PHOTOCHEMICAL DYNAMICS OF INTRAMOLECULAR SINGLET FISSION

ZHOU LIN, HIKARI IWASAKI, TROY VAN VOORHIS, Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA.

Singlet fission (SF) converts a singlet exciton (S₁) into a pair of triplet ones (T₁) via a "multi-exciton" (ME) intermediate: $S_1 \longleftrightarrow {}^1ME \longleftrightarrow {}^1(T_1T_1) \longrightarrow 2T_1$. In exothermic cases, e.g., crystalline pentacene or its derivatives, the quantum yield of SF can reach 200%. With SF doubling the electric current generated by an incident high-energy photon, the solar conversion efficiency in pentacene-based organic photovoltaics (OPVs) can exceed the Shockley-Queisser limit of 33.7%. The ME state is popularly considered to be a dimeric state with significant charge transfer (CT) character that is strongly coupled to both S_1 and ${}^1(T_1T_1)$, while this local model lacks strong support from full quantum dynamics studies. Intramolecular SF (ISF) occurring to covalently-bound dimers in the solution phase is an excellent model for a straightforward dynamics simulation of local excitons. In the present study, we investigate the ISF mechanisms for three covalently-bound dimers of pentacene derivatives, including ortho-, meta-, and para-bis(6,13bis(triisopropylsilylethynyl)pentacene)benzene, in non-protic solvents. Specifically, we propagate the real-time, nonadiabatic quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical (QM/MM) dynamics on the potential energy surfaces associated with the states of S_1 , ${}^1(T_1T_1)$ and $CT^{d,e}$ We explore how the energies of these ISF-relevant states and the non-adiabatic couplings between each other fluctuate with time and the instantaneous molecular configuration (e.g., intermonomer distance and orientation). We also quantitatively compare Condon and non-Condon ISF dynamics with solution-phase spectroscopic data. Our results allow us to understand the roles of CT energy levels in the ISF mechanism and propose a design strategy to maximize ISF efficiency.

^aM. B. Smith and J. Michl, Chem. Rev. **110**, 6891 (2010).

^bW. Shockley and H. J. Queisser, *J. Appl. Phys.* **32**, 510 (1961).

^cT. C. Berkelbach, M. S. Hybertsen, and D. R. Reichman, J. Chem. Phys. 141, 074705 (2014).

^dM. G. Mavros, D. Hait, and T. A. Van Voorhis, J. Chem. Phys. 145, 214105 (2016).

^eV. Vaissier, and T. A. Van Voorhis, in preparation