholars who have limited access to source analog material
- Languages, linguistics, translation, and philology students
- University students who attend a school that doesn’t have a languages library
- Anyone in addition to students looking for books in hard to find languages
- People researching their own heritage’s language and literature
- Those interested in languages as a hobby

Conclusions
Finding language gems in your library is just one of many potentially useful things library leaders can do with Open Refine. This poster was meant to be one of any number of possibilities. Use OR to filter dates, authors, countries of publication, subject headings, and more.

With filtering and faceting power that goes far beyond programs like Excel and Open Office, OR is a new skill that can be taught with relative ease to library staff who work with everyday library cataloging based reports. Make Open Refine an essential tool at your library!
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Example Data Set from the University of Illinois Library
- Started with a Voyager report of 328,150 records generated from BibIds
- Repurposed that data into a CSV of titles, dates, and MARC 008 language codes
- And used Open Refine to drill down

Libraries with rich language collections haven’t been in the spotlight of renowned digitization projects, but with a data dive into their own integrated library system reports, and filtering with the power of Open Refine, they could be!