## FIRST RESULTS FOR ETHYLPHOSPHINE, $CH_3CH_2PH_2$ , FROM AN EFFECTIVE ROTATIONAL HAMILTONIAN FOR TWO-ROTOR SYSTEMS WITH SYMMETRIC AND ASYMMETRIC INTERNAL ROTORS (LIKE ETHANOL)

PETER GRONER, Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri - Kansas City, Kansas City, MO, USA.

Spectra of molecules with a 3-fold internal rotor become much more interesting in the presence of another large-amplitude motion (LAM) that leads to tunneling between equivalent asymmetric forms which may also tunnel to a different conformer. An effective rotational Hamiltonian has been derived for such a system of which ethanol,  $CH_3CH_2OH$ , is a typical example  $^a$ . For isolated vibrational states of molecules with two symmetric rotors with sufficiently "high" barriers, the ERHAM code $^b$  works well. Modifications were explored to find out whether ERHAM can be coaxed to treat ethanol-type systems, using "ancient" unpublished microwave data from vibrational ground and excited states of ethylphospine,  $CH_3CH_2PH_2$ , as test data. For gauche ethylphosphine, the splitting between the a-type Coriolis-coupled ground states is 5.215(6) MHz whereas it is 229.9(2) MHz in the  $\nu_{24}$  state (PH $_2$  torsion). The tunneling energy coefficients  $\epsilon_{01}$  for the methyl internal rotation are -0.63(2) MHz and 2.93(5) MHz (sign undeterminable), respectively. These results look promising; however, up to now, sets of assigned frequencies had to be omitted from fits to experimental uncertainty of 25 kHz: (a) for the ground state, all c-type transitions  $J_{4,J-3}$  -  $J_{3,J-3}$  (41 < J < 48) for systematic large deviations (reason unknown); (b) for the  $\nu_{24}$  state, half of the quartets of the  $J_{3,J-2}$  -  $J_{2,J-2}$  series (28 < J < 32) because of interactions with a state of the trans conformer) and some of the  $K_a$  = 1, 2 low-J transitions (incorrect assignments or unknown reasons). Analyses of data for the  $\nu_{23}$  (CH $_3$  torsion) and  $\nu_{22}$  (CCP deformation) states are in progress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>J.C. Pearson et al., J. Mol. Spectrosc. 251 (2008) 394

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>P. Groner, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 278 (2012) 52–67